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Background

• Shifting Paradigm

• Requirements for Hadoop
  – Interactive Queries
  – Scalability
  – Consistency
  – Extensibility
  – Standard Compliance
  – Productivity
Motivation

Hadoop’s advantages

- Scalability
- Fault tolerance
- ...

Hadoop limitations

- Poor performance for interactive analysis
- Low-level programming model
- Lack of transaction support

MPP features

- Excellent for structured data processing
- Fast query processing capabilities
- Automatic query optimization

HAWQ
Introducing HAWQ

- HAWQ – A MPP SQL query engine on top of HDFS
  - Combines the merit of Greenplum Database and Hadoop distributed storage
  - SQL compliant
  - Support large-scale analytics for big data (MADlib)
  - Interactively query various data sources in different Hadoop format
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HAWQ Architecture

MPP shared-nothing compute layer

Distributed storage layer

Diagram showing the architecture with components such as PSQL, ETL tools, BI Tools, Pig, MapReduce, Other applications, SQL (JDBC, ODBC, libpq), Direct Access (HDFS API), Master, Standby, NameNode, and various segments and data nodes connected through network interconnect (UDP, TCP).
HAWQ Architecture: Interface

Challenge: Simplify the interaction between HAWQ and external systems

Design Choice: Enhance standard interfaces with open data format support

– External systems can bypass HAWQ to access table files on Hadoop (HDFS API)
– Open MapReduce InputFormats and OutputFormats
HAWQ Architecture: Catalog Service

• Catalog describes the system and all objects in the system
  - Database objects: Description about filespaces, tablespaces, schemas, databases, tables, etc.
  - System information: Information about the segments and their status
  - Security: Users, roles and privileges
  - Language and Coding: Languages and encoding support

• CaQL: a simplified catalog query language for internal access
  – Easy to implement
  – Scalability
HAWQ Architecture: Data Distribution

- **Hash distribution**
  - Most frequently used strategy
  - Align tables to improve some import query patterns

- **Random distribution**
  - Distribute rows in a round-robin fashion
  - Even data distribution
  - Works well for table with a small number of distinct values

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>id</th>
<th>A_payload</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>id</th>
<th>B_payload</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>B4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
HAWQ Architecture: Data Distribution

• Table partitioning
  – Range partitioning + list partitioning
  – Creates an inheritance relationship between the top-level parent table and child tables
  – Improve query performance if only a subset of partitions are accessed
HAWQ Architecture: Query execution workflow

QD -> Query Dispatcher
QE -> Query Executer
HAWQ Architecture: Storage

- HAWQ supports a variety of storage models on HDFS
- Transformation among different storage models done at the user layer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage Model</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row-oriented/Read optimized AO</td>
<td>Optimized for read-mostly full table scan and bulk append loads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column-oriented/Read optimized CO</td>
<td>Columns stored in large and packed blocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efficient compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column-oriented/Parquet</td>
<td>Columns stored in a row group instead of separate files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nested data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vertically partitioned
HAWQ Architecture: Fault Tolerance

• Master
  – Warm standby kept synchronized with a *transaction log replication process*

• Segment
  – Stateless
  – Simple recovery
  – Better availability

• Disk
  – Disk failure of user data handled by HDFS
  – Disk failure of intermediate query output marked by HAWQ
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Query Processing

• Query Types
  - Master-only queries
    - Only access catalog tables
    - Queries evaluated without dispatching
  - Symmetrically dispatched queries
    - Physical query plans are dispatched to all segments
    - Most common queries
  - Directly dispatched queries
    - A slice accesses a single segment directory
    - Save network bandwidth and improve concurrency of small queries

• Query Plan
  – Relational operators: scans, joins, etc
  – *Parallel ‘motion’ operators*
Query Processing

- Parallel ‘motion’ Operators

- **Broadcast Motion (N:N)**
  - Every segment sends the input tuples to all other segments

- **Redistribute Motion (N:N)**
  - Ever segment rehashes tuples on a column and redistribute to the appropriate segments

- **Gather Motion (N:N)**
  - Every segment sends the input tuples to a single segment (i.e. the master)
Query Processing

• Problem: A large number of QEs connect to the master and query meta data

• Solution:
  – Dispatch the metadata along with the execution plan (self-described plan)
  – Store read-only meta data on the segments
Query Processing Example

```
SELECT l_orderkey, count(l_quantity)
FROM lineitem, orders
WHERE l_orderkey = o_orderkey AND l_tax > 0.01
GROUP BY l_orderkey;
```
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Interconnect

• Issues with TCP interconnect
  – Port number limitation (60k)
  – Expensive connection setup

• UDP interconnect to rescue
  – Reliability
  – Ordering
  – Flow control
  – Performance and scalability
  – Portability
UDP Interconnect

• Protocol
UDP Interconnect

• Implementation details
  – Typical implementation of reliable UDP solution
  – Sender maintains a send queue and an expiration queue
  – Flow control window cut down to a minimal predefined value followed by slow start
  – OUT-OF-ORDER and DUPLICATE message
  – Send a status query message to eliminate deadlock
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Transaction Management

• Catalog
  – Write ahead log (WAL)
  – Multi-version concurrency control (MVCC)
  – Support snapshot isolation

• User data
  – Append-only HDFS files avoids complexity
  – System catalog records logical file length to control visibility

• No distributed commit protocols
  – Transaction is only noticeable on the master node
  – Self-described plans convey visibility information to segments
  – Transaction only occurs on master node
Transaction Management

• Isolation levels
  - Read committed
    - A statement can only see rows committed before it begins
  - Serializable
    - All statements of the current transaction can only see rows committed before the first query
  - Read Uncommitted
  - Repeatable read

• Locking
  – Control the conflicts between concurrent DDL and DML statements
Transaction Management

• Pivotal HDFS adds truncate to support transaction
  – Truncate is necessary for undoing changes by aborted transactions
  – Hadoop HDFS currently does not support truncate
  – Atomicity is guaranteed
    • Only one update operation allowed
    • Truncate applied to closed files only

• Concurrent Updates
  – Lightweight *swimming lane* approach for concurrent inserts
  – Catalog table is used to manage user table files
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Extension Framework

PXF: a fast and extensible framework connecting HAWQ to any data store of choice

• Users implement a parallel connector API to create connector for data stores

```
CREATE EXTERNAL TABLE my_hbase_sales (  
  recordkey BYTEA,  
  "details:storeid" INT,  
  "details:price" DOUBLE)  
LOCATION('pxf://<pxf service location>/sales?profile=HBase')  
  FORMAT 'CUSTOM' (formatter='pxfwriteable_import');

SELECT sum("details:price")  
FROM my_hbase_sales  
WHERE recordkey < 20130101000000;
```
Extension Framework

• Benefits
  – A real connection among various data stores to share data
  – Complex joins between internal HAWQ tables and external PXF tables
  – External jobs can run faster with HAWQ/PXF

• Advanced functionality
  – Exploits data locality to minimize network traffic
  – Exposes a filter push down API
  – Planner statics collection
HAWQ in Distributed Data Processing

Data processing framework
- MapReduce
- Dryad
- Spark

Framework extension
- Pig
- Hive
- Shark

Native SQL engines on HDFS
- Impala
- Presto
- Drill

Database and Hadoop Mix
- Greenplum
- DB2
- Vertica
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Experiments: Competing Systems

- **Stinger**
  - a community-based effort to optimize Apache Hive
  - reported 35x-45x faster than the original Hive
  - 36GB RAM on each node in YARN, 4GB minimum memory for each container

- **HAWQ**
  - 6 HAWQ segments are configured on each node
  - 6GB memory is allocated to each segment.
Experiments: TCP-H Results

Figure 6: Overall execution time with 160GB

Figure 7: Overall execution time with 1.6TB

Figure 8: Simple selection queries

Figure 9: Complex join queries
Experiments

Figure 10: Data Distribution

(a) 160GB dataset.  (b) 1.6TB dataset

Figure 11: Compression

(a) 40GB per node  (b) 160GB per cluster

Figure 12: TCP vs. UDP

Figure 13: Scalability
Conclusion: HAWQ

- A massively parallel processing SQL engine
- Inherits merits from MPP database and HDFS
- Stateless segment design supported by metadata dispatch and self-described execution plan
- UDP based interconnect to overcome TCP limitations
- Transaction management supported by a swimming lane model and truncate operation in HDFS
- Significant performance advantage over Stinger
Discussion

The master node alone handles transaction, catalog, user interaction, query processing, the final aggregation step of gather motion, etc.
1. Will it be the bottleneck in the system?
2. What are the alternative designs?

The paper claims that PXF allows HAWQ to interact with any data store of choice and run any type of SQL directly on external data sets.
1. What if the format is not compatible with Hadoop data formats or SQL query languages?
2. Is performance and efficiency a valid concern here?