

# Words Avoiding Reversed Subwords

Narad Rampersad and Jeffrey Shallit

School of Computer Science

University of Waterloo

Waterloo, ON, N2L 3G1

CANADA

[nrampersad@math.uwaterloo.ca](mailto:nrampersad@math.uwaterloo.ca)

[shallit@graceland.math.uwaterloo.ca](mailto:shallit@graceland.math.uwaterloo.ca)

## Abstract

We examine words  $w$  satisfying the following property: if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x|$  is at least  $k$  for some fixed  $k$ , then the reversal of  $x$  is not a subword of  $w$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite, nonempty set called an *alphabet*. We denote the set of all finite words over the alphabet  $\Sigma$  by  $\Sigma^*$ . The empty word is represented by  $\epsilon$ . Let  $\Sigma_k$  denote the alphabet  $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . An *infinite word* is a map from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\Sigma$ . The set of all infinite words over the alphabet  $\Sigma$  is denoted  $\Sigma^\omega$ .

A map  $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  is called a *morphism* if  $h(xy) = h(x)h(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ . A morphism may be defined by specifying its action on  $\Sigma$ . Morphisms may also be applied to infinite words in the natural way.

If  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is written  $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n$ , where each  $w_i \in \Sigma$ , then the *reversal* of  $w$ , denoted  $w^R$ , is the word  $w_nw_{n-1} \cdots w_1$ .

If  $y$  is a nonempty word, then the word  $yyy \cdots$  is written as  $y^\omega$ . If an infinite word  $w$  can be written in the form  $y^\omega$  for some nonempty  $y$ , then  $w$  is said to be *periodic*. If  $w$  can be written in the form  $y'y^\omega$  for some nonempty  $y$ , then  $w$  is said to be *ultimately periodic*.

A *square* is a word of the form  $xx$ , where  $x \in \Sigma^*$  is nonempty. A word  $w'$  is called a *subword* (resp. a *prefix* or a *suffix*) of  $w$  if  $w$  can be written in the form  $uw'v$  (resp.  $w'v$  or  $uw'$ ) for some  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ . We say a word  $w$  is *squarefree* (or *avoids squares*) if no subword of  $w$  is a square.

## 2 Avoiding reversed subwords

Szilard [6] asked the following question:

Does there exist an infinite word  $w$  such that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ ?

Clearly there must be some restriction on the length of  $x$ : if  $|x| = 1$ , then all nonempty words fail to have the desired property. For  $|x| \geq 2$ , however, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *There exists an infinite word  $w$  over  $\Sigma_3$  such that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 2$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ . Furthermore,  $w$  is unique up to permutation of the alphabet symbols.*

*Proof.* Note that if  $|x| \geq 3$  and both  $x$  and  $x^R$  are subwords of  $w$ , then there is a prefix  $x'$  of  $x$  such that  $|x'| = 2$  and  $(x')^R$  is a suffix of  $x^R$ . Hence it suffices to show the theorem for  $|x| = 2$ . We show that the infinite word

$$w = (012)^\omega = 012012012012\dots$$

has the desired property. To see this, consider the set  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all subwords of  $w$  of length two. We have  $\mathcal{A} = \{01, 12, 20\}$ . Noting that if  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $x^R \notin \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 2$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ .

To see that  $w$  is unique up to permutation of the alphabet symbols, consider another word  $w'$  satisfying the conditions of the theorem, and suppose that  $w'$  begins with 01. Then 01 must be followed by 2, 12 must be followed by 0, and 20 must be followed by 1. Hence,

$$w' = (012)^\omega = 012012012012\dots = w.$$

□

Note that the solution given in the proof of Theorem 1 is periodic. In the following theorem, we give a nonperiodic solution to this problem for  $|x| \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 2.** *There exists an infinite nonperiodic word  $w$  over  $\Sigma_3$  such that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 3$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ .*

*Proof.* By reasoning similar to that given in the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to show the theorem for  $|x| = 3$ . Let  $w'$  be an infinite nonperiodic word over  $\Sigma_2$ . For example, if  $w' = 11010010001\dots$ , then  $w'$  is nonperiodic. Define the morphism  $h : \Sigma_2^\omega \rightarrow \Sigma_3^\omega$  by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow 0012 \\ 1 &\rightarrow 0112. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $w = h(w')$  has the desired property. Consider the set  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all subwords of  $w$  of length three. We have

$$\mathcal{A} = \{001, 011, 012, 112, 120, 200, 201\}.$$

Noting that if  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $x^R \notin \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 3$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ .

To see that  $w$  is not periodic, suppose the contrary; *i.e.*, suppose that  $w = y^\omega$  for some  $y \in \Sigma_3^*$ . Clearly,  $|y| > 4$ . Suppose then that  $y$  begins with  $h(0)$ . Noting that the only way to obtain  $00$  from  $h(ab)$ , where  $a, b \in \Sigma_2$ , is as a prefix of  $h(0)$ , we see that  $y = h(y')$  for some  $y' \in \Sigma_2^*$ . Hence,  $w = (h(y'))^\omega = h((y')^\omega)$ , and so  $w' = (y')^\omega$  is periodic, contrary to our choice of  $w'$ .  $\square$

Over a two-letter alphabet we have the following negative result.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $k \leq 4$  and let  $w$  be a word over  $\Sigma_2$  such that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq k$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ . Then  $|w| \leq 8$ .*

*Proof.* As mentioned previously, if  $k = 1$  the result holds trivially. If  $k = 2$ , note that all binary words of length at least three must contain one of the following words:  $00$ ,  $11$ ,  $010$ , or  $101$ . Similarly, if  $k = 3$ , note that all binary words of length at least five must contain one of the following words:  $000$ ,  $010$ ,  $101$ ,  $111$ ,  $0110$ , or  $1001$ ; and if  $k = 4$ , note that all binary words of length at least nine must contain one of the following words:  $0000$ ,  $0110$ ,  $1001$ ,  $1111$ ,  $00100$ ,  $01010$ ,  $01110$ ,  $10001$ ,  $10101$ , or  $11011$ . Hence,  $|w| \leq 8$ , as required.  $\square$

For  $|x| \geq 5$ , however, we find that there are infinite words with the desired property.

**Theorem 4.** *There exists an infinite word  $w$  over  $\Sigma_2$  such that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 5$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ .*

*Proof.* By reasoning similar to that given in the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to show the theorem for  $|x| = 5$ . We show that the infinite word

$$w = (001011)^\omega = 001011001011001011 \dots$$

has the desired property. To see this, consider the set  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all subwords of  $w$  of length five. We have

$$\mathcal{A} = \{00101, 01011, 01100, 10010, 10110, 11001\}.$$

Noting that if  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $x^R \notin \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 5$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ .  $\square$

Let  $z$  be the word 001011. We denote the *complement* of  $z$  by  $\bar{z}$ , i.e., the word obtained by substituting 0 for 1 and 1 for 0 in  $z$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{x \mid x \text{ is a cyclic shift of } z \text{ or } \bar{z}\}.$$

We have the following characterization of the words satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $w$  be an infinite word over  $\Sigma_2$  such that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 5$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ . Then  $w$  is ultimately periodic. Specifically,  $w$  is of the form  $y'y^\omega$ , where  $y' \in \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 11\}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* By reasoning similar to that given in the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to show the theorem for  $|x| = 5$ . We call a word  $w \in \Sigma_2^*$  *valid* if  $w$  satisfies the property that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| = 5$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ . We have the following two facts, which may be verified computationally.

1. All 32 valid words of length 9 are of the form  $y'yy''$ , where  $y' \in \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 11\}$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $y'' \in \Sigma_2^*$ .
2. Let  $w$  be one of the 20 valid words of the form  $yy''$ , where  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $y'' \in \Sigma_2^*$ , and  $|y''| = 9$ . Then  $y$  is a prefix of  $y''$ .

We will prove by induction on  $n$  that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $y'y^n$  is a prefix of  $w$ , where  $y' \in \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 11\}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ .

If  $n = 1$ , then by applying the first fact to the prefix of  $w$  of length 9, we have that  $y'y$  is a prefix of  $w$ , as required.

Assume then that  $y'y^n$  is a prefix of  $w$ . We can thus write  $w = y'y^{n-1}yw'$ , for some  $w' \in \Sigma_2^\omega$ . By applying the second fact to the prefix of  $yw'$  of length 15, we have that  $y$  is a prefix of  $w'$ . Hence  $w = y'y^{n-1}yyw'' = y'y^{n+1}w''$ , for some  $w'' \in \Sigma_2^\omega$ , as required.

We therefore conclude that if  $w$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem, then  $w$  is of the form  $y'y^\omega$ , where  $y' \in \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 11\}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

Next we give a nonperiodic solution to this problem for  $|x| \geq 6$ .

**Theorem 6.** *There exists an infinite nonperiodic word  $w$  over  $\Sigma_2$  such that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 6$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ .*

*Proof.* By reasoning similar to that given in the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to show the theorem for  $|x| = 6$ . Let  $w'$  be an infinite nonperiodic word over  $\Sigma_2$ . Define the morphism  $h : \Sigma_2^\omega \rightarrow \Sigma_2^\omega$  by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow 0001011 \\ 1 &\rightarrow 0010111. \end{aligned}$$

We show that the infinite word  $w = h(w')$  has the desired property. To see this, consider the set  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all subwords of  $w$  of length six. We have

$$\mathcal{A} = \{000101, 001011, 010110, 010111, 011000, 011001, 011100, \\ 100010, 100101, 101100, 101110, 110001, 110010, 111000, 111001\}.$$

Noting that if  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $x^R \notin \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 6$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ .

To see that  $w$  is not periodic, suppose the contrary; *i.e.*, suppose that  $w = y^\omega$  for some  $y \in \Sigma_2^*$ . Clearly,  $|y| > 7$ . Suppose then that  $y$  begins with  $h(0)$ . Noting that the only way to obtain 000 from  $h(ab)$ , where  $a, b \in \Sigma_2$ , is as a prefix of  $h(0)$ , we see that  $y = h(y')$  for some  $y' \in \Sigma_2^*$ . Hence,  $w = (h(y'))^\omega = h((y')^\omega)$ , and so  $w' = (y')^\omega$  is periodic, contrary to our choice of  $w'$ .  $\square$

Finally we consider words avoiding squares as well as reversed subwords. It is easy to check that no binary word of length  $\geq 4$  avoids squares. However, Thue [7] gave an example of an infinite squarefree ternary word. Over a four-letter alphabet we have the following negative result, which may be verified computationally.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $w$  be a squarefree word over  $\Sigma_4$  such that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 2$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ . Then  $|w| \leq 20$ .*

In contrast with the result of Theorem 7, Alon *et al.* [1] have noted that over a four-letter alphabet there exists an infinite squarefree word that avoids palindromes  $x$  where  $|x| \geq 2$ . (A *palindrome* is a word  $x$  such that  $x = x^R$ .) However, over a five-letter alphabet there are infinite words with an even stronger avoidance property.

**Theorem 8.** *There exists an infinite squarefree word  $w$  over  $\Sigma_5$  such that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 2$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ .*

*Proof.* By reasoning similar to that given in the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to show the theorem for  $|x| = 2$ . Let  $w'$  be an infinite squarefree word over  $\Sigma_3$ . Define the morphism  $h : \Sigma_3^\omega \rightarrow \Sigma_5^\omega$  by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow 012 \\ 1 &\rightarrow 013 \\ 2 &\rightarrow 014. \end{aligned}$$

We show that the infinite word  $w = h(w')$  has the desired property.

First we note that to verify that  $w$  is squarefree, it suffices by a theorem of Thue [8] (see also [2, 3, 4]) to verify that  $h(w)$  is squarefree for all 12 squarefree words  $w \in \Sigma_3^*$  such that  $|w| = 3$ . This is left to the reader.

To see that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| = 2$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ , consider the set  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all subwords of  $w$  of length 2. We have

$$\mathcal{A} = \{01, 12, 13, 14, 20, 30, 40\}.$$

Noting that if  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $x^R \notin \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that if  $x$  is a subword of  $w$  and  $|x| \geq 2$ , then  $x^R$  is not a subword of  $w$ .  $\square$

Finally, we consider a slight variation of the original problem; that is, we examine words  $w$  that have the property that if  $x$  and  $x^R$  are both subwords of  $w$ , then  $x = x^R$ . Over a two letter alphabet, all such words  $w$  are of the form  $0 \cdots 0$ ,  $1 \cdots 1$ ,  $0 \cdots 01 \cdots 1$ , or  $1 \cdots 10 \cdots 0$ . Over a three letter alphabet, we have the following characterization.

**Theorem 9.** *There are  $2^n - 1$  words  $w \in \Sigma_3^*$  of length  $n$  that begin with 0 and have the property that if  $x$  and  $x^R$  are both subwords of  $w$ , then  $x = x^R$ .*

*Proof.* Any word  $w$  satisfying the conditions of the theorem is either of the form  $0 \cdots 0$ , or begins with  $0 \cdots 01$  or  $0 \cdots 02$ . Suppose that  $w$  begins with  $0 \cdots 01$  (the case where  $w$  begins with  $0 \cdots 02$  is similar). Then  $0 \cdots 01$  cannot be followed by a 0, as then 01 and 10 would both be subwords of  $w$ . Extending this reasoning, we find that  $w$  must be a prefix of a word of the form

$$(0 \cdots 01 \cdots 12 \cdots 2)(0 \cdots 01 \cdots 12 \cdots 2) \cdots$$

(here the parentheses are not part of the word but just serve to group repeating blocks).

We see then that the language  $\mathcal{L}$  of all words satisfying the conditions of the theorem can be described by the following regular expression (see [5] for more on regular expressions):

$$\mathcal{L} = (00^*11^*22^*)^*(0^* + 00^*1^*) + (00^*22^*11^*)^*(0^* + 00^*2^*).$$

The minimal (incomplete) deterministic finite automaton (again, see [5] for more on finite automata)  $M$  that accepts  $\mathcal{L}$  has eight states and is given by

$$M = (\{q_1, \dots, q_8\}, \Sigma_3, \delta, q_1, \{q_1, \dots, q_8\}).$$

Note that all states are final. We omit the precise specification of the transition function  $\delta$  and instead consider the adjacency matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , where the entries  $a_{ij}$  give the number of transitions from state  $q_i$  to state  $q_j$ . We have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The  $(i, j)$  entry of  $A^n$  gives the number of paths of length  $n$  from state  $q_i$  to state  $q_j$ . The number of words of length  $n$  accepted by  $M$  is thus given by the sum of the values of the first row of  $A^n$  (since all states are final). An easy induction shows that

$$A^n \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2^n - 1 \\ 2^{n+1} - 1 \\ 2^n \\ 2^n \\ 2^n \\ 2^n \\ 2^n \\ 2^n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

from which we see that  $\mathcal{L}$  contains  $2^n - 1$  words of length  $n$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. Alon, J. Grytczuk, M. Haluszczak, O. Riordan, "Nonrepetitive colorings of graphs", *Random Structures and Algorithms* **21** (2002), 336–346.
- [2] D. Bean, A. Ehrenfeucht, G. McNulty, "Avoidable patterns in strings of symbols", *Pacific J. Math.* **85** (1979), 261–294.
- [3] J. Berstel, "Sur les mots sans carré définis par un morphisme", *Automata, languages and programming (Sixth Colloq., Graz, 1979)*, pp. 16–25, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **71**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1979.
- [4] M. Crochemore, "Sharp characterizations of squarefree morphisms", *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **18** (1982), 221–226.
- [5] J. E. Hopcroft, J. D. Ullman, *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*, Addison-Wesley, 1979.

- [6] A. Szilard, personal communication, 2003.
- [7] A. Thue, "Über unendliche Zeichenreihen", *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat. Nat. Kl. 7* (1906), 1–22.
- [8] A. Thue, "Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen", *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat. Nat. Kl. 1* (1912), 1–67.