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Table 1: Systems and their Partitioning Strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Partitioning Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PowerGraph</td>
<td>Random, Grid, Oblivious, HDRF, PDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerLyra</td>
<td>Random, Grid, Oblivious, Hybrid, Hybrid-Ginger, PDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphX</td>
<td>Random, Canonical Random, 1D, 2D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABSTRACT
In this paper, we study the problem of choosing among partitioning strategies in distributed graph processing systems. To this end, we evaluate and characterize both the performance and resource usage of different partitioning strategies under various popular distributed graph processing systems.
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**ABSTRACT**

Due to the increasing use of RDF data, efficient processing of SPARQL queries over RDF datasets has become an important issue. However, existing solutions suffer from two limitations: 1) they cannot answer SPARQL queries with wildcards in a scalable manner; and 2) they cannot handle frequent updates in RDF repositories efficiently. Thus, most of them have to reprocess the dataset from scratch. In this paper, we propose a graph-based approach to store example is given in Figure 1(a). Note that, an RDF dataset can also be modeled as a graph (called RDF graph), as shown in Figure 1(b). In order to query RDF repositories, SPARQL query language [16] has been proposed by W3C. For example, we can retrieve the names of individuals who were born on February 12, 1809 and died on April 15, 1865 from the RDF dataset by the following SPARQL query:

\[ Q_1: \text{Select } ?\text{name Where } \{ ?m \text{ <hasName> } ?\text{name. } ?m \text{ <BornOnDate> } \} \]

In this paper, we propose a graph-based approach to store
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ABSTRACT
The production environment for analytical data management applications is rapidly changing. Many enterprises are shifting away from deploying their analytical databases on high-end proprietary machines, and moving towards cheaper, lower-end, commodity hardware, typically arranged in a shared-nothing MPP architecture, often in a virtualized environment inside public or private “clouds”. At the same time, the amount of data that needs to be analyzed is exploding, requiring hundreds to thousands of machines to work in parallel to perform the analysis.

partly due to the increased automation with which data can be produced (more business processes are becoming digitized), the proliferation of sensors and data-producing devices, Web-scale interactions with customers, and government compliance demands along with strategic corporate initiatives requiring more historical data to be kept online for analysis. It is no longer uncommon to hear of companies claiming to load more than a terabyte of structured data per day into their analytical database system and claiming data warehouses of size more than a petabyte [19].

Given the exploding data problem, all but three of the above
Distributed RDF query execution is distributed subgraph matching
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ABSTRACT

Much work has been devoted to supporting RDF data. But state-of-the-art systems and methods still cannot handle web scale RDF data effectively. Furthermore, many useful and general purpose graph-based operations (e.g., random walk, reachability, community discovery) on RDF data are not supported, as most existing systems store and index data in particular ways (e.g., as relational tables or as a bitmap matrix) to maximize one particular operation on RDF data: SPARQL query processing. In this paper, we introduce Trin, systems and SPARQL engines [6, 12, 3, 36, 14, 5, 35, 27]. Still, scalability remains the biggest hurdle. Essentially, RDF data is highly connected graph data, and SPARQL queries are like subgraph matching queries. But most approaches model RDF data as a set of triples, and use RDBMS for storing, indexing, and query processing. These approaches do not scale as processing a query often involves a large number of join operations that produce large intermediate results. Furthermore, many systems, including SW-Store [5], Hexastore [35], and RDF-3x [27] are single-machine systems.

Beats Hadoop
Optimizations

RIM

Affiliate of IQC

Institute for Quantum Computing

Founder of

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO

Offices of

Alumni of

Donor of
Optimizations

Remove *type* predicated triples from graph partitioning
Optimizations

Remove high degree vertices from graph partitioning
Evaluation

Compare:

1 & 2 hop graph partitioning

Hash partitioning

Single node

Shard (Hadoop based)
Evaluation

1 & 2 hop graph partitioning:
- Slows down fast queries
- Speeds up slow queries (5x)
- Takes longer to load (2-8x)
- Increases space used (4x)
Workload Aware RDF

Schism like partitioning & replication

WARP: Workload-Aware Replication and Partitioning for RDF

Scaling Queries over Big RDF Graphs with Semantic Hash Partitioning

Partout: A Distributed Engine for Efficient RDF Processing

Luis Galárraga
Max-Planck Institute for Informatics
Saarbrücken, Germany
lgallara@mpi-inf.mpg.de

Katja Hose
Department of Computer Science
Aalborg University, Denmark
khose@cs.aau.dk

Ralf Schenkel
Max-Planck Institute for Informatics
Saarbrücken, Germany
schenkel@mpi-inf.mpg.de

ABSTRACT

The increasing interest in Semantic Web technologies has led not only to a rapid growth of semantic data on the Web but also to an increasing number of backend applications with already more than a trillion triples in some cases. Confronted with such huge amounts of data and the future growth, existing state-of-the-art systems for storing RDF and processing SPARQL queries might become inefficient. In this paper, we

It is not only the amount of data provided by a source that is growing but also the number of sources as the steady growth of the Linked Open Data (LOD) cloud\(^3\) [2] has shown. LOD sources interlink their data by explicitly referencing data (URLs) provided by other sources and therefore building the foundations for answering queries over the data of multiple sources. Furthermore, more and more small RDF data sets without query processing interfaces become avail-
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