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Network layer

- Transport segment from sending to receiving host
- On sending side, encapsulates segments into datagrams
- On receiving side, delivers segments to transport layer
- Network layer protocols in every host, router
- Router examines header fields in all IP datagrams passing through it
Network Layer is Host-to-Host
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# Internet Protocols

## Network Layers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Layer</th>
<th>Data Link Layer</th>
<th>Network Layer</th>
<th>Transport Layer</th>
<th>Application Layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X.25</td>
<td>Ethernet</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>FTP, Telnet, NFS, SMTP, HTTP, ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Packet Radio</td>
<td></td>
<td>UDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FDDI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Protocol Stack

- **Application Layer**
  - FTP
  - Telnet
  - NFS
  - SMTP
  - HTTP
  - ...

- **Transport Layer**
  - TCP
  - UDP

- **Network Layer**
  - IP

- **Data Link Layer**
  - Ethernet
  - Packet Radio
  - ATM
  - FDDI
  - ...

- **Physical Layer**
  - X.25
Two Key Network-Layer Functions

- **Forwarding**: move packets from router’s input to appropriate router output

- **Routing**: determine route taken by packets from source to dest.
  - routing algorithms

- **Connection service**: before datagrams flow, two end hosts and intervening routers establish virtual connection (VC)
  - Needed in *some* network architectures: ATM, frame relay, X.25
  - Network vs transport layer connection service:
    - network: between two hosts (may also involve intervening routers in case of VCs)
    - transport: between two processes
Interplay Between Routing and Forwarding

routing algorithm

local forwarding table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>header value</th>
<th>output link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0101</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0111</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

value in arriving packet’s header
Network service model

Q: What service model for “channel” transporting datagrams from sender to receiver?

example services for individual datagrams:
• guaranteed delivery
• guaranteed delivery with less than 40 msec delay

example services for a flow of datagrams:
• in-order datagram delivery
• guaranteed minimum bandwidth to flow
• restrictions on changes in inter-packet spacing
# Network layer service models:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network Architecture</th>
<th>Service Model</th>
<th>Guarantees ?</th>
<th>Congestion feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>best effort</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>constant rate</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>VBR</td>
<td>guaranteed rate</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>ABR</td>
<td>guaranteed Minimum</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Network layer connection and connection-less service

• Datagram network provides network-layer connectionless service
• Virtual Circuit (VC) network provides network-layer connection service
• Analogous to the transport-layer services, but:
  ➔ service: host-to-host
  ➔ no choice: network provides one or the other
  ➔ implementation: in network core
Virtual Circuits

“source-to-destination path behaves much like telephone circuit”

- performance-wise
- network actions along source-to-destination path

- call setup, teardown for each call before data can flow
- each packet carries VC identifier (not destination host address)
- every router on source-destination path maintains “state” for each passing connection
- link, router resources (bandwidth, buffers) may be allocated to VC (dedicated resources = predictable service)
Datagram networks

- no call setup at network layer
- routers: no state about end-to-end connections
  - no network-level concept of “connection”
- packets forwarded using destination host address
  - packets between same source-destination pair may take different paths
Datagram Forwarding table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dest address</th>
<th>output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>address-range 1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>address-range 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>address-range 3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>address-range 4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 billion IP addresses, so rather than list individual destination address list range of addresses (aggregate table entries)
# Datagram Forwarding Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination Address Range</th>
<th>Link Interface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00010000 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00010111 11111111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00011000 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011000 11111111</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00011111 11111111 through 11001000 00010111 00011001 00000000</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>otherwise</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination Address Range</th>
<th>Link interface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00010*** 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011000 00000000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00011001 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011111 11111111</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00011111 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011111 11111111</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>otherwise</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Longest prefix matching

When looking for forwarding table entry for given destination address, use *longest* address prefix that matches destination address.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination Address Range</th>
<th>Link interface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00010*** *******</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00011000 *******</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00011*** *******</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>otherwise</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Examples:

| DA: 11001000 00010111 00010110 10100001 | Which interface? |
| DA: 11001000 00010111 00011000 10101010 | Which interface? |
Datagram or VC network: why?

Internet (datagram)
- data exchange among computers
  - “elastic” service, no strict timing req.
- “smart” end systems (computers)
  - can adapt, perform control, error recovery
  - simple inside network, complexity at “edge”
- many link types
  - different characteristics
  - uniform service difficult

ATM (VC)
- evolved from telephony
- human conversation:
  - strict timing, reliability requirements
  - need for guaranteed service
- “dumb” end systems
  - telephones
  - complexity inside network
Router Architecture Overview

two key router functions:

- run routing algorithms/protocol (RIP, OSPF, BGP)
- *forwarding* datagrams from incoming to outgoing link
**Input Port Functions**

Decentralized switching:
- given datagram destination, lookup output port using forwarding table in input port memory
- goal: complete input port processing at ‘line speed’
- queuing: if datagrams arrive faster than forwarding rate into switch fabric

**Physical layer:**
bit-level reception

**Data link layer:**
e.g., Ethernet
see chapter 5

**Diagram:***
- line termination
- link layer protocol (receive)
- lookup, forwarding queueing
- switch fabric
Switching fabrics

• transfer packet from input buffer to appropriate output buffer

• switching rate: rate at which packets can be transferred from inputs to outputs
  ➡ often measured as multiple of input/output line rate
  ➡ $N$ inputs: switching rate $N$ times line rate desirable

• three types of switching fabrics

memory

bus

crossbar
The Internet Network layer

Host, router network layer functions:

- **Routing protocols**
  - path selection
  - RIP, OSPF, BGP

- **IP protocol**
  - addressing conventions
  - datagram format
  - packet handling conventions

- **ICMP protocol**
  - error reporting
  - router “signaling”

Transport layer: TCP, UDP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network layer</th>
<th>Link layer</th>
<th>Physical layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diagram showing the network layer with routing protocols, IP protocol, and ICMP protocol.
IP datagram format

- **IP protocol version number**
- **Header length (bytes)**
- **"Type" of data**
- **Max number remaining hops** (decremented at each router)
- **Upper layer protocol to deliver payload to**

**Fields in the IP datagram format:**

- **32 bits**
- **Total datagram length (bytes)**
- **For fragmentation/reassembly**
- **Options (if any)**

**Fields within the IP datagram:**

- **IP protocol version**
- **Header length**
- **Type of service**
- **Total datagram length**
- **Identification**
- **Flags**
- **Fragment offset**
- **Time to live**
- **Upper layer**
- **Source IP address**
- **Destination IP address**
- **Data** (variable length, typically a TCP or UDP segment)
- **Checksum**
- **Options (if any)**

**E.g. timestamp, record route taken, specify list of routers to visit.**
IP Fragmentation & Reassembly

- network links have MTU (maximum transmission unit): largest possible link-level frame.
  - different link types, different MTUs
- large IP datagram divided ("fragmented") within net
  - one datagram becomes several datagrams
  - “reassembled” only at final destination
  - IP header bits used to identify, order related fragments
IP Fragmentation and Reassembly

Example

- 4000 byte datagram
- MTU = 1500 bytes

One large datagram becomes several smaller datagrams

Offset calculation:

1480 bytes in data field

Offset = 1480 / 8

Table showing fragment details:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>length</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>fragflag</th>
<th>offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1040</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IP Addressing: introduction

- **IP address**: 32-bit identifier for host, router *interface*
- **interface**: connection between host/router and physical link
  - router’s typically have multiple interfaces
  - host typically has one interface
  - IP addresses associated with each interface

```
223.1.1.1 = 11011111 00000001 00000001 00000001
223  1  1  1  1
```
Subnets

• IP address:
  ➡ subnet part (high order bits)
  ➡ host part (low order bits)

• What’s a subnet?
  ➡ device interfaces with same subnet part of IP address
  ➡ can physically reach each other without intervening router

Subnet (223.1.3.0/24)
Subnets

How many?
IP addressing: CIDR

CIDR: Classless InterDomain Routing

- subnet portion of address of arbitrary length
- address format: \texttt{a.b.c.d/x}, where \( x \) is \# bits in subnet portion of address

\begin{align*}
\text{subnet part} & \quad \text{host part} \\
11001000 & \quad 00010111 & \quad 00010000 & \quad 00000000 \\
\end{align*}

\texttt{200.23.16.0/23}
IP addresses: how to get one?

Q: How does a host get IP address?

• Static allocation: hard-coded by system admin in a file
• **DHCP**: Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol: dynamically get address from as server
  ➔ “plug-and-play”
DHCP: Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol

**Goal:** allow host to *dynamically* obtain its IP address from network server when it joins network

- Can renew its lease on address in use
- Allows reuse of addresses (only hold address while connected)
- Support for mobile users who want to join network

DHCP overview:

- ➡ host broadcasts “DHCP discover” msg [optional]
- ➡ DHCP server responds with “DHCP offer” msg [optional]
- ➡ host requests IP address: “DHCP request” msg
- ➡ DHCP server sends address: “DHCP ack” msg
NAT: Network Address Translation

**Motivation:** local network uses just one IP address as far as outside world is concerned:

- range of addresses not needed from ISP: just one IP address for all devices
- can change addresses of devices in local network without notifying outside world
- can change ISP without changing addresses of devices in local network
- devices inside local net not explicitly addressable, visible by outside world (a security plus).

All datagrams *leaving* local network have *same* single source NAT IP address: 138.76.29.7, different source port numbers.

Datagrams with source or destination in this network have 10.0.0/24 address for source, destination (as usual).
ICMP: Internet Control Message Protocol

- used by hosts & routers to communicate network-level information
  - error reporting: unreachable host, network, port, protocol
  - echo request/reply (used by ping)

- network-layer “above” IP:
  - ICMP msgs carried in IP datagrams

- ICMP message: type, code plus first 8 bytes of IP datagram causing error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>echo reply (ping)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>dest. network unreachable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>dest host unreachable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>dest protocol unreachable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>dest port unreachable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>dest network unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>dest host unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>source quench (congestion control - not used)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>echo request (ping)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>route advertisement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>router discovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>TTL expired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>bad IP header</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traceroute and ICMP

• Source sends series of UDP segments to dest
  ➔ first has TTL =1
  ➔ second has TTL=2, etc.
  ➔ unlikely port number
• When nth datagram arrives to nth router:
  ➔ router discards datagram
  ➔ and sends to source an ICMP message (type 11, code 0)
  ➔ ICMP message includes name of router & IP address
• when ICMP message arrives, source calculates RTT
• traceroute does this 3 times

Stopping criterion
• UDP segment eventually arrives at destination host
• destination returns ICMP “port unreachable” packet (type 3, code 3)
• when source gets this ICMP, stops.
IPv6

• **Initial motivation:** 32-bit address space soon to be completely allocated.

• **Additional motivation:**
  - header format helps speed processing/forwarding
  - header changes to facilitate QoS

**IPv6 datagram format:**
- fixed-length 40 byte header
- no fragmentation allowed
IPv6 Header (Cont)

*Priority:* identify priority among datagrams in flow

*Flow Label:* identify datagrams in same “flow.”

(concept of “flow” not well defined).

*Next header:* identify upper layer protocol for data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ver</th>
<th>pri</th>
<th>flow label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>payload len</td>
<td>next hdr</td>
<td>hop limit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- source address
  - (128 bits)
- destination address
  - (128 bits)

- data

32 bits
Other Changes from IPv4

- **Checksum**: removed entirely to reduce processing time at each hop
- **Options**: allowed, but outside of header, indicated by “Next Header” field
- **ICMPv6**: new version of ICMP
  - additional message types, e.g. “Packet Too Big”
  - multicast group management functions
Transition From IPv4 To IPv6

- Not all routers can be upgraded simultaneously
  - no “flag days”
- How will the network operate with mixed IPv4 and IPv6 routers?
- **Tunneling**: IPv6 carried as payload in IPv4 datagram among IPv4 routers

---

**Logical view:**

A: IPv6

B: IPv6

E: IPv6

F: IPv6

**Physical view:**

A: IPv6

B: IPv6

E: IPv6

F: IPv6

Flow: X
Src: A
Dest: F

**Flow:**

A-to-B: IPv6

B-to-C: IPv6 inside IPv4

E-to-F: IPv6

**Data:**

IPv4

Src: B

Fl:ow: X
Src: A
Dest: F

IPv6 inside IPv4

IPv4
Routing Algorithms – Interplay between routing, forwarding

Routing algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Header Value</th>
<th>Output Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0101</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0111</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

value in arriving packet’s header
Graph abstraction

- **Graph:** $G=(N,E)$
  - $N$: set of routers = \{u, v, w, x, y, z\}
  - $E$: set of links = \{(u,v), (u,x), (v,x), (v,w), (x,w), (x,y), (w,y), (w,z), (y,z)\}
- **$c(x,y)$ = cost of link (x,y)**
  - e.g., $c(w,z) = 5$
  - cost could always be 1, or inversely related to bandwidth, or inversely related to congestion
- **Cost of path** $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_p) = c(x_1,x_2) + c(x_2,x_3) + \ldots + c(x_{p-1},x_p)$

**Routing algorithm:** algorithm that finds least-cost path
Routing Algorithm classification

Global or decentralized information?

Global:
• all routers have complete topology, link cost info
• “link state” (LS) algorithms

Decentralized:
• router knows physically-connected neighbors, link costs to neighbors
• iterative process of computation, exchange of info with neighbors
• “distance vector” (DV) algorithms

Static or dynamic?

Static:
• routes change slowly over time

Dynamic:
• routes change more quickly
  ➡ periodic update
  ➡ in response to link cost changes
A Link-State Routing Algorithm

Dijkstra’s algorithm

• net topology, link costs known to all nodes
  ➔ accomplished via “link state broadcast”
  ➔ all nodes have same info

• Dijkstra’s algorithm: computes least cost paths from one node (“source”) to all other nodes
  ➔ gives *forwarding table* for that node
  ➔ iterative: after $k$ iterations, know least cost path to $k$ destinations
set of nodes whose least cost path definitively known

**Dijsktra’s Algorithm**

1. **Initialization:**
   2. $N' = \{u\}$
   3. for all nodes $v$
   4. if $v$ adjacent to $u$
   5. then $D(v) = c(u,v)$
   6. else $D(v) = \infty$

8. **Loop**
   9. find $w$ not in $N'$ such that $D(w)$ is a minimum
   10. add $w$ to $N'$
   11. update $D(v)$ for all $v$ adjacent to $w$ and not in $N'$:
   12. $D(v) = \min(D(v), D(w) + c(w,v))$
   13. /* new cost to $v$ is either old cost to $v$ or known shortest path cost to $w$ plus cost from $w$ to $v$ */
   15. until all nodes in $N'$

current value of cost of path from source to destination $v$
link cost from node $x$ to $y$; $= \infty$ if not direct neighbors
# Dijkstra’s algorithm: example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>N'</th>
<th>D(v),p(v)</th>
<th>D(w),p(w)</th>
<th>D(x),p(x)</th>
<th>D(y),p(y)</th>
<th>D(z),p(z)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>2,u</td>
<td>5,u</td>
<td>1,u</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ux</td>
<td>2,u</td>
<td>4,x</td>
<td>2,x</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>uxy</td>
<td>2,u</td>
<td>3,y</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>uxyv</td>
<td>2,u</td>
<td>3,y</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>uxyvw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>uxyvwz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
```

![Graph diagram]

The graph diagram visualizes the network of nodes and edges, with distances labeled on each edge. The table outlines the step-by-step application of Dijkstra’s algorithm, updating distances and predecessors as the algorithm progresses.
Dijkstra’s algorithm: example (2)

Resulting shortest-path tree from u:

![Graph diagram]

Resulting forwarding table in u:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>destination</th>
<th>link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>(u,v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>(u,x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>(u,x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>(u,x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>(u,x)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Distance Vector Algorithm

Bellman-Ford Equation (dynamic programming)

Define

\[ d_x(y) := \text{cost of least-cost path from } x \text{ to } y \]

Then

\[ d_x(y) = \min_v \{c(x,v) + d_v(y)\} \]

where min is taken over all neighbors v of x
Bellman-Ford example

Clearly, $d_v(z) = 5$, $d_x(z) = 3$, $d_w(z) = 3$

B-F equation says:

$$d_u(z) = \min \{ c(u,v) + d_v(z), c(u,x) + d_x(z), c(u,w) + d_w(z) \}$$

$$= \min \{2 + 5, 1 + 3, 5 + 3\} = 4$$

Node that achieves minimum is next hop in shortest path ➔ forwarding table
Distance Vector Algorithm

- Each node $x$ maintains the following:
  - Its own distance vector $D_x = [D_x(y): y \in N]$ (N is the set of nodes)
    - $D_x(y)$ = estimate of least cost from $x$ to $y$
  - Cost to each neighbor $v$: $c(x,v)$
  - Its neighbors’ distance vectors. For each neighbor $v$, $x$ maintains $D_v = [D_v(y): y \in N]$

- From time-to-time, each node sends its own distance vector estimate to neighbors.

- When $x$ receives new DV estimate from neighbor, it updates its own DV using B-F equation:

  $$D_x(y) \leftarrow \min_v \{c(x,v) + D_v(y)\} \quad \text{for each node } y \in N$$

- Under minor, natural conditions, the estimate $D_x(y)$ converge to the actual least cost $d_x(y)$. 
Distance Vector Algorithm

Iterative, asynchronous:
  each local iteration caused by:
  • local link cost change
  • DV update message from neighbor

Distributed:
  • each node notifies neighbors only when its DV changes
    ➔ neighbors then notify their neighbors if necessary

Each node:

wait for (change in local link cost or msg from neighbor)

recompute estimates

if DV to any dest has changed, notify neighbors
\[ D_x(y) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(y), c(x,z) + D_z(y)\} \]
\[ = \min\{2 + 0, 7 + 1\} = 2 \]

\[ D_x(z) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(z), c(x,z) + D_z(z)\} \]
\[ = \min\{2 + 1, 7 + 0\} = 3 \]

**node x table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>from</th>
<th>cost to</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**node y table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>from</th>
<th>cost to</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**node z table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>from</th>
<th>cost to</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ D_x(y) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(y), c(x,z) + D_z(y)\} \]
\[ = \min\{2+0, 7+1\} = 2 \]

\[ D_x(z) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(z), c(x,z) + D_z(z)\} \]
\[ = \min\{2+1, 7+0\} = 3 \]

**node x table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**node y table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**node z table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
<td>\infty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison of LS and DV algorithms

Message complexity

- **LS**: with n nodes, E links, O(nE) msgs sent
- **DV**: exchange between neighbors only
  - convergence time varies

Speed of Convergence

- **LS**: O(n^2) algorithm requires O(nE) msgs
  - may have oscillations
- **DV**: convergence time varies
  - may be routing loops
  - count-to-infinity problem

Robustness: what happens if router malfunctions?

**LS**:
- node can advertise incorrect link cost
- each node computes only its own table

**DV**:
- DV node can advertise incorrect path cost
- each node’s table used by others
  - error propagate thru network
Hierarchical Routing

So far we assumed

• All routers are identical
• Network is “flat”
• These are not true in practice

scale: with 200 million destinations:

• can’t store all destinations in routing tables!
• routing table exchange would swamp links!

administrative autonomy

• internet = network of networks
• each network admin may want to control routing in its own network
Hierarchical Routing

- aggregate routers into regions, autonomous systems (AS)
- routers in same AS run same routing protocol
  - intra-AS routing protocol
  - routers in different AS can run different intra-AS routing protocol

gateway router
  - at “edge” of its own AS
  - has link to router in another AS
Interconnected ASes

- forwarding table configured by both intra- and inter-AS routing algorithm
  - intra-AS sets entries for internal destinations
  - inter-AS & intra-As sets entries for external destinations
**Inter-AS tasks**

- suppose router in AS1 receives datagram destined outside of AS1:
  - router should forward packet to gateway router, but which one?

**AS1 must:**
1. learn which destinations are reachable through AS2, which through AS3
2. propagate this reachability info to all routers in AS1

job of inter-AS routing!
Intra-AS Routing

• also known as Interior Gateway Protocols (IGP)
• most common Intra-AS routing protocols:
  ➡  RIP: Routing Information Protocol (open - Internet)
  ➡  OSPF: Open Shortest Path First (open – Internet)
  ➡  IGRP: Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (Cisco proprietary)
RIP (Routing Information Protocol)

- included in BSD-UNIX distribution in 1982
- distance vector algorithm
  - distance metric: # hops (max = 15 hops), each link has cost 1
  - DVs exchanged with neighbors every 30 sec in response message (aka advertisement)
  - each advertisement: list of up to 25 destination subnets (in IP addressing sense)

from router A to destination subnets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subnet</th>
<th>hops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RIP: Example

Routing table in router D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>destination subnet</th>
<th>next router</th>
<th># hops to dest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RIP: Example
A-to-D advertisement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dest</th>
<th>next hops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>- 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>- 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>C 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

routing table in router D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>destination subnet</th>
<th>next router</th>
<th># hops to dest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>B A</td>
<td>2 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>B A</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A-to-D advertisement
OSPF (Open Shortest Path First)

• “open”: publicly available
• uses Link State algorithm
  ➔ LS packet dissemination
  ➔ topology map at each node
  ➔ route computation using Dijkstra’s algorithm

• OSPF advertisement carries one entry per neighbor router
• advertisements disseminated to entire AS (via flooding)
  ➔ carried in OSPF messages directly over IP (rather than TCP or UDP)
OSPF “advanced” features (not in RIP)

• **security**: all OSPF messages authenticated (to prevent malicious intrusion)

• **multiple same-cost paths** allowed (only one path in RIP)

• for each link, multiple cost metrics for different **TOS** (e.g., satellite link cost set “low” for best effort ToS; high for real time ToS)

• integrated uni- and **multicast** support:
  ➔ Multicast OSPF (MOSPF) uses same topology data base as OSPF

• **hierarchical** OSPF in large domains.
Hierarchical OSPF

- Boundary router
- Backbone router
- Backbone
- Area 1
- Area 2
- Area 3
- Area border routers
- Internal routers
Hierarchical OSPF

- **two-level hierarchy**: local area, backbone.
  - link-state advertisements only in area
  - each node has detailed area topology; only know direction (shortest path) to nets in other areas.

- **area border routers**: “summarize” distances to nets in own area, advertise to other Area Border routers.

- **backbone routers**: run OSPF routing limited to backbone.

- **boundary routers**: connect to other AS’s.
Internet inter-AS routing: BGP

- **BGP (Border Gateway Protocol):** the de facto inter-domain routing protocol
  - “glue that holds the Internet together”

- BGP provides each AS a means to:
  - **eBGP:** obtain subnet reachability information from neighboring ASs.
  - **iBGP:** propagate reachability information to all AS-internal routers.
  - determine “good” routes to other networks based on reachability information and policy.

- allows subnet to advertise its existence to rest of Internet: “I am here”
BGP basics

- **BGP session:** two BGP routers ("peers") exchange BGP messages:
  - advertising *paths* to different destination network prefixes ("path vector" protocol)
  - exchanged over semi-permanent TCP connections

- when AS3 advertises a prefix to AS1:
  - AS3 *promises* it will forward datagrams towards that prefix
  - AS3 can aggregate prefixes in its advertisement
BGP basics: distributing path information

- using eBGP session between 3a and 1c, AS3 sends prefix reachability info to AS1.
  - 1c can then use iBGP to distribute new prefix info to all routers in AS1
  - 1b can then re-advertise new reachability info to AS2 over 1b-to-2a eBGP session
- when router learns of new prefix, it creates entry for prefix in its forwarding table.
BGP routing policy

- A, B, C are provider networks
- X, W, Y are customer (of provider networks)
- X is dual-homed: attached to two networks
  - X does not want to route from B via X to C
  - .. so X will not advertise to B a route to C
BGP routing policy (2)

- A advertises path AW to B
- B advertises path BAW to X
- Should B advertise path BAW to C?
  - No! B gets no “revenue” for routing CBAW since neither W nor C are B’s customers
  - B wants to force C to route to w via A
  - B wants to route only to/from its customers!
Why different Intra- and Inter-AS routing?

Policy:
- Inter-AS: admin wants control over how its traffic routed, who routes through its net.
- Intra-AS: single admin, so no policy decisions needed

Scale:
- Hierarchical routing saves table size, reduced update traffic

Performance:
- Intra-AS: can focus on performance
- Inter-AS: policy may dominate over performance