State of the Art in Photon Density Estimation

Progressive Expectation–Maximization for Hierarchical Volumetric Photon Mapping

Wojciech Jarosz

(slides courtesy of Wenzel Jakob)

Motivation

Volumetric photon mapping



Issues

- high-frequency illumination requires *many* photons
- time spent on photons that contribute very little
- prone to temporal flickering

Motivation

Beam radiance estimate : 917K photons

Per-pixel render time

Motivation

Jakob et al. 2011. Proceedings of EGSR.

Beam radiance estimate : 917K photons

Render time: 281 s

Per-pixel render time

Our method: 4K Gaussians

Render time: 125 s

Per-pixel render time

Our approach:

- represent radiance using a Gaussian mixture model (**GMM**)
- fit using progressive expectation maximization (**EM**)
- render with multiple levels of detail

Motivation

Beam radiance estimate : 4M photons



Render time: 727s

Our method: 16K Gaussians



Render time: 457 s

Our approach:

- represent radiance using a Gaussian mixture model (**GMM**)
- fit using progressive expectation maximization (**EM**)
- render with multiple levels of detail

Related work

- Hierarchical photon mapping [Spencer and Jones 09]
- Photon relaxation [Spencer and Jones 09]
- Progressive photon relaxation [Spencer and Jones 13]
- Photon parameterisation for robust relaxation constraints [Spencer and Jones 13]



Feature detection & preservation challenging

Density estimation

Density estimation



Given photons
$x_1, x_2, \ldots$

approximately determine
their density f

Nonparametric:

- Count the number of photons within a small region

Parametric:

- Find suitable parameters for a **known** distribution

Gaussian mixture models

- Photon density modeled as a weighted sum of Gaussians:

$$f\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \Theta\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \; g\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \Theta_i\right)$$

$0.7 \cdot$  $+ 0.3 \cdot$  $=$ 

Gaussian mixture models

- Photon density modeled as a weighted sum of Gaussians:

$$f\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \Theta\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \; g\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \Theta_i\right)$$

Unknown parameters Θ:

1. Weights



2. Means



3. Covariance matrices





Maximum likelihood estimation

Approach: find the "most likely" parameters, i.e.

Mixture model

$$\Theta^* := \underset{\Theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_i \mid \Theta\right)$$

Estimated parameters

Photon locations

→ **Expectation maximization**

Expectation maximization

- Two components:

E-Step: establish soft assignment between photons and Gaussians

M-Step: maximize the expected likelihood



- Finds a locally optimal solution

  ➔ good starting guess needed!

- Slow and scales poorly — $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ (where n: photon count)

Plain EM



Each photo is a “pixel” [Verbeek et al. 06]

Accelerated EM [Verbeek et al. 06]

Components

Accelerated EM



Stored cell statistics:

- photon count
- mean position
- average outer product

Progressive EM



Stored cell statistics:

- photon count
- mean position
- average outer product

Our modifications:

- better cell refinement

Progressive EM



Stored cell statistics:

- photon count
- mean position
- average outer product

Our modifications:

- better cell refinement
- progressive photons shooting passes

Progressive EM



Stored cell statistics:

- photon count
- mean position
- average outer product

Our modifications:

- better cell refinement
- progressive photons shooting passes
- reduced complexity

$$\mathcal{O}(n^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$$

Pipeline overview



Rendering



$$\text{pixel value} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \text{contrib}(i)$$

$$\text{contrib}(i) = \int_a^b g(\mathbf{r}(t)|\bar{\Theta}_i)\, e^{-\sigma_t\, t} dt = C_0 \left[\text{erf}\left(\frac{C_3 + 2C_2 b}{2\sqrt{C_2}} \right) - \text{erf}\left(\frac{C_3 + 2C_2 a}{2\sqrt{C_2}} \right) \right]$$

...

Level of detail hierarchy

Agglomerative construction:

- Repeatedly merge nearby Gaussians based on their Kullback-Leibler divergence



Rendering

Example hierarchy:



Criterion 1: bounding box intersected?



Criterion 2: solid angle large enough?



Criterion 3: attenuation low enough?





BRE: 1M Photons

23+192 = 215 s



Our method: 4K Gaussians
(fit to 1M photons)

35+24 = 59 s
(3.6×)



BRE: 18M Photons

507+609 = 1116 s



Our method: 64K Gaussians
(fit to 18M photons)

868+66 = 934 s
(1.2×)



BRE: 4M Photons

89 + 638 = 727 s



Our method: 16K Gaussians

330 + 127 = 457 s
(1.6×)

Temporal Coherence



- Feed the result of the current frame into the next one

  → Faster fitting, no temporal noise

Scene 1: BumpySphere

Volume caustics from a rotating light source

GPU-based rasterizer:

- Anisotropic Gaussian splat shader: 30 lines of GLSL
- Gaussian representation is very compact
  (4096-term GMM requires only ~240KB of storage)

Conclusion

- Rendering technique based on parametric density estimation
- Uses a progressive and optimized variant of accelerated EM
- Compact & hierarchical representation of volumetric radiance
- Extensions for temporal coherence and real-time visualization

Questions?