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## LECTURE OUTLINE

- Query Processing Methodology
- Basic Operations and Their Costs
- Generation of Execution Plans


## QUERY PROCESSING IN A DDBMS

high level user query


Low-level data manipulation
commands for D-DBMS

## SELECTING ALTERNATIVES

| SELECT | ENAME |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM | EMP, ASG |
| WHERE | EMP.ENO = ASG.ENO |
| AND | ASG.RESP = "Manager" |

Strategy 1
$\Pi_{\text {ENAME }}\left(\sigma_{\text {RESP="Manager"^EMP.ENO=ASG.ENO }}(E M P \times A S G)\right)$
Strategy 2
$\Pi_{\text {ENAME }}\left(E M P \bowtie_{\text {ENO }}\left(\sigma_{\text {RESP="Manager" }}(A S G)\right)\right.$
Strategy 2 avoids Cartesian product, so may be "better"

## PICTORIALLY

Strategy 1


Strategy 2


## QUERY PROCESSING METHODOLOGY



SQL

- check SQL syntax
- check existence of relations and attributes
- replace views by their definitions
- transform query into an internal form
- Scan the Vendor table, select all tuples where Vno = [1000, 2000], eliminate attributes other than Vno and Vname, and place the result in a temporary relation $R_{1}$
- Join the tables $R_{1}$ and Transaction, eliminate attributes other than Vno, Vname, and Amount, and place the result in a temporary relation $R_{2}$. This may involve:
- sorting $R_{1}$ on Vno
- sorting Transaction on Vno
- merging the two sorted relations to produce $R_{2}$
- Perform grouping on $R_{2}$, and place the result in a temporary relation $R_{3}$. This may involve:
- sorting $R_{2}$ on Vno and Vname
- grouping tuples with identical values of Vno and Vname
- counting the number of tuples in each group, and adding their Amounts
- $\operatorname{Scan} R_{3}$, select all tuples with sum(Amount) $>100$ to produce the result.


## EXAMPLE

```
SELECT V.Vno, Vname, count(*),
sum(Amount)
FROM Vendor V, Transaction T
WHERE V.Vno = T.Vno
AND V.Vno between 1000 and
2000
GROUP BY V.Vno, Vname
HAVING sum(Amount) > 100
```



## QUERY OPTIMIZATION ISSUES

- Determining the "shape" of the execution plan
- Order of execution
- Determining which how each "node" in the plan should be executed
- Operator implementations
- These are interdependent and an optimizer would do both in generating the execution plan


## "SHAPE " OF THE EXECUTION PLAN

- Finding query trees that are "equivalent"
- Produce the same result - provably
- These are based on the transformation (equivalence) rules
- Commutativity of selection

$$
\text { - } \sigma_{p_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)}\left(\sigma_{p_{2}\left(A_{2}\right)} R\right) \Leftrightarrow \sigma_{p_{2}\left(A_{2}\right)}\left(\sigma_{p_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)} R\right)
$$

- Commutativity of binary operations
- $R \times S \Leftrightarrow S \times R$
- $R \bowtie S \Leftrightarrow S \bowtie R$
- $R \cup S \Leftrightarrow S \cup R$
- $R \cap S \Leftrightarrow S \cap R$
- Associativity of binary operations
- $(R \times S) \times T \Leftrightarrow R \times(S \times T)$
- $(R \bowtie S) \bowtie T \Leftrightarrow R \bowtie(S \bowtie T)$
- $(R \cup S) \cup T \Leftrightarrow(S \cup R) \cup T$
- Cascading of unary operations
- $\Pi_{A^{\prime}}\left(\Pi_{A^{\prime}}(R)\right) \Leftrightarrow \Pi_{A^{\prime}}(R)$ where $R[A]$ and $A^{\prime} \subseteq A, A^{\prime \prime} \subseteq A$ and $A^{\prime} \subseteq A^{\prime \prime}$
- $\sigma_{p_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)}\left(\sigma_{p_{2}\left(A_{2}\right)}(R)\right) \Leftrightarrow \sigma_{p_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \wedge p_{2}\left(A_{2}\right)}(R)$


## OTHER TRANSFORMATION RULES

- Commuting selection with projection
- $\Pi_{B}\left(\sigma_{p(A)} R\right) \Leftrightarrow \sigma_{p(A)}\left(\Pi_{B} R\right)$ (where $B \subseteq A$ )
- Commuting selection with binary operations

> - $\sigma_{p(A)}(R \times S) \Leftrightarrow\left(\sigma_{p(A)}(R)\right) \times S$ (where $A$ belongs to $R$ only)
> - $\sigma_{p\left(A_{i}\right)}\left(R \bowtie_{\left(A_{j} B_{k}\right)} S\right) \Leftrightarrow\left(\sigma_{p\left(A_{j}\right)}(R)\right) \bowtie_{\left(A_{j} B_{k}\right)} S$ (where $A_{i}$ belongs to $R$ only)
> - $\sigma_{p\left(A_{j}\right)}(R \cup S) \Leftrightarrow \sigma_{p\left(A_{j}\right)}(R) \cup \sigma_{p\left(A_{j}\right)}(S)$ (where $A_{i}$ belongs to $R$ and $S$ )
> - $\sigma_{p\left(A_{j}\right)}(R \cap S) \Leftrightarrow \sigma_{p\left(A_{j}\right)}(R) \cap \sigma_{p\left(A_{j}\right)}(S)$ (where $A_{i}$ belongs to $R$ and $S$ )

- Commuting projection with binary operations
- $\Pi_{C}(R \times S) \Leftrightarrow \Pi_{A^{\prime}}(R) \times \Pi_{B^{\prime}}(S)$
- $\Pi_{C}\left(R \bowtie_{\left(A_{j} B_{k}\right)} S\right) \Leftrightarrow \Pi_{A^{\prime}}(R) \bowtie_{\left(A_{j} B_{k}\right)} \Pi_{B}(S)$
- $\Pi_{C}(R \cup S) \Leftrightarrow \Pi_{C}(R) \cup \Pi_{C}(S)$
- $\Pi_{C}(R \cap S) \Leftrightarrow \Pi_{C}(R) \cap \Pi_{C}(S)$
where $R[A]$ and $S[B] ; C=A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime}$ where $A^{\prime} \subseteq A, B^{\prime} \subseteq B$


## EXAMPLE TRANSFORMATION

Find the names of employees other than J. Doe who worked on the CAD/CAM project for either one or $\sigma_{G . D U R=12 \wedge ~ G . D U R=24 ~}$ two years.

SELECT ENAME
FROM PROJ P, ASG G, EMP E


## EQUIVALENT QUERY

$\sigma_{\mathrm{P} . \mathrm{PNAME}=‘ \mathrm{CAD} / \mathrm{CAM}}$ ’ $\wedge\left(\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{DUR}=12 \wedge\right.$ G.DUR=24) $\wedge E . E N A M E<>' J . D o e^{\prime}$


## ANOTHER EQUIVALENT QUERY



## CLICKER QUESTION \#36

- Is the right query plan equivalent to the left query plan?

(a) Yes
(b) No


## IMPORTANT PROBLEM - JOIN ORDER

- Assume you have $R \bowtie S \bowtie T \bowtie W$

- Most systems implement linear join trees
- Left-linear


## JOIN ORDERING

- Even with left-linear, how do you know which order?
- Assume natural join over common attributes



## SOME OPERATOR IMPLEMENTATIONS

- Tuple Selection
- without an index
- with a clustered index
- with an unclustered index
- with multiple indices
- Projection
- Joining
- nested loop join
- sort-merge join
- and others...
- Grouping and Duplicate Elimination
- by sorting
- by hashing
- Sorting


## EXAMPLE - JOIN ALGORITHMS

```
SELECT C.Cnum, A.Balance
FROM Customer C, Accounts A
WHERE C.Cnum = A.Cnum
```

- Nested loop join:
for each tuple c in Customer do for each tuple a in Accounts do
if $c . C n u m=a . C n u m$ then output c.Cnum,a.Balance
end
end


## EXAMPLE - JOIN ALGORITHMS (2)

SELECT C.Cnum, A.Balance
FROM Customer C, Accounts A
WHERE C.Cnum = A.Cnum

- Index join:
for each tuple c in Customer do use the index to find Accounts tuples a where a.Cnum matches c.Cnum if there are any such tuples a then output c.Cnum, a.Balance end end
- Sort-merge join:
sort Customer and Accounts on Cnum merge the resulting sorted relations


## COMPLEXITY OF OPERATORS

- Assume
- Relations of cardinality $n$
- Sequential scan

| Operation | Complexity |
| :---: | :---: |
| Select <br> Project <br> (without duplicate elimination) | $\mathrm{O}(n)$ |
| Project <br> (with duplicate elimination) <br> Group | $\mathrm{O}(n * \log n)$ |
| Join <br> Semi-join <br> Division <br> Set Operators | $\mathrm{O}(n * \log n)$ |
| Cartesian Product | $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ |

## COST OF PLANS

- Alternative access plans may be compared according to cost.
- The cost of an access plan is the sum of the costs of its component operations.
- There are many possible cost metrics. However, most metrics reflect the amounts of system resources consumed by the access plan. System resources may include:
- disk block I/O’ s
- processing time
- network bandwidth


## LECTURE SUMMARY

- Query processing methodology
- Basic query operations and their costs
- Generation of execution plans

