

# Avoiding Circular Repetitions

Jeffrey Shallit

School of Computer Science  
University of Waterloo  
Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1  
Canada  
`shallit@cs.uwaterloo.ca`

June 15, 2013

Joint work with Hamoon Mousavi

# Avoidability

- Axel Thue (1906) asked about the existence of an infinite word over the alphabet  $\{a, b, c\}$  that avoids *squares*, i.e., factors of the form  $xx$ .
- He constructed a *squarefree* word by iterating the following *morphism*

$$a \rightarrow abcab$$

$$b \rightarrow acabc$$

$$c \rightarrow acbcacb$$

- Iterating gives us

$$a \rightarrow abcab \rightarrow abcabacabcacbcacbabcabacabc \rightarrow \dots$$

- Ternary alphabet is the smallest possible for an infinite squarefree word.

# Some themes of avoidability

- Is the *pattern*  $ABCBABC$  avoidable?
- Is it 3-avoidable?
- Smallest  $n$  for which  $P$  is  $n$ -avoidable?
- Decidability
- Over *circular words* (necklaces)
- **Smallest avoidable exponent (repetition threshold)**

# Repetitions

- $k$ -power:  $x^k = \overbrace{xx \cdots x}^k$
- $x^2$  is 3-avoidable but not 2-avoidable (Thue)
- $\alpha$ -power:  $y = x^{[\alpha]}x'$  such that  $\frac{|y|}{|x|} = \alpha$ . We then write

$$y = x^\alpha.$$

## Examples

- $\text{chercher} = (\text{cher})^2$
- $\text{entente} = (\text{ent})^2e = (\text{ent})^{\frac{7}{3}}$

## Definition

- $w$  is  $\alpha$ -power-free if none of its factors is a  $\beta$ -power for any  $\beta \geq \alpha$ .
- $w$  is  $\alpha^+$ -power-free if none of its factors is a  $\beta$ -power for any  $\beta > \alpha$ .

# Conjugation

- Two words  $x, y$  are *conjugate* if one is a cyclic shift of the other.
- That is, if there exist words  $u, v$  such that  $x = uv$  and  $y = vu$ .
- Examples of conjugates in French: **draper** and **perdra**.
- Conjugates of powers are powers of conjugates.

$$abcabc = (abc)^2$$

$$cabcab = (cab)^2$$

# Circular repetition

## Observation

$w$  is  $\alpha$ -power-free if for every factor  $x$

$$w = \boxed{\phantom{000000}} \quad \boxed{x} \quad \boxed{\phantom{000000}}$$

$x$  is  $\alpha$ -power-free

## Definition

$w$  is *circularly*  $\alpha$ -power-free if for every factor  $x$

$$w = \boxed{\phantom{000000}} \quad \boxed{x} \quad \boxed{\phantom{000000}}$$

$x$  and all its conjugates are  $\alpha$ -power-free.

# Circular repetition: example

## Example

$w = \text{dividing}$

$x = \text{dividi}$

a conjugate of  $x$  is

$\text{vididi}$

which has a  $\frac{5}{2}$ -power:  $\text{ididi} = (\text{id})^{\frac{5}{2}}$

- So  $w$  is not circularly  $\frac{5}{2}$ -power-free.
- In fact,  $w$  is circularly  $(\frac{5}{2})^+$ -power-free.

# Circular repetition

- $w$  is circularly  $\alpha$ -power-free if for every pair of factors  $x$  and  $y$

$$w = \boxed{\quad} \boxed{x} \boxed{\quad} \boxed{y} \boxed{\quad}$$

$yx$  is  $\alpha$ -power-free.

- $(x, y)$  is a circular  $\alpha$ -power if  $yx$  is  $\alpha$ -power.

## Example

$$w = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline d & i & v & i & d & i & n & g \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{2em}}_x \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{2em}}_y$

$$yx = \text{ididi} = (\text{id})^{\frac{5}{2}}$$

Hence  $(x, y)$  is a circular  $\frac{5}{2}$ -power.



# Repetition threshold

## Definition

The *repetition threshold*,  $RT(n)$ , is the smallest  $\alpha$  for which there exists an infinite  $\alpha^+$ -power-free word over  $\Sigma_n$ .

## Example

Dejean proved  $RT(3) = \frac{7}{4}$  by proving

- 1 there are only finitely many  $\frac{7}{4}$ -power-free words.
- 2 there are infinite  $(\frac{7}{4})^+$ -power-free words.

$$\nu(a) = abcacbcabcbacbcacba,$$

$$\nu(b) = bcabacabcacbacabacb,$$

$$\nu(c) = cabcbabcabacbabcbac.$$

$$\nu^\omega(a) = a \overbrace{bcacbc} bcbacbcacba \dots$$

# Dejean's conjecture

## Dejean's conjecture

Thue, Dejean, Pansiot, Moulin Ollagnier, Carpi, Currie, Mohammad-Noori, Rampersad, and Rao:

$$RT(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{7}{4}, & \text{if } n = 3; \\ \frac{7}{5}, & \text{if } n = 4; \\ \frac{n}{n-1}, & \text{if } n \neq 3, 4. \end{cases}$$

# Repetition threshold for circular factors


## Definition

The *repetition threshold for circular factors*,  $RTC(n)$ , is the smallest  $\alpha$  for which there exists an infinite circularly  $\alpha^+$ -power-free word over  $\Sigma_n$ .

black = proved  
red = conjectured

$n$	$RT(n)$	$RTC(n)$
2	2	4
3	$\frac{7}{4}$	$\frac{13}{4}$
4	$\frac{7}{5}$	$\frac{5}{2}$
5	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{105}{46}$
6	$\frac{6}{5}$	$1 + \frac{6}{5} = \frac{11}{6}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$k$	$\frac{k}{k-1}$	$1 + RT(k) = \frac{2k-1}{k-1}$

# Bounds on $RTC(n)$



## Theorem

$$1 + RT(n) \leq RTC(n) \leq 2RT(n)$$

# Thue-Morse word and RTC(2)

- Thue morphism

$$h(0) = 01$$

$$h(1) = 10.$$

- The *Thue-Morse word*

$$\mathbf{t} = h^\omega(0) = 01101001 \dots$$

is  $2^+$ -power-free.

## Theorem

$\mathbf{t}$  is circularly  $4^+$ -power-free.

# Thue-Morse word and RTC(2)

## Theorem

$\mathbf{t}$  is circularly  $4^+$ -power-free.

## Proof.

- Suppose  $(x, y)$  is a circular  $4^+$ -power of  $\mathbf{t}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{t} = \boxed{\quad} \boxed{x} \boxed{\quad} \boxed{y} \boxed{\quad} \dots$$

and  $yx$  is a  $4^+$ -power.

- Then either  $y$  or  $x$  is a  $2^+$ -power, a contradiction.



$$\text{RTC}(2) = 4$$

### Theorem

$$\text{RTC}(2) = 4.$$

### Proof.

- Since  $\mathbf{t}$  is circularly  $4^+$ -power-free, we have

$$\text{RTC}(2) \leq 4.$$

- No binary word of length 12 is circularly 4-power-free, so

$$\text{RTC}(2) \geq 4.$$



$$\text{RTC}(3) = \frac{13}{4}$$

Overview of the proof:

- A finite search shows that  $\text{RTC}(3) \geq \frac{13}{4}$ .
- So to prove  $\text{RTC}(3) = \frac{13}{4}$ , we just need to construct an infinite word that is circularly  $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -power-free.
- We give a pair of morphisms:

$$\psi : \Sigma_6^* \rightarrow \Sigma_6^*$$

$$\mu : \Sigma_6^* \rightarrow \Sigma_3^*$$

- We prove  $\mu(\psi^\omega(0))$  is circularly  $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -power-free.



# Two morphisms

$$\psi(0) = 0435$$

$$\psi(1) = 2341$$

$$\psi(2) = 3542$$

$$\psi(3) = 3540$$

$$\psi(4) = 4134$$

$$\psi(5) = 4105.$$

$$\mu(0) = 012102120102012$$

$$\mu(1) = 201020121012021$$

$$\mu(2) = 012102010212010$$

$$\mu(3) = 201210212021012$$

$$\mu(4) = 102120121012021$$

$$\mu(5) = 102010212021012.$$

$$\mu(\psi^\omega(0))$$

## Theorem

$\mu(\psi^\omega(0))$  is circularly  $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -power-free.

## Proof idea

The proof has two parts


- 1  $\mathbf{r} = \psi^\omega(0)$  is circularly cubefree.
- 2  $\mathbf{s} = \mu(\mathbf{r})$  is circularly  $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -power-free.
  - 1  $\mathbf{s}$  has no short circular  $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -power. (checked by computer)
  - 2  $\mathbf{s}$  has no long circular  $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -power.

# $\mu$ is well-behaved!

- $\mu : \Sigma_6^* \rightarrow \Sigma_3^*$  is 15-uniform

$$|\mu(a)| = 15 \text{ for all } a \in \Sigma_6.$$

- $\mu$  is *synchronizing*, i.e., for no  $a, b, c \in \Sigma_6$  do we have



- $\mu$  is *strongly synchronizing*, i.e., for all  $a, b, c \in \Sigma_6$  and  $x, y \in \Sigma_3^*$ , if

$$\mu(a) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline x & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\mu(b) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & y \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\mu(c) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline x & y \\ \hline \end{array}$$

then either  $c = a$  or  $c = b$ .

# Main lemma

## Lemma

- Let  $\phi$  be a strongly synchronizing  $q$ -uniform morphism.
- Let  $w$  be a circularly cubefree word.
- If  $(x_1, x_2)$  is a circular  $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -power in  $\phi(w)$ , i.e.,

$$\phi(w) = \boxed{\quad} \boxed{x_1} \boxed{\quad} \boxed{x_2} \boxed{\quad}$$

$x_2x_1$  is a  $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -power, then

$$|x_2x_1| < 22q.$$

# Open problem 1

- Prove or disprove

$$\text{RTC}(4) = \frac{5}{2},$$

$$\text{RTC}(5) = \frac{105}{46}, \text{ and}$$

$$\text{RTC}(n) = 1 + \text{RT}(n) = \frac{2n-1}{n-1} \text{ for } n \geq 6.$$

## Open problem 2: generalized circular repetitions

- We can study repetition avoidance in the products of factors of words.
- Let  $RT_k$  denote the repetition threshold for this new problem, where  $k$  is the number of factors we take into consideration.

- We can easily prove

$$RT_k(2) = 2k.$$

- It would be interesting to obtain more values of  $RT_k(n)$ .
- Conjecture:

$$RT_2(n) = RTC(n)$$

- For large integers  $n$ , we conjecture that

$$RT_k(n) = k - 1 + RT(n).$$

## Open problem 3: algorithmic problems

- For a finite word  $w$ , define the circular exponent,  $\text{cexp}(w)$ , to be

$$\text{cexp}(w) = \max\{\alpha : w \text{ has a circular } \alpha\text{-power}\}.$$

Is  $\text{cexp}(w)$  computable in linear time?

- Given  $\alpha$  and  $w$ , can we ascertain in linear time whether  $w$  avoids circular  $\alpha$ -powers?