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The Pen as an Input Device

- Pen input for electronic devices is becoming important as an input modality.

- Pens can be used where keyboards can't, on very small or very large devices, in wet or dirty environments, by people with repetitive stress injuries.

- They also allow much easier 2-dimensional input, e.g. for drawings, music or mathematics.

\[
e^x = \int e^x \, dx = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{i!}
\]
Our Work in Pen-Based Computing

Long-term ongoing projects

- Mathematical handwriting recognition
  - for computer algebra
  - for document processors
  - Geometric and statistical methods
- Real time ink processing
- Multi-modal ink – *Skype* add on
- Portability of ink data – *InkML*
- Portability of ink software
Our Work in Pen-Based Computing

Newer projects

- Personal handwritten fonts
- Handwriting neatening
- Calligraphic rendering with InkML
- Ink compression
- Multi-language ink handling
Pen-Based Math?

- Input for CAS and document processing.
- 2D editing.
- Computer-mediated collaboration.
- Killer app for pen.
Pen-Based Math!

• Does not require learning another language:

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{r} g_{r-i} X^i \]

\[ \text{sum}(g[r-i] \times X^i, i = 0..r); \]
Pen-Based Math!

- Different than natural language recognition:
  - 2-D layout is a combination of writing and drawing.
  - Many similar few-stroke characters.
  - Many alphabets, used idiosyncratically.
  - Lots of symbols, each person uses only small subset.
  - No fixed dictionary.
Character Recognition

- Will concentrate on character recognition
- Several projects ignoring this problem
- Three statisticians go hunting
Digital Ink Formats

- Collected by surface digitizer or camera
- Sequence of $(x, y)$ points in time
  - sampled at some known frequency
  - + possibly other info (angles, pressure, etc)
- Grouping into traces, letters, words + labelling
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InkML Concepts

- Traces, trace groups
- Device information: sampling rate, resolution, etc.
- Pre-defined and application defined channels
- Trace formats, coordinate transformations
- Annotation text and XML
Usual Character Reco. Methods

- Smooth and re-sample data  \textit{THEN}
- Match against \textit{N} models by sequence alignment  \textit{OR}
- Identify “features”, such as
  - Coordinate values of sample points, Number of loops, cusps, Writing direction at selected points, etc
  - Use a classification method, such as
    - Nearest neighbour, Subspace projection, Cluster analysis, Support Vector Machine
    \textit{THEN}
- Rank choices by consulting dictionary
Difficulties

- Having many similar characters (e.g. for math) means comparison against all possible symbol models is slow.

- Determining features from points
  - Requires many \textit{ad hoc} parameters.
  - Replaces measured points with interpolations
  - It is not clear how many points to keep, and most methods depend on number of points
  - Device dependent

- What to do since there is no dictionary?

- New ideas are needed!
Two Thoughts

- For HWR do we need all the trace data?
  - Do we need all the points?
  - Do we need full accuracy for all the points?

- What is classification?
  - H (English aitch, Greek eta, Russian en)
  - O (zero, oh, degree, …)
  - P, C, S (R, S, T)
Fundamental Thm of HWR

∀ A, if a sample looks like an A, then it can be an A.
Fundamental Thm of HWR

∀ A, if a sample looks like an A, then it can be an A.

Corollaries:

- Classification gives a set of valid possibilities.
- Must be able to classify perturbed inputs.
- Can use approximation to represent traces more conveniently.
Orthogonal Series Representation

- **Main idea:** Represent coordinate curves as truncated orthogonal series.

- **Advantages:**
  - *Compact* – few coefficients needed
  - *Geometric*
    - the truncation order is a property of the character set
    - gives a natural metric on the space of characters
  - *Algebraic*
    - properties of curves can be computed algebraically
      (instead of numerically using heuristic parameters)
  - *Device independent*
    - resolution of the device is not important
Inner Product and Basis Functions

- Choose a functional inner product, e.g.
  \[ \langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(t)g(t)w(t)dt \]

- This determines an orthonormal basis in the subspace of polynomials of degree \(d\).

- Determine \(\phi_i\) using GS on \(\{1, t, t^2, t^3, \ldots\}\).

- Can then approximate functions in subspaces
  \[ A(t) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{d} \alpha_i \phi_i(t) \quad \alpha_i = \langle A(t), \phi_i(t) \rangle \]
First Look: Chebyshev Series

- Initially used Chebyshev series [Char+SMW ICDAR 2007].

- Found could approximate closely (small RMS error) with series of order 10.

- Like symbols formed clusters.
Raw Data for Symbol G
Coordinate fn approximations

U47.5 X All

U47.5 Y All
Chebyshev Approx to Character
RMS Error
Problems

- Want fast response – how to work while trace is being captured.

- Low RMS does not mean similar shape.
Pb 1. On-Line Ink

- The main problem:
  *In handwriting recognition, the human and the computer take turns thinking and sitting idle.*

- We ask:
  *Can the computer do useful work while the user is writing and thereby get the answer faster after the user stops writing?*

- We show:
  *The answer is “Yes”!*
An On-Line Complexity Model

- Input is a sequence of $n$ values received at a uniform rate.

- Characterize an algorithm by
  - $T_\Delta(n)$ no. operations as $n$-th input is seen
  - $T_F(n)$ no. operations after last input is seen

- Write on-line time complexity as
  $$\text{OL}_n[T_\Delta(n), T_F(n)]$$

- E.g., linear insertion sort requires time
  $$\text{OL}_n[O(n), 0]$$
On-Line Series Coefficients (main idea)

- If we choose the right basis functions, then the series coefficients can be computed on line. [Golubitsky+SMW CASCON 2008, ICFHR 2008]

- The series coefficients are linear combinations of the moments, which can be computed by numerical integration as the points are received.

\[
\langle P_n, x \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n,k} \int_{0}^{L} \lambda^{k} x(\lambda) d\lambda
\]

- This is the Hausdorff moment problem (1921), shown to be unstable by Talenti (1987).

- It is just fine, however, for the dimensions we need.
On-Line Series Coefficients (more details)

- Use Legendre polynomials $P_i$ as basis on the interval $[-1,1]$, with weight function 1.

- Collect numerical values for $f(\lambda)$ on $[0, L]$. $\lambda = \text{arc length.}$ $L$ is not known until the pen is lifted.

- As the numerical values are collected, compute the moments $\int \lambda^i f(\lambda) d\lambda$.

- After last point, compute series coeffs for $f$ with domain and range scaled to $[-1,1]$. These will be linear combinations of the moments.
Complexity

- The on-line time complexity to compute coefficients for a Legendre series truncated to degree $d$ is then

\[ T_\Delta = 2(d + 2) \]

\[ T_F = \frac{3}{2} d^2 + \frac{11}{2} d + 10 \]

- The time at pen up is constant with respect to the number of points.
Pb 2. Shape vs Variation

- The corners are not in the right places.
- Work in a jet space to force coords & derivatives close.
- Use a Legendre-Sobolev inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt + \mu_1 \int_a^b f'(t)g'(t)dt + \mu_2 \int_a^b f''(t)g''(t)dt + \cdots$$

- 1st jet space $\Rightarrow$ set $\mu_i = 0$ for $i > 1$.
  Choose $\mu_1$ experimentally to maximize reco rate.
  Can be also done on-line.

[Golubitsky + SMW 2008, 2009]
Life in an Inner Product Space

- With the Legendre-Sobolev inner product we have
  - Low dimensional rep for curves \(10 + 10 + 1\)
  - Compact rep of samples \(~ 160\) bits [G+W 2009]
  - A useful notion of distance between curves \textit{that is very fast to compute}
  - >99% linear separability and convexity of classes

- Training data of 50,000 math char samples. Use 75% for training 25% test. 10X cross validation.
Convexity of Classes

- Can separate $N$ classes with $N(N - 1)$ SVM planes.

- Each class is then (mostly) within its own convex polyhedral cell.

- Can classify either by
  - SVM majority voting + run-off elections (96%)
  - Distance to convex hull of $k$ nearest neighbours (97.5%).
  - On-line computation.
Comparison of Candidate to Models

- Some classification methods compute the distance between the input curve and models.

  E.g. Elastic matching takes time up to quadratic in the number of sample points and linear in the number of models.

- Many tricks and heuristics to improve on this.

  E.g. Limit amount of dynamic time warping, pre-classify based on features, ...
Distance Between Curves

- Approximate the variation between curves by some function of distances between points.
- May be coordinate curves or curves in a jet space.
- Sequence alignment
- Interpolation (“resampling”)
- Why not just calculate the area?
- This is very fast in ortho series representation.
Distance Between Curves

\[ \tilde{x}(t) = x(t) + \xi(t) \quad \xi(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i \phi_i(t), \quad \phi_i \text{ orthonormal on } [a, b] \text{ with } w(t) = 1. \]

\[ \tilde{y}(t) = y(t) + \eta(t) \quad \eta(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \eta_i \phi_i(t) \]

\[ \rho^2(C, \tilde{C}) \]

\[ = \int_{a}^{b} \left[ (x(t) - \tilde{x}(t))^2 + (y(t) - \tilde{y}(t))^2 \right] dt \]

\[ = \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \xi(t)^2 + \eta(t)^2 \right] dt \]

\[ \approx \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{d} \xi_i^2 \phi_i^2(t) + \text{cross terms} + \sum_{i=0}^{d} \eta_i^2 \phi_i^2(t) + \text{cross terms} \right] dt \]

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \xi_i^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{d} \eta_i^2 \]
Comparison of Candidate to Models

- Use Euclidean distance in the coefficient space.

  *Just as accurate* as elastic matching.

  *Much less expensive.*

- Linear in $d$, the degree of the approximation.
  $< 3d$ machine instructions (30ns) vs several thousand!

- Can trace through SVM-induced cells incrementally.

- Normed space for characters gives other advantages.
Choosing between Alternatives

Red class or blue class?
Choosing between Alternatives

The nearest $k$ samples are blue.
Geometry

• Linear separability ⇒
  Linear homotopies within a class (Fund Thm of HWR)

\[ C = (1 - t) A + t B \]

• Can compute distance of a sample to this line
• Distance to convex hull of a set of models gives best recognition
  [Golubitsky+SMW 2009,2010]
Choosing between Alternatives

The nearest convex hull of neighbors is red.
Recognition Summary

- Database of samples $\Rightarrow$ set of LS points
- Character to recognize $\Rightarrow$ Integrate moments as being written
  - Lin. trans. to obtain one point in LS space
  - Classify by distance to convex hull of $k$-NN.
Error Rates as Fn of Distance

- Error rate as fn of distance gives confidence measure for classifiers [MKM – Golubitsky + SMW 2009]

SVM

Convex Hull
Cumulative Frequency Plots

- $N(d) = \#$ samples with CHNN distance $< d$
- $e(d) = \#$ misclassified samples with CHNN distance $< d$
- Fit $f_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(d) = (\alpha d^\beta + \gamma)^{-1} + \delta$ to $N(d)$ and $e(d)$
- Obtain error rate as $e'(d)/N'(d)$
Quality of Confidence Measures

• $X^+$ set of correctly classified samples
• $X^-$ set of misclassified samples
• $f(x)$ confidence values

$$q(\xi) = \frac{\#\{x \in X^+ \mid f(x) > \xi\} + \#\{x \in X^- \mid f(x) < \xi\}}{\# (X^+ \cup X^-)}$$
Ambiguities
Ambiguities
Ambiguities

\[ z + \dot{z} = \sin \omega t \]
Combining with Frequency Info

- Empirical confidence on classifiers allows geometric recognition of isolated symbols to be combined with statistical methods.

- Domain-specific n-gram information:
  - Research mathematics – 20,000 articles from arXiv [MKM -- So+SMW 2005]
  - 2nd year engineering math – most popular textbooks [DAS -- SMW 2008]
  - Inverse problem – identifying area via n-gram freq! [DML -- SMW 2008]
Deciding with Confidence Measure

Symbol Recognizer: $X \in \text{Class}_1 \text{ with Conf } \xi_1$

Context-Based Predictor: $X \in \text{Class}_2 \text{ with Conf } \xi_2$

$X \in \text{Class}_i \iff \xi_i = \max(\xi_1, \xi_2)$
Orientation and Shear

- Reco when writing at an angle, or with slanted chars.

- Instead of taking ortho series of coordinates $x$ and $y$, use ortho series of “integral invariants”, a concept from algebraic geometry.  
  \([\text{Golubitsky, Mazalov, SMW 2009 rotn, 2010 shear}]\)

\[
I_0(\lambda) = \text{radius}
\]
\[
I_1(\lambda) = \text{area}
\]
\[
I_{k>1}(\lambda) = \text{more complicated integrals}
\]
Ortho Series for Compact Ink Rep

- InkML
- Points, differences, $2^{nd}$ differences
- Stream of series coefficients instead.
  [ICFHR: Mazalov+Watt 2010]
The Mathematics of Calligraphy
Single Stroke
Device Data

\[ X(t) \]

\[ Y(t) \]

\[ F(t) \]
Simple Brush Models – Round Tip

• Round brush head, radius proportional to pressure
A Donkey Pulls a Cart

[SMW: Conf in Honor of 90th Birthday of Wu Wen Tsun]
Simple Brush Models – Teardrop Tip

- Shape of brush contact with canvas is a teardrop, with the round head of the brush and trailing tail.

- Size of head is proportional to pressure.

- Tail has length between zero and some maximum, and is dragged following the head.

- Adopted into InkML.

[Rui Hu 2009]
A Head Pulls a Tail
Modelled Parameters

Head Radius

Tail Length
More sophisticated models

- Brush as a collection of parameterized teardrops, overlapping.
- Physical properties:
  - Brush stiffness
  - Fixed volume of brush head
- Inverse problem:
  - Given calligraphic form, determine brush position/angle/pressure path.
- Representation:
  - Ortho series for $x$, $y$, $\theta$, $R$, $l$
  - Allows recognition and faithful rendering,
  - Use brush parameters in trace format.
Writer-Dependency

- Users do have writing styles
- Some symbols will be written idiosyncratically (i.e. wrong)

=> Multi-writer point set has some classes that are too big (in LS space) some classes that are too small.

=> Allow users to add + remove points.
Where to keep the user profile?

- Today people use multiple devices.
  - Laptop, office computer, home computer, telephone, smart white board
- Cloud-based storage
Profile server

- User accounts
- Individual ink profile server
- Ink-based apps (e.g. our Skype ink chat) will retrieve profile and save user-accepts/rejects of reco.
The Quid Pro Quo

- For the user:
  Applications will get very good at recognizing individual’s handwriting.

- For us:
  We get lots of data.
Next Directions

- More applications using the user profiles.

- Use new data:
  - Identify common writing styles
  - Identify correlations among symbol variants
  - Better writer *independent* math recognition.
Conclusions

• Ask what are we really trying to do.
• Work with ink traces objects as curves, rather than as collections of sample points.

• Admits powerful analytic tools.
• Have useful geometry on space of curves.

• Gives device/resolution independence.
• Gives faster algorithms.
• Gives useful insights.

• Gives framework for output as well.