Database recovery

CS348

Instructor: Sujaya Maiyya

Announcements

Assignment 3 due Nov 24th

- Final demo for projects:
 - Please reach out to your TA by Nov 21st (tomorrow) to schedule your final demos for next week

Review

- ACID
 - Atomicity: TX's are either completely done or not done at all
 - Consistency: TX's should leave the database in a consistent state
 - Isolation: TX's must behave as if they are executed in isolation
 - Durability: Effects of committed TX's are resilient against failures
- SQL transactions

```
BEGIN TRANSACTION
SELECT ...;
UPDATE ...;
ROLLBACK | COMMIT;
```

Outline


- Recovery atomicity and durability
 - Naïve approaches
 - Logging for undo and redo


Execution model

To read/write X

- The disk block containing X must be first brought into memory
- *X* is read/written in memory
- The memory block containing X, if modified, must be written back (flushed) to disk eventually








Failures

- System crashes right after a transaction T₁ commits; but not all effects of T₁ were written to disk
 - How do we complete/redo T1 (durability)?
- System crashes in the middle of a transaction T2;
 partial effects of T2 were written to disk
 - How do we undo T2 (atomicity)?




Naïve approach: durability

T1 (balance transfer of \$100 from A to B)

```
read(A, a); a = a – 100;
write(A, a);
read(B, b); b = b + 100;
write(B, b);
commit;
```

Force all writes to disk when a transaction commits

Memory buffer A = 800700 B = 400500



Naïve approach: durability

T1 (balance transfer of \$100 from A to B)

```
read(A, a); a = a - 100;


write(A, a);


read(B, b); b = b + 100;

write(B, b);

commit;
```

Force all writes to disk when a transaction commits





Without force: not all writes are on disk when T1 commits

Bad!

If system crashes right after T1 commits, effects of T1 will be lost

Naïve approach: atomicity

T1 (balance transfer of \$100 from A to B)

```
read(A, a); a = a - 100;

write(A, a);

read(B, b); b = b + 100;

write(B, b);

commit;
```


Memory buffer

$$A = 800700$$

$$B = 460500$$

Writes of a transaction can only be flushed to disk at commit time:

 e.g. A=700 cannot be flushed to disk before commit.



With this: some writes are on disk before T commits


If system crashes before T1 commits, there is no way to undo the changes

Naïve approach

- Approach 1: When a transaction commits, all writes of this transaction must be reflected on disk
 - Ensures durability
 - Problem: Lots of random writes hurt performance
- Approach 2: Writes of a transaction can only be flushed to disk at commit time
 - Ensures atomicity
 - Problem: Holding on to all dirty blocks requires lots of memory

Logging

 Database log: sequence of log records, recording all changes made to the database, written to stable storage (e.g., disk) during normal operation



- Hey, one change turns into two—bad for performance?
 - But writes to log are sequential (append to the end of log)

Log format

- When a transaction T_i starts
 - (*T_i*, start)
- Record values before and after each modification:
 - \(T_i, X, old_value_of_X, new_value_of_X \)
 - T_i is transaction id
 - X identifies the data item
- A transaction T_i is committed when its commit log record is written to disk
 - *(T_i,* commit *)*

```
Log
\langle T_1, \text{ start } \rangle
\langle T_1, A, 800, 700 \rangle
\langle T_1, B, 400, 500 \rangle
\langle T_1, \text{ commit } \rangle
```

When to write log records into stable store?

Before X is modified or after?


• Write-ahead logging (WAL): Before X is modified on disk, the log record pertaining to X must be flushed


 Without WAL, system might crash after X is modified on disk but before its log record is written to disk no way to undo


Undo/redo logging example

T1 (balance transfer of \$100 from A to B)

```
read(A, a); a = a - 100;
write(A, a);
read(B, b); b = b + 100;
write(B, b);
```







Undo/redo logging example cont.

T1 (balance transfer of \$100 from A to B)

```
read(A, a); a = a - 100;

write(A, a);

read(B, b); b = b + 100;

write(B, b);
```

Memory buffer A = 800700 B = 400500

Can flush before commit

Log
(T₁, start)
(T₁, A, 800, 700)
(T₁, B, 400, 500)

If system crashes before *T1* commits, we have the old value of A stored on the log to **undo** T1

Undo/redo logging example cont.

T1 (balance transfer of \$100 from A to B)

```
read(A, a); a = a - 100;
write(A, a);
read(B, b); b = b + 100;
write(B, b);
commit;
```

Memory buffer

A = 800700B = 400500

Can flush after commit Disk

A = 800

Log T_1 , start \rangle T_1 , A, 800, 700 \rangle T_1 , B, 400, 500 \rangle T_1 , commit \rangle

If system crashes before we flush the changes of A, B to the disk, we have their new committed values on the log to redo T1

Log example - redo

Redo phase:

x: 99 100 y: 199 200 z: 51 50 W: 1600 10

Start of log redo redo

redo redo redo

redo

redo

*T*₁, start

 T_1 , x, 99, 100

Log

T₂, start

 T_2 , y, 199, 200

 T_3 , start

 T_3 , z, 51, 50

 T_2 , w, 1000, 10

 T_2 , commit

T₄, start

 T_3 , z, 51

 T_3 , abort

 T_4 , y, 200, 50

List of active transactions at crash:

T1 T2 T3



End of log

Redo phase:

x: 99 100 y: 199 200 z: 51 50 w: 1000 10 Start of log

redo

redo

redo

redo

redo

redo

redo

redo

Log

T₁, start T₁, x, 99, 100 T₂, start

 T_2 , y, 199, 200

T₃, start

 T_3 , z, 51, 50

 T_2 , w, 1000, 10

T₂, commit

T₄, start

 T_3 , z, 51

T₃, abort

 T_4 , y, 200, 50

List of active transactions at crash:

T1 72 T3



Redo phase:

x: 99 100 y: 199 200 z: 51 50 W: 1600 10

Start of log redo redo

redo redo

redo

redo

redo

redo

 T_2 , w, 1000, 10 T_2 , commit redo T_4 , start

 T_3 , z, 51

*T*₁, start

 T_2 , start

 T_3 , start

 T_3 , z, 51, 50

 T_1 , x, 99, 100

 T_2 , y, 199, 200

 T_3 , abort

 T_4 , y, 200, 50


Log


List of active transactions at crash:

T1 72 T3 T4



End of log





Redo phase:

T1 7273 T4

X: 99 100 y: 199 200 50 z: 51 50 51 W: 1000 10

List of active transactions at crash:

Start of log

redo redo redo

redo

redo

redo

redo

redo

redo

redo

redo

End of log redo

Log

*T*₁, start

 T_1 , x, 99, 100

T₂, start

 T_2 , y, 199, 200

T₃, start

 T_3 , z, 51, 50

 T_2 , w, 1000, 10

T₂, commit

T₄, start


 T_3 , z, 51

T₃, abort

 T_4 , y, 200, 50

22

Log example - Undo



Undo/redo logging

U: used to track the set of active transactions at crash

- Redo phase: scan forward to end of the log
 - For a log record (T, start), add T to U
 - For a log record (T, X, old, new), issue write(X, new)
 - For a log record (T, commit | abort), remove T from U
 - Basically repeats (some) history!
- Undo phase: scan log backward
 - Undo the effects of transactions in U
 - That is, for each log record (T, X, old, new) where T is in U, issue write(X, old), and log this operation too, i.e., add (T, X, old)
 - Log (T, abort) when all effects of T have been undone
 - Basically reverts (some) history!

Checkpointing

- Shortens the amount of log that needs to be undone or redone when a failure occurs
- Assumption: Txns cannot perform any update actions, such as writing to a buffer block or writing a log record, while a checkpoint is in progress
- Steps:
 - Output to the disk all modified buffer blocks
 - Add to log: <checkpoint L>, where L is a list of txns active at the time
 of the checkpoint
- After a system crash has occurred, the system examines the log to find the last <checkpoint L> record
 - · The redo operations will start from the checkpoint record
 - The undo operations will start from the end of the log until the list of active transactions is empty

Summary

- Recovery: undo/redo logging
 - Normal operation: write-ahead logging
 - Recovery: first redo (forward), and then undo (backward)
- No lecture next week schedule your project presentations
- Finals review Dec 2nd
- Final exam: Dec 7th 7:30PM-10PM
 - Cheat sheet with I/O costs and cardinality estimates will be provided

Student Course Perceptions Surveys

Your chance to share your learning experience. Your feedback is important!

Who has access to SCP results?

- Written comments: only the course instructor
- Numerical ratings: course instructor and academic leaders

How are SCP results used?

- Help instructors improve teaching and courses
- Inform pay and tenure decisions
- Contribute to decisions about program improvement and future teaching assignments

https://perceptions.uwaterloo.ca/





