CS794/CO673: Optimization for Data Science Lec 11: Smoothing

Yaoliang Yu



October 21, 2022

Problem

Composite smooth minimization:

$$f_{\star} = \inf_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{w})$$

- f: nonsmooth but convex
- Subgradient achieves optimal rate $O(t^{-1/2})$, even with matching constants!
- Nesterov's momentum enjoys faster rate $O(t^{-2})$, provided that f is L-smooth

Can we break the lower bound $O(t^{-1/2})$, at least for *some* nonsmooth functions?

Robust Linear Regression

 $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{n} \| A\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{b} \|_1 + \lambda \| \mathbf{w} \|_1,$





- We approximate a nonsmooth function with an L^[1]-smooth one
 - just as in calculus where we approximate a smooth function by polynomials
- Can only afford to find an approximate minimizer anyway, so a reasonable approximation of our objective function should not affect things much (intuitively)
- However, since we do not know where the minimizer is, the approximation needs to be uniform (see next) and global (hence violating the black-box access assumption in lower bounds).

Theorem: Uniform approximation leads to similar minimum

Consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and its uniform approximation f_{ϵ} , i.e., $\forall \mathbf{w}, \ \epsilon \leq f(\mathbf{w}) - f_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \overline{\epsilon}.$

Then, we have

 $\underline{\epsilon} \le \inf f - \inf f_{\epsilon} \le \overline{\epsilon}.$

Moreover, let $f_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{w}) \leq \inf f_{\epsilon} + \delta$, then $f(\mathbf{w}) \leq \inf f + (\overline{\epsilon} - \underline{\epsilon}) + \delta$.

- δ -suboptimal minimizer **w** of the uniformly approximate function f_{ϵ} is $[(\overline{\epsilon} \underline{\epsilon}) + \delta]$ -suboptimal for the original function f
- Control the additional error $\overline{\epsilon} \underline{\epsilon}$
- Choose f_{ϵ} with small L^[1]-smoothness (if possible)

Example: Pointwise approximation is not enough

If for any \mathbf{w} , $f_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{w}) \to f(\mathbf{w})$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, then we say f_{ϵ} is a pointwise approximation of f. Clearly, uniform approximation implies pointwise approximation while the converse is not true, as the following example shows:

$$f_{\epsilon}(w) = \epsilon w,$$

which clearly converges to $f \equiv 0$ pointwise. However, $\inf f_{\epsilon} = -\infty < 0 = \inf f$ (thus uniform convergence fails).

Proximal Map and Moreau Envelope

$$P_f^{\eta}(\mathbf{w}) := \underset{\mathbf{z}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2 + f(\mathbf{z})$$
$$M_f^{\eta}(\mathbf{w}) := \underset{\mathbf{z}}{\min} \quad \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2 + f(\mathbf{z})$$

• $\mathbf{P}^{\eta}_{f}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \to \mathbb{R}^{d}$ while $\mathbf{M}^{\eta}_{f}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \to \mathbb{R}$

- Under mild conditions, \mathbf{P}_{f}^{η} is always nonempty and compact
- P_f^{η} is unique if f is convex while M_f^{η} is always unique
- M_f^{η} is a nicer version of f:

- $\mathrm{M}^\eta_f \leq f$, $\inf \mathrm{M}^\eta_f = \inf f$, $\operatorname{argmin} \mathrm{M}^\eta_f = \operatorname{argmin} f$

 $- \ \mathrm{M}^\eta_f o f$ if $\eta o 0$, and M^η_f is "smoother" than f

J. J. Moreau. "Proximité et Dualtité dans un Espace Hilbertien". Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, vol. 93 (1965), pp. 273–299.

Fenchel Conjugate

The Fenchel conjugate of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is defined as:

$$f^*(\mathbf{w}^*) = \sup_{\mathbf{w}} \langle \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{w}^* \rangle - f(\mathbf{w}),$$

which is always closed and convex (even when f is not).

• Fenchel-Young inequality follows from the definition:

 $f(\mathbf{w}) + f^*(\mathbf{w}^*) \ge \langle \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{w}^* \rangle,$

with equality iff $\mathbf{w}^* = \partial f(\mathbf{w})$.

• $f^{**} = f$ iff f is (closed) convex

Theorem: Duality between L-smoothness and $\frac{1}{1}$ -strong convexity

A convex function f is $L = L^{[1]}$ -smooth iff f^* is $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$ -strongly convex.

Corollary:

The Moreau envelope of a closed convex function is convex and $\frac{1}{n}$ -smooth.

 $(\mathbf{M}_f^{\eta})^* = f^* + \eta \mathbf{q}$

Example: Huber's function

$$h_{\tau}(s) := \begin{cases} \tau(|s| - \frac{\tau}{2}), & |s| \ge \tau \\ \frac{1}{2}s^2, & |s| \le \tau \end{cases}$$

Theorem: Uniform Moreau approximation

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and $L = L^{[0]}$ -Lipschitz continuous (w.r.t. the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$). Then,

$$\forall \eta > 0, \quad \underbrace{\mathbf{M}_f^{\eta} \leq f}_{\underline{\epsilon} = 0} \leq \mathbf{M}_f^{\eta} + \underbrace{\eta \mathbf{L}^2/2}_{\overline{\epsilon}}.$$

$$f(\mathbf{z}) - \mathcal{M}_f^{\eta}(\mathbf{z}) = \left[\sup_{\mathbf{w}} f(\mathbf{z}) - f(\mathbf{w}) - \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2\right] \le \left[\sup_{\mathbf{w}} \mathsf{L} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w}\|_2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2\right]$$

- The approximation error $\eta \mathsf{L}^2/2$ is proportional to η
- The L^[1]-smoothness of the approximation (Moreau envelope) is inversely proportional to η

Let f be some nonsmooth $L = L^{[0]}$ -Lipschitz continuous function. Then, to find w so that $f(\mathbf{w}) \leq \inf f + \epsilon$:

- can simply find w so that $M_f^{\eta}(\mathbf{w}) \leq M_f^{\eta}(\mathbf{w}_{\star}) + \delta$, where $\mathbf{w}_{\star} \in \operatorname{argmin} f$;
- know $f(\mathbf{w}) \leq \inf f + 0 + \eta \mathsf{L}^2/2 + \delta$;
- thus, with $\eta L^2/2 + \delta \leq \epsilon$, w does the job.

If we use Nesterov's momentum to minimize M_f^{η} :

$$\frac{2L\|\mathbf{w}_{\star} - \mathbf{z}_1\|_2^2}{(t+1)^2} = \frac{2\|\mathbf{w}_{\star} - \mathbf{z}_1\|_2^2}{\eta(t+1)^2} \le \delta \iff t \ge T := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta\delta}} \cdot \|\mathbf{w}_{\star} - \mathbf{z}_1\|_2 - 1.$$

To find the optimal trade-off, we solve:

$$\max_{\eta \mathsf{L}^2/2 + \delta \le \epsilon} \eta \delta \implies \delta = \epsilon/2, \ \eta = \epsilon/\mathsf{L}^2 \implies T := \frac{2\mathsf{L} \|\mathbf{w}_{\star} - \mathbf{z}_1\|_2}{\epsilon} - 1.$$

which is significantly faster than the subgradient algorithm, which converges after $\frac{L^2 \|\mathbf{w}_{\star} - \mathbf{w}_0\|_2^2}{\epsilon^2} - 1$ iterations. We have seemingly beaten the lower bound!

Example: Robust linear regression revisited

We have seen that the Moreau envelope of the absolute value function

$$\mathcal{M}^{\eta}_{|\cdot|}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \min_{w} \frac{1}{2\eta} |w - z|^2 + |w| \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} |z| - \frac{\eta}{2}, & \text{if } |z| \ge \eta \\ \frac{z^2}{2\eta}, & \text{if } |z| \le \eta \end{cases},$$

whence follows $M_{\|\cdot\|_1}^{\eta}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_j M_{\|\cdot\|}^{\eta}(z_j)$. Thus, we may approximate the robust linear regression formulation as:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \mathrm{M}^{\eta}_{|\cdot|}(\langle \mathbf{a}_{i:}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + b_{i}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_{1}$$

which can now be solved using Nesterov's momentum.

We point out that smoothing is not a free operation, for it increases the $L^{[1]}$ -smoothness parameter. Thus, whenever possible one should try to avoid smoothing any function unnecessarily. For instance, we could have also smoothed the ℓ_1 -norm regularizer to arrive at:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \; \; rac{1}{n} \sum_i \mathrm{M}^{\eta}_{|\cdot|}(\langle \mathbf{a}_{i:}, \mathbf{w}
angle + b_i) + \lambda \sum_j \mathrm{M}^{\eta}_{|\cdot|}(w_j),$$

whose L-smoothness parameter is evidently larger than the one in the previous example, leading to a slower convergence.

Example: Support vector machines (SVM) revisited

Recall the soft-margin SVM:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} (1 - y_i \hat{y}_i)_+ + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{y}_i = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w} \rangle + b$$

Explain how to find an ϵ -minimizer in $O(1/\epsilon)$ iterations.

Example: Smoothing the max function

Let $f(\mathbf{w}) = \max_j w_j$ be the max function. Its Moreau envelope is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2\eta} \| \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z} \|_{2}^{2} + \max_{j} w_{j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \min_{t} \min_{\mathbf{w} \le t} \frac{1}{2\eta} \| \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z} \|_{2}^{2} + t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \min_{t} \frac{1}{2\eta} \| (\mathbf{z} - t)_{+} \|_{2}^{2} + t \end{bmatrix}$$

W.l.o.g. let $z_{1} \ge \cdots \ge z_{d}$, and let $z_{k+1} \le t < z_{k}$, then
$$\begin{bmatrix} \inf_{t \in [z_{k+1}, z_{k})} \frac{1}{2\eta} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (z_{j} - t)^{2} + t \end{bmatrix} =: a_{k}.$$

Finding the smallest a_k gives us the solution for t hence $\mathbf{w} = t \wedge \mathbf{z}$.

Example: Smoothing the max function, cont'

Alternatively, the log-sum-exp function $\mathbf{w} \mapsto \log \sum_j \exp(w_j)$ can also be used to approximate the max:

$$\eta \log \sum_{j} \exp(w_j/\eta) - \eta \log d \le \max_{j} w_j \le \eta \log \sum_{j} \exp(w_j/\eta).$$

We note that max is the recession function of log-sum-exp:

$$\left[\lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \eta \log \sum_{j} \exp(w_j/\eta)\right] = \left[\inf_{\eta > 0} \eta \log \sum_{j} \exp(w_i/\eta)\right] = \max_{j} w_j.$$

