

# Algorithms

A  $V$ -partition of  $K$  is a partition  $W$  subject to the restriction that  $\wedge W < V$ , i.e.  $W$  is elementwise strictly less than the vector of non-negative integers  $V$ .

For example, let  $V \leftarrow 1\ 2\ 3\ 4$ . Then all  $V$ -partitions of 3 are given by the rows of the following matrix:

```

0 1 2 0
0 1 1 1
0 1 0 2
0 0 2 1
0 0 1 2
0 0 0 3

```

## Algorithm

The program *PART* computes all  $V$ -partitions of  $K$ :

```

▽ Z←K PART V;B;R;I;T
[1]  ⚡ THE RESULT Z IS A MATRIX WHOSE ROWS
[2]  ⚡ CONSIST OF ALL INTEGER VECTORS W
[3]  ⚡ WITH K=+ /W AND (0≤W)∧W<V .
[4]  ⚡ THE VECTORS ARE PRODUCED IN REVERSE
[5]  ⚡ LEXICOGRAPHICAL ORDER BY A
[6]  ⚡ NON-RECURSIVE ALGORITHM.
[7]  Z←(0,ρV)ρ0
[8]  →(0εV)/0
[9]  T←(ρV)ρI+0
[10] L0: B←K-+ /I+T
[11] R←B BREAK I+V
[12] →(B=+ /R)/L1
[13] L2: I←((T>0)∧I>1ρT) RIOTA 1
[14] →(I=0)/0
[15] T[I]←T[I]-1
[16] →L0
[17] L1: T←(I+T),R
[18] Z←Z,[1] T
[19] I←ρV
[20] →L2
▽

```

## ALGORITHM 152

### V-Partitions and Permutations by Inversions

J.O. Shallit

## Abstract

We present an algorithm for generating, subject to certain restrictions, additive partitions of a non-negative integer. We obtain simple time estimates for this algorithm. The computation of combination vectors is given as an application. Finally, it is shown that a simple transformation permits as a special case the computation of permutations on  $N$  letters with  $K$  inversions.

## Introduction

A partition of a non-negative integer  $K$  is a vector of non-negative integers  $W$  such that  $K=+ /W$  (i.e.,  $W$  sums to  $K$ ).

```

▽ Z←K BREAK V;R;T
[1]  ⚡ THE RESULT Z IS A VECTOR SUCH THAT
[2]  ⚡ (ρV) = ρZ AND K = + /Z (IF
[3]  ⚡ POSSIBLE) AND (0≤Z)∧Z<V AND THIS
[4]  ⚡ IS THE LAST SUCH Z (IN LEXICO-
[5]  ⚡ GRAPHICAL ORDER).
[6]  T←(K≤+ \V-1)1
[7]  R←(T-1)+V-1
[8]  Z←(ρV)↑R,K-+ /R
▽
▽ Z←V RIOTA K
[1]  ⚡ GIVES INDEX OF FIRST OCCURRENCE OF
[2]  ⚡ K IN THE VECTOR V, SCANNING FROM
[3]  ⚡ THE RIGHT.
[4]  ⚡ IF ~KεV THEN THE RESULT IS 0.
[5]  Z←1+(ρV)-(φV)1K
▽

```

Method

Clearly if  $V$  contains any 0-elements, then we cannot find any partition satisfying the given conditions. This check is performed in Line 6.

The partitions are produced from largest to smallest (in the sense of lexicographic order).  $W$ , the largest  $V$ -partition of  $K$ , is easily computed. The technique is to fill in the positions of  $W$  successively from left to right with the largest possible element for each position, until the sum equals or exceeds  $K$ ; then the last position is decremented to get a sum that exactly equals  $K$ . This is done by the subfunction *BREAK*.

Now, given  $W$ , the problem is to determine the next smallest partition  $Y$ . Such a partition would have an  $I$  with  $(1 \leq I) \wedge I < N$ ; and  $Y[I] < W[I]$  but  $Y[K] = W[K]$  for all  $K > I$ . We are therefore looking for an element of  $W$  to decrement. This search is performed in Line 11.

Once a suitable  $I$  has been found, we decrement  $W[I]$  by 1 in Line 13. We then replace  $W[I, (I+1), \dots, N]$  by the largest possible subvector that will allow  $W$  to retain the  $K$ -sum property. If no such subvector exists, we decrement  $I$  by 1 and continue. If  $I = 0$ , there are no smaller vectors and we are done.

Worst-Case Analysis

Let  $N = \rho V$ , the number of elements in  $V$ . Then the time required to compute an individual partition is, in the worst case, proportional to  $N \cdot 2$ . To see this, note that  $I$  is specified to be  $\rho V$  in Line 17 and is decreased by at least one in Line 11. Hence the loop in Lines 8-14 is performed at most  $N$  times. Computation time within the loop is easily seen to be proportional to  $N$ , and the result follows.

Actually, this algorithm usually performs much better than this simple example would indicate. Results of some timings (in milliseconds) on an IBM 4341 were as follows:

```

E ← '(LN ÷ 2) PART N ρ 2'
F ← '(N-1) PART 1N'

N   (TIME E) ÷ 1 ρ 2 E   (TIME F) ÷ 1 ρ 2 F
1       20               20
3       17               18
5       17               15
7       17               16
9       19               --
11      23               --
13      38               --
    
```

Applications

A. Computation of Combinations

The expression  $K \text{ PART } N \rho 2$  calls the partition function with  $N$  repetitions of 2. Hence for  $(0 \leq K) \wedge K \leq N$  this expression computes all logical vectors of length  $N$  that sum to  $K$ ; there are  $K!N$  such. For example, the function *COMB* returns a matrix of all possible  $K$ -choices from  $1, 2, \dots, N$ :

```

V Z ← K COMB N
[1] Z ← ((K!N), K) ρ (, K PART N ρ 2) / (N × K!N) ρ 1 N
V
      2 COMB 4
1 2
1 3
1 4
2 3
2 4
3 4

'ABCD'[2 COMB 4]
AB
AC
AD
BC
BD
CD
    
```

B. Tabulation of Permutations by Number of Inversions

We define a permutation  $V$  to be a vector of length  $N$  that is a rearrangement of  $1N$ . An inversion occurs in  $V$  when  $I < J$  but  $V[I] > V[J]$ .

For each permutation  $V$  we may consider the associated vector *IFP*  $V$  formed by letting the  $I$ -th element be the number of indices  $J$  with  $J > I$  and  $V[I] > V[J]$ , i.e. the number of inversions occurring at  $I$ .

```

V Z ← IFP P
[1] * INVERSION FROM PERMUTATION
[2] Z ← ⌈ / (P ◦ . > P) × (1 ρ P) ◦ . < 1 ρ P
V
    
```

Note that:

$$\wedge / (IFP V) < \phi 1 \rho V \tag{1}$$

that is, there can be no more than  $N-1$  inversions occurring at  $V[1]$ ,  $N-2$  inversions occurring at  $V[2]$ , etc. The following theorem relates permutations to  $(\phi 1 N)$ -partitions:

Theorem. There exists a 1-to-1 correspondence between permutations of length  $N$  with  $K$  inversions and  $(\phi 1 N)$ -partitions of  $K$ .

Proof. Let  $P$  be a permutation of length  $N$  with  $K$  inversions. Then  $K = + / IFP P$  and by

(1) we have  $\wedge/(IFP P) < \phi_1 \rho P$ . Thus  $IFP P$  is a  $(\phi_1 N)$ -partition of  $K$ . Now we must show that there is a permutation with an inversion pattern corresponding to any  $(\phi_1 N)$ -partition  $R$  of  $K$ .

If  $R[1] = J$ , then clearly the permutation  $P$  corresponding to  $R$  must have  $P[1] = J+1$ , as any other choice for  $P[1]$  would lead to an incorrect number of inversions in the first position. Now we discard  $J+1$  from the set  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  to get a new set  $S'$ . Then it is easy to see that  $P[2]$  must be the  $(R[2]+1)$ -st smallest element of  $S'$ . We can continue in this fashion to define each element of  $P = PFI R$ .

```

V P+PFI Z;S;T
[1]  * PERMUTATION FROM INVERSION
[2]  P←10
[3]  S←1ρZ
[4]  L1:→(0=ρS)/0
[5]  T←1+Z[1]
[6]  P←P,S[T]
[7]  S←(T≠1ρS)/S
[8]  Z←1+Z
[9]  →L1
V

```

The permutation we get by this process is uniquely defined, and no other permutation  $Q$  can have  $\wedge/R=IFP Q$ . QED

To tabulate permutations by inversions, we first compute the  $(\phi_1 N)$ -partitions of  $K$  using  $PART$  and then transform them to permutations using the transformation  $PFI$ .

```

V Z+K PWKI N;J;T
[1]  * PERMUTATIONS OF LENGTH N
[2]  * WITH K INVERSIONS
[3]  T←K PARTϕ1N
[4]  Z←(0,N)ρJ←0
[5]  L0:→(J≥1+ρT)/0
[6]  Z←Z,[1] PFI T[J+J+1;]
[7]  →L0
V

```

```

2 PWKI 5
3 1 2 4 5
2 3 1 4 5
2 1 4 3 5
2 1 3 5 4
1 4 2 3 5
1 3 4 2 5
1 3 2 5 4
1 2 5 3 4
1 2 4 5 3

```

J.O. Shallit  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of California  
 Berkeley, California  
 USA 94720

□