Transaction

A transaction is a collection of actions that make consistent transformations of system states while preserving system consistency.

• concurrency transparency

• failure transparency



8-1

Transaction Example – A Simple SQL Query

```
main() {
  main() {
    ...
    EXEC SQL UPDATE Project
    SET Budget = Budget * 1.1
    WHERE Pname = `CAD/CAM';
    EXEC SQL COMMIT RELEASE;
    return(0);
    ...}
```

Example Database

Consider an airline reservation example with the relations:

FLIGHT(<u>FNO, DATE</u>, SRC, DEST, STSOLD, CAP) CUST(<u>CNAME</u>, ADDR, BAL) FC(<u>FNO, DATE, CNAME</u>,SPECIAL)

8-3

Example Reservation Transaction

… main {
<pre> EXEC SQL BEGIN DECLARE SECTION; char flight_no[6], customer_name[20]; char day; EXEC SQL END DECLARE SECTION; scanf(flight_no, day, customer_name);</pre>
EXEC SQL UPDATE FLIGHT SET STSOLD = STSOLD + 1 WHERE FNO = :flight_no AND DATE = :day;
<pre>EXEC SQL INSERT INTO FC(FNO, DATE, CNAME, SPECIAL); VALUES(:flight_no,:day,:customer_name, null);</pre>
<pre>printf("Reservation completed"); EXEC SQL COMMIT RELEASE; return(0);}</pre>

Termination of Transactions

EXEC SQL BEGIN DECLARE SECTION; char flight_no[6], customer_name[20]; char day; int temp1, temp2; EXEC SQL END DECLARE SECTION; scanf(flight_no, day, customer_name); **EXEC SQL** SELECT STSOLD, CAP INTO :temp1,:temp2 FROM FLIGHT
WHERE FNO = :flight_no AND DATE = :day; FROM if temp1 = temp2 then { printf("no free seats"); EXEC SQL ROLLBACK RELEASE: return(-1);} else { EXEC SQL UPDATE FLIGHT SET STSOLD = STSOLD + 1 WHERE FNO = :flight_no AND DATE = :day; EXEC SQL INSERT INTO FC(FNO, DATE, CNAME, SPECIAL); VALUES (:flight_no, :day, :customer_name, null); EXEC SQL COMMIT RELEASE; printf("Reservation completed"); **return**(0); } }

Characterization

- Read set (RS)
 - The set of data items that are read by a transaction
- Write set (WS)
 - The set of data items whose values are changed by this transaction
- Base set (BS)
 - $\bullet \ RS \cup WS$

8-5

Formalization

Let

- $o_{ij}(x)$ be some operation o_j of transaction T_i operating on data item x, where $o_j \in \{\text{read}, \text{write}\}$ and o_j is atomic
- $OS_i = \bigcup_j o_{ij}$
- $N_i \in \{\text{abort,commit}\}$

Transaction T_i is a partial order $T_i = \{\Sigma_i, <_i\}$ where

- $\bullet \ \Sigma_i = OS_i \cup \{N_i\}$
- ❷ For any two operations o_{ij} , $o_{ik} \in OS_i$, if $o_{ij} = R(x)$ and $o_{ik} = W(x)$ for any data item *x*, then either $o_{ij} <_i o_{ik}$ or $o_{ik} <_i o_{ij}$

8-7

Example

Consider a transaction T: Read(x) Read(y) $x \leftarrow x + y$ Write(x) Commit

Then

 $\Sigma = \{R(x), R(y), W(x), C\}$ <= {(R(x), W(x)), (R(y), W(x)), (W(x), C), (R(x), C), (R(y), C)}

DAG Representation

Assume

 $< = \{ (R(x), W(x)), (R(y), W(x)), (R(x), C), (R(y), C), (W(x), C) \}$



Properties of Transactions

ATOMICITY

• all or nothing

ONSISTENCY

• no violation of integrity constraints

SOLATION

• concurrent changes invisible \Rightarrow serializable

DURABILITY

• committed updates persist

8-9

Atomicity

- Either all or none of the transaction's operations are performed.
- Atomicity requires that if a transaction is interrupted by a failure, its partial results must be undone.
- The activity of preserving the transaction's atomicity in presence of transaction aborts due to input errors, system overloads, or deadlocks is called transaction recovery.
- The activity of ensuring atomicity in the presence of system crashes is called crash recovery.

8-11

Consistency

- Internal consistency
 - A transaction which executes *alone* against a *consistent* database leaves it in a consistent state.
 - Transactions do not violate database integrity constraints.
- Transactions are correct programs

Isolation

Serializability

- If several transactions are executed concurrently, the results must be the same as if they were executed serially in some order.
- Incomplete results
 - An incomplete transaction cannot reveal its results to other transactions before its commitment.
 - Necessary to avoid cascading aborts.

8-13

Isolation Example

• Consider the following two transactions:

T_2 : Read(x)
<i>x</i> ← <i>x</i> +1
Write(x)
Commit

■ Possible execution sequences:

T_1 :	Read(x)	T_1 :	Read(x)
T_1 :	$x \leftarrow x+1$	T_1 :	$x \leftarrow x+1$
T_1 :	Write(x)	T_2 :	Read(x)
T_1 :	Commit	T_1 :	Write(x)
T_2 :	Read(x)	T_2 :	$x \leftarrow x+1$
T_2 :	$x \leftarrow x+1$	T_2 :	Write(x)
T_2 :	Write(x)	T_1 :	Commit
T_2 :	Commit	T_2 :	Commit

Consistency Degrees (due to Jim Gray)

Degree 0

- Transaction *T* does not overwrite dirty data of other transactions
- Dirty data refers to data values that have been updated by a transaction prior to its commitment

Degree 1

- *T* does not overwrite dirty data of other transactions
- T does not commit any writes before EOT

8-15

Consistency Degrees (cont'd) (due to Jim Gray)

Degree 2

- *T* does not overwrite dirty data of other transactions
- *T* does not commit any writes before EOT
- *T* does not read dirty data from other transactions

Degree 3

- *T* does not overwrite dirty data of other transactions
- *T* does not commit any writes before EOT
- *T* does not read dirty data from other transactions
- Other transactions do not dirty any data read by *T* before *T* completes.

SQL-92 Isolation Levels

Phenomena:

- Dirty read
 - T_1 modifies x which is then read by T_2 before T_1 terminates; T_1 aborts \Rightarrow T_2 has read value which never exists in the database.
- Non-repeatable (fuzzy) read
 - T_1 reads x; T_2 then modifies or deletes x and commits. T_1 tries to read x again but reads a different value or can't find it.

Phantom

• *T*₁ searches the database according to a predicate while *T*₂ inserts new tuples that satisfy the predicate.

8-17

SQL-92 Isolation Levels (cont'd)

- Read Uncommitted
 - For transactions operating at this level, all three phenomena are possible.
- Read Committed
 - Fuzzy reads and phantoms are possible, but dirty reads are not.
- Repeatable Read
 - Only phantoms possible.
- Anomaly Serializable
 - None of the phenomena are possible.

Durability

- Once a transaction commits, the system must guarantee that the results of its operations will never be lost, in spite of subsequent failures.
- Database recovery

8-19

Transactions Provide...

- *Atomic* and *reliable* execution in the presence of failures
- *Correct* execution in the presence of multiple user accesses
- Correct management of *replicas* (if they support it)

Architecture



8-21

