

Extremal words in the shift orbit closure of a morphic sequence

James Currie, Narad Rampersad, Kalle Saari

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Winnipeg

Shift orbit closure

- ▶ a common object of study in combinatorics on words (or dynamical systems) is the shift orbit closure of an infinite word with some interesting combinatorial property
- ▶ the **shift orbit closure** of an infinite word x is the set of all infinite words y such that every factor of y is a factor of x

Extremal words

- ▶ often the lexicographically least (or greatest) word in the orbit closure has some additional interesting properties
- ▶ given an infinite word, is there a simple way to describe the lexicographically least/greatest word in its orbit closure?

Sturmian words

- ▶ if x is a Sturmian word, the extremal words in the orbit closure of x are well-known:
- ▶ the lexicographically least word for the order $0 < 1$ is the word $0c$, where c is the characteristic word with the same slope as x
- ▶ the greatest word for the order $0 < 1$ is $10c$
- ▶ for the order $1 < 0$, the extremal words are $1c$ and $01c$

The Thue–Morse word

The lexicographically least word ($0 < 1$) in the orbit closure of the Thue–Morse word

0110100110010110...

is the word

001011001101001...

obtained by complementing the Thue–Morse word and deleting the initial 1.

The Rudin–Shapiro word

Currie showed that the least word in the orbit closure of the Rudin–Shapiro word

$$00010010000111010001 \dots$$

is obtained by simply adding a 0 to the beginning.

Automatic sequences

- ▶ Thue–Morse and Rudin–Shapiro are both automatic sequences
- ▶ Allouche, R., and Shallit showed that the lexicographically least word in the orbit closure of a k -automatic sequence is k -automatic

Generalizing this result

- ▶ the question we ask in this work is: Does the result of Allouche et al. generalize to morphic sequences?
- ▶ i.e., are the extremal words in the orbit closure of a morphic sequence morphic?
- ▶ we show that the answer is “yes” for a wide class of morphic sequences

Morphic sequences

- ▶ **pure morphic word**: an infinite word generated by iterating a prolongable morphism
- ▶ **morphic word**: an infinite word obtained by applying a coding to a pure morphic word

Morphic elements of the orbit closure

Theorem (Currie, R., Saari)

Let x be a pure morphic word generated by a morphism f . If a word t in the orbit closure of x is fixed by f (i.e., $f(t) = t$), then t is morphic.

Bounded letters vs. non-bounded letters

- ▶ the proof splits into two cases, depending on whether or not t consists entirely of bounded letters
- ▶ a letter a is **bounded under f** if there is a constant k such that $|f^n(a)| < k$ for all n

Word t consists entirely of bounded letters

- ▶ Cassaigne and Nicolas (?) characterized the finite factors of a pure morphic word that consist of bounded letters
- ▶ from this characterization one can easily show that t is ultimately periodic and therefore morphic

Word t contains some non-bounded letters

- ▶ in this case we use a characterization, due to Head and Lando, of the infinite fixed points of a morphism to conclude that t is morphic

Extremal words

- ▶ for each letter a and each ordering σ of the alphabet, the lexicographically least word in the orbit closure of an infinite word \mathbf{x} is denoted by $\mathbf{l}_{a,\sigma,\mathbf{x}}$
- ▶ any such word is called an **extremal word of \mathbf{x}**

Main Theorem

Theorem (Currie, R., Saari)

Let x be a pure morphic word generated by a morphism f belonging to a certain class \mathcal{M}_x . Then the extremal words of x are morphic.

The class \mathcal{M}_x

- ▶ What is the class \mathcal{M}_x ?
- ▶ let \mathcal{S}_x denote the orbit closure of x
- ▶ f belongs to \mathcal{M}_x if for every letter b there is a word p_b such that:
 - ▶ if $y \in \mathcal{S}_x$ starts with b , then $f(y)$ starts with p_b ; and
 - ▶ for distinct letters a, b , neither of p_a or p_b is a prefix of the other.
- ▶ e.g., any morphism whose images form a **prefix code** is of this type

A morphism in the class \mathcal{M}_x

- ▶ let f map $0 \rightarrow 02, 1 \rightarrow 02, 2 \rightarrow 1$
- ▶ let $x = 02102021021 \dots$ be the fixed point
- ▶ if $0y \in \mathcal{S}_x$: y begins with 2 and $f(0y)$ begins with 021
- ▶ if $1y \in \mathcal{S}_x$: y begins with 0 and $f(1y)$ begins with 020
- ▶ finally, $f(2y)$ begins with 1
- ▶ we take $p_0 = 021, p_1 = 020, p_2 = 1$
- ▶ none of these are prefixes of another
- ▶ so $f \in \mathcal{M}_x$

A morphism not in the class \mathcal{M}_x

- ▶ let f map $0 \rightarrow 010$, $1 \rightarrow 21$, $2 \rightarrow 211$
- ▶ let $x = 01021010211$ be the fixed point starting with 0
- ▶ then $f(10\dots) = 210\dots$ and $f(12\dots) = 212\dots$
- ▶ so p_1 must be a prefix of 21
- ▶ but 21 is a prefix of $f(2)$
- ▶ no matter the choice of p_2 , one of p_1, p_2 is a prefix of the other
- ▶ so $f \notin \mathcal{M}_x$

Binary morphisms

- ▶ the class \mathcal{M}_x may seem somewhat artificial, but it contains all non-trivial binary morphisms
- ▶ i.e., all binary morphisms with $f(01) \neq f(10)$ are in \mathcal{M}_x

First step of the proof

First, we establish a “desubstitution” result for words in the orbit closure.

Lemma

Let \mathbf{x} be an infinite word and f a morphism. If $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}_{f(\mathbf{x})}$, then there exist a letter a and an infinite word \mathbf{z} such that $a\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathbf{y} = uf(\mathbf{z})$, where u is a nonempty suffix of $f(a)$.

Second step of the proof

- ▶ the next step is to examine the properties of the preimage of an extremal word when applying this desubstitution
- ▶ idea: the preimage of a word, extremal with respect to a certain ordering of the alphabet, will again be extremal, but for a possibly different ordering of the alphabet
- ▶ here is where we need the condition $f \in \mathcal{M}_x$
- ▶ recall: $\mathbf{l}_{a,\sigma,\mathbf{x}}$ is the least word starting with a in the orbit closure of \mathbf{x} w.r.t. an order σ
- ▶ let $s_{a,\sigma,\mathbf{x}}$ be the word obtained by erasing the initial a of $\mathbf{l}_{a,\sigma,\mathbf{x}}$

Preimages of extremal words

Lemma

Let f be a morphism and \mathbf{x} a word such that $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Let b be a letter and ρ an ordering of the alphabet of $f(\mathbf{x})$. Then

$$\mathbf{s}_{b,\rho,\mathbf{x}} = v f(\mathbf{s}_{a,\sigma,\mathbf{x}}),$$

where a is a letter, σ is an ordering of the alphabet and v is a proper suffix of $f(a)$.

Idea of the proof

- ▶ to show that $s_{b,\rho,x} = vf(s_{a,\sigma,x})$, we need to explain how to choose the ordering σ
- ▶ since $f \in \mathcal{M}_x$, we have certain words p_z associated with every letter z of the alphabet
- ▶ no p_z is a prefix of another
- ▶ if $y \in \mathcal{S}_x$ begins with z then $f(y)$ begins with p_z
- ▶ so $z <_\sigma z'$ iff $p_z <_\rho p_{z'}$ is the desired ordering

Repeatedly taking preimages of extremal words

- ▶ now we iterate the previous lemma
- ▶ preimages of extremal words are extremal words (for a possibly different order)
- ▶ there are only finitely many ways to order the alphabet
- ▶ eventually this process repeats periodically
- ▶ we get

$$\mathbf{s}_{b,\rho,\mathbf{x}} = u f^k(\mathbf{s}_{a,\sigma,\mathbf{x}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{s}_{a,\sigma,\mathbf{x}} = v f^m(\mathbf{s}_{a,\sigma,\mathbf{x}})$$

A characterization of the extremal words

- ▶ from this observation we get that

$$\mathbf{l}_{b,\rho,x} = w\mathbf{t}$$

- ▶ where w is a finite word, $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{S}_x$, and either

$$\mathbf{t} = f^m(\mathbf{t}) \quad \text{or}$$

$$\mathbf{t} = x f^m(x) f^{2m}(x) \cdots, \quad \text{for some finite } x.$$

The conclusion of the proof

- ▶ in the first case t is morphic by the theorem given earlier
- ▶ in the second case it is easy to see that t is morphic

Summary

- ▶ we have shown: If \mathbf{x} is a pure morphic word generated by $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}}$, then all extremal words in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{x}}$ are morphic.
- ▶ in fact, all extremal words in any morphic image $g(\mathbf{x})$, where $g \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}}$, are morphic
- ▶ the binary case: If \mathbf{x} is a pure morphic binary word then all extremal words of \mathbf{x} are morphic.

An example

- ▶ we applied this method to compute the extremal words for several classical sequences
- ▶ for example, let f map $0 \rightarrow 01$ and $1 \rightarrow 00$
- ▶ then $\mathbf{d} = f^\omega(0)$ is the **period-doubling word**
- ▶ let ρ be the ordering $0 < 1$ and $\bar{\rho}$ the opposite
- ▶ let \mathbf{z} be the fixed point of the map $0 \rightarrow 0001, 1 \rightarrow 0101$
- ▶ then

$$\mathbf{l}_{0,\rho,\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{z}$$

$$\mathbf{l}_{1,\bar{\rho},\mathbf{d}} = 0^{-1}f(\mathbf{z})$$

$$\mathbf{l}_{1,\rho,\mathbf{d}} = 1\mathbf{z}$$

$$\mathbf{l}_{0,\bar{\rho},\mathbf{d}} = f(\mathbf{z}).$$

Final remarks

- ▶ Luca Zamboni has discovered a clever argument to show that the extremal words of all primitive morphic sequences are primitive morphic.
- ▶ We conjecture that in general the extremal words of any morphic sequence are morphic.

The End