- 15. Kosaraju R., Computation of squares in a string, in CPM'94, LNCS 807, 146-
- 16. Koscielski A., and Pacholski L., Complexity of Makanin's algorithm, J. ACM
- 17. Lothaire M., Combinatorics on words, Addison-Wesley, 1983.
- 18. Lorentz R., Main M., Linear time recognition of squarefree strings, In A. Apostolico and Z. Galil (eds), Combinatorial Algorithms on Words, 271-278,
- 19. Main M., Detecting leftmost maximal periodicities, Discrete Applied Mathematics 25(1), 145-154, 1989.
- 20. Main M., Lorentz R., An $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for finding all repetitions in a string, J. Algorithms 5(3), 422-432, 1984.
- 21. McCreight E. M., A space-economical suffix tree construction algorithm, J.
- 22. Rabin M. O., Discovering repetitions in strings. In A. Apostolico and Z. Galil (eds), Combinatorial Algorithms on Words, 279-288, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- 23. Thue A., Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreichen, Norske Videnskabers Selskabe Skrifter Mat-Nat. Kl. (Kristania), 1, 1-67, 1912.
- 24. Thue A., Über unendliche Zeichenreiche, Norske Videnskabers Selskabe Skrifter Mat-Nat. Kl.(Kristania), 7, 1-22, 1906.
- 25. Weiner P., Linear pattern-matching algorithm, in Proc. of the 18th ACM Symp. on Theory of Comput., 220-230, 1986.

NEW PROBLEMS OF PATTERN AVOIDANCE

JOHN LOFTUS, JEFFREY SHALLIT, AND MING-WEI WANG

Department of Computer Science University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1 Canada

 $\textit{E-mail: } \{\texttt{ja3loftus,shallit,m2wang}\} \\ \textbf{@uwaterloo.ca}$

Let $\Sigma_k := \{0,1,\ldots,k-1\}$ for an integer $k \geq 2$. Define $\sigma(a) = (a+1) \bmod k$ for $a \in \Sigma_k$. In this paper we consider several new pattern avoidance problems, of which the following is a typical example: what is the smallest k for which one can simultaneously avoid the patterns xx and $x\sigma(x)$ over Σ_k ?

1 Introduction and definitions

Pattern avoidance problems have long been studied in formal language theory, and have interesting applications to group theory, universal algebra, and other areas. For example, Axel Thue^{6,2} constructed an infinite squarefree word over $\{0,1,2\}$; i.e., a word that contains no subword of the form xx, where x is a nonempty word.

Eventually, generalizations of Thue's problem were considered. Erdős, for example, suggested trying to find infinite words containing no subword of the form xy, where y is a permutation of the letters of x. Such words are now sometimes called "abelian squarefree"3. For other papers on pattern avoidance, the reader can fruitfully consult, for example, 1,5,4.

In this paper, we consider some new generalizations of Thue's problem. We start with some notation. Let Σ, Γ be finite alphabets. A morphism is a map $h:\Gamma^* o\Sigma^*$ such that h(xy)=h(x)h(y) for all $x,y\in\Gamma^*$. We let Σ^ω denote the set of all one-sided infinite words over Σ , and we let $\Sigma^{\infty} = \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^{\omega}$. If $x \in \Sigma^+$, then by x^{ω} we mean the one-sided infinite word $xxx\cdots$.

If there exist words $x, y \in \Sigma^*$, $w, z \in \Sigma^\infty$ such that w = xyz, then we say yis a finite subword of w. Suppose we are given a finite or infinite subset $P\subseteq \Sigma^*$. Then we say a word $w \in \Sigma^{\infty}$ avoids P if we cannot write w = xyz such that $y \in P$. We say P is avoidable over Σ if it is possible to construct an infinite word $\mathbf{w} \in \Sigma^{\omega}$

Sometimes we employ a common abuse of notation. For example, instead of saying that the infinite word w avoids $\{xx : x \in \Sigma^+\}$, we will instead simply say that w avoids the pattern xx. When we use this formulation, we always assume the strings in the pattern are nonempty.

We define $\Sigma_k = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ for some integer $k \geq 2$, and we define the morphism $\sigma_k(a) = (a+1) \mod k$. If the subscript k is clear from the context, we omit it. In this paper, we consider avoiding patterns of the form $x\sigma^i(x)$.

We use two notational conventions that may be somewhat confusing. First, we think of the elements of Σ_k as residue class representatives so that, for example, -1 and 2 denote the same element of Σ_3 . Second, since we allow negative numbers in words, we sometimes use the notation (a_1,a_2,a_3,\ldots,a_n) to denote the word $a_1a_2a_3\cdots a_n$. Thus, for example, 012 and (0,-2,-1) denote the same element of

 Σ_3^* .

Some of the infinite words we construct arise from iterated morphisms. Call a morphism $h: \Gamma^{\bullet} \to \Sigma^{\bullet}$ non-erasing if $h(a) \neq \epsilon$ for all $a \in \Gamma$. Let $h: \Sigma^{\bullet} \to \Sigma^{\bullet}$ be a non-erasing morphism, and let $a \in \Sigma$ be a letter such that h(a) = ax. Then we define $h^{\omega}(a) = ax h(x) h^2(x) h^3(x) \cdots$. Note that $h^{\omega}(a)$ is a fixed point of the map h extended to Σ^{ω} .

2 Avoiding $x\sigma(x)$

It is clear that over $\Sigma_2 = \{0,1\}$, there are only two infinite words avoiding the pattern $x\sigma(x)$, namely 0^ω and 1^ω . However, we have the following result:

Theorem 1 Over Σ_k for $k \geq 3$, there are uncountably many infinite words avoiding $x\sigma(x)$.

Proof. Define $a_1 = 1$, and set $a_{i+1} = a_i + 1$ or $a_i + 2$, according to choice. Then

$$\mathbf{w} = \prod_{i \ge 1} ((-i) \bmod 3)^{a_i} = 2^{a_1} 1^{a_2} 0^{a_3} 2^{a_4} 1^{a_5} 0^{a_6} \dots$$

avoids the pattern $x\sigma(x)$, and there are uncountably many such words.

3 Avoiding xx, $x\sigma(x)$, ..., $x\sigma^{j}(x)$ simultaneously

The following theorem constitutes our main result. It characterizes, for each integer $j \geq 0$, the smallest integer k for which we can avoid the j+1 patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, ..., $x\sigma^{j}(x)$ simultaneously over $\Sigma_{k} = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$.

Theorem 2

- (a) One can avoid the pattern xx over Σ_3 , and 3 is best possible.
- (b) One can avoid the patterns xx and $x\sigma(x)$ simultaneously over Σ_5 , and 5 is best possible.
- (c) One can avoid the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, $x\sigma^2(x)$ simultaneously over Σ_5 , and 5 is best possible.
- (d) One can avoid the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, $x\sigma^2(x)$, $x\sigma^3(x)$ simultaneously over Σ_6 , and 6 is best possible.

(e) For $j \geq 4$, one can avoid the j+1 patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, ..., $x\sigma^{j}(x)$ simultaneously over Σ_{j+4} , and j+4 is best possible.

Remark. Our proofs of these facts are of two different types. First, in order to show that it is possible to avoid a certain set of patterns over Σ_k , we explicitly construct an infinite word over Σ_k having the desired property. Second, to show

that k is optimal for a certain set of patterns, we use a classical breadth-first tree traversal technique, as follows:

Suppose we wish to avoid a given set of words P over Σ_k . We maintain a queue, Q, and initialize it with the empty word ϵ . If the queue is empty, we are done. Otherwise, we take the next element w from the queue, and form k new words by appending $0,1,\ldots,k-1$ to it. For each new word wa, we check to see whether some suffix of wa occurs in P. If it does, we discard it; otherwise we add it to the queue.

If this algorithm terminates, we have proved that it is *not* possible to avoid P over Σ_k . The resulting proof may be represented in the form of a tree, with the leaves representing minimal length prefixes that contain an occurrence of one of the patterns as a suffix.

In the particular case of the patterns we discuss in this section, two additional efficiencies are possible. First, since a word w simultaneously avoids the patterns $xx, x\sigma(x), \ldots, x\sigma^j(x)$ iff $\sigma(w)$ does, we may without loss of generality consider only the words that begin with the letter 0. Second, if the last letter was a, then the next letter must be contained in the set $\{a+j+1,\ldots,a+k-1\}$, for otherwise our word would contain a length-2 subword of the form $x\sigma^i(x)$ for $0 \le i \le j$. This observation significantly cuts down on the branching factor of the trees we generate.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us start with assertion (a). As already noted, a classical result due to Thue 6,2 shows that one can avoid the pattern xx over $\Sigma_3 = \{0,1,2\}$. Furthermore, it is an old and easy observation that any word of length ≥ 4 over $\Sigma_2 = \{0,1\}$ contains an occurrence of the pattern xx. More generally, we have

Proposition 3 Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, and let r be an integer with $1 \leq r < k$. Then any word of length ≥ 4 over Σ_k contains an occurrence of the pattern $x\sigma^a(x)$ for some $a \not\equiv r \pmod{k}$.

Proof. We use the tree traversal algorithm. Assume the first letter is 0; then if the next letter is $a \neq r$, we are done. Hence assume the next letter is r. Then, by a similar argument, the next letter must be 2r, and the next 3r. However, the word (0, r, 2r, 3r) contains the pattern $x\sigma^{2r}(x)$ for x = (0, r). Since $r \neq 0$, we have $2r \not\equiv r \pmod{k}$.

Now let us prove assertion (b) of Theorem 2. By Proposition 3 with r=2, one cannot avoid the patterns xx and $x\sigma(x)$ simultaneously over Σ_3 . We also have

Proposition 4 Every word of length \geq 24 over Σ_4 contains an occurrence of either xx or $x\sigma(x)$.

Proof. We use the tree traversal algorithm. The resulting tree has depth 24 and contains 233 leaves. Figure 1 below lists these leaves in breadth-first order.

Figure 1: Leaves of the tree giving a proof of Proposition 4.

0202	0203210202	031321310310	021020320210313		
0213	0210203203	031321031320	021020320210313	02102032021032020	0313213103132103131
0310	0210313210	031321031321	021020320210321	02102032021032021	0313213103132103132
02031	0210320213	032021032020	021031321310310	02103132131031320	0320210203202103203
03131	0313213102	032021032021	021031321310310	02103132131032132	0321310313213103202
03203	0313210202	032131031320	031321310321313	02103202102032020	0321310313213103203
021021	0313210203	032131032131	032021020320213	02103202102032131	0321310313213103210
031320	0313210310	032131032132		02103202102032132	02032102032021020320
033030	0320210202	032102032020	032021020321021	02103202102032103	02032102032021032020
032132	0320210313	032102032102	032131031321313	03132131031321020	02032102032021032021
032103	0320210310	032102032103	032131031321020	03132131031321021	02103132131031321020
0203203	0320210321	0203202102031	032131031321021	03132131031321032	02103132131031321021
0210202	0321310310	0203202103203	032131031321032	03213103132131031	02103132131031321032
0210310	0321310320	0203210203203	032102032021021	03213103132103131	03202102032021032020
0210321	02032021021	0210203210202	0203202102032020	03213103132103132	03202102032021032021
0320213	02032102031	0210203210203	0203202102032021	03210203202102031	03213103132131032132
0321313	02102032020	0210313213102	0203202102032131	03210203202103203	03210203202102032131
0321021	02102032131	0210320210202	0203202102032132	020320210203210202	03210203202102032132
02032020	02102032132	0313213103131	0203202102032103	020320210203210203	03210203202102032103
02032132	02102032103	0313213103202	0210203202102031	020321020320210202	021031321310313210310
02032103	02103132132	0313213103203	0210203202102032	020321020320210313	021032021020320210313
02102031	03132103131	0313213103210	0210203202103203	020321020320210310	021032021020320210310
02103131	03202102031	0320210203203	0210313213103131	020321020320210321	021032021020320210321
02103203	03202103203	0321020320213	0210313213103202	021031321310313213	032131031321310321313
03132132	03213103131	02032021032020	0210313213103203	021031321310321313	032131031321310321310
03213102	03213103210	02032021032021	0210313213103210	021031321310321310	032102032021020321021
03210202	03210203203	02032102032020	0210320210203203	021032021020320213	0203210203202102032131
020320213	03210203213	02102032021021	0313213103132131	021032021020321021	0203210203202102032132
020321313	020320210202	02103202102031	0313213103132132	031321310313210310	0203210203202102032103
020321021	020320210313	03132131031320	0320210203202102	032021020320210313	0210313213103132103131
021031320	020320210310	03132131031320	0320210203210202	032021020320210310	0210313213103132103132
021032020	020320210321	03202102032020	0320210203210203	032021020320210321	0210320210203202103203
031321313	020321020321	03202102032020	0321310313213102	032102032021020320	0321020320210203210202
031321021	021020320213	03202102032131	0321310313210310	032102032021032020	0321020320210203210203
031321032	021020321021	03202102032132	0321020320210202	032102032021032021	02032102032021020321021
032021021	021031321313	03213103132132	0321020320210313	0203210203202102031	02103202102032021032020
032102031	021032021021	020320210203203	0321020320210310	0203210203202103203	02103202102032021032021
203213102	021032021031	0203210203203	0321020320210321	0210320210203202102	020321020320210203210202
203213103	021032021032		02032021020321021	0210320210203210202	020321020320210203210203
		021020320210202	02032102032021021	0210320210203210203	***************************************

Thus we cannot avoid the patterns xx and $x\sigma(x)$ simultaneously over Σ_4 . However, we can avoid the patterns xx and $x\sigma(x)$ simultaneously over Σ_5 . This will follow from Theorem 5 below.

Next, let us prove assertion (c). As we have seen in Proposition 3 above, every word of length ≥ 4 over Σ_4 contains an occurrence of one of the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, or $x\sigma^2(x)$. We now show

Theorem 5 It is possible to simultaneously avoid the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, and $x\sigma^2(x)$ over Σ_5 .

Before starting the proof, we introduce some notation. If $\mathbf{w}=a_1a_2a_3\cdots$ is a word over Σ_k , then

$$\Delta(\mathbf{w}) := (a_2 - a_1, a_3 - a_2, a_4 - a_3, \dots),$$

where the differences are, of course, taken mod k. Similarly, we write

$$S(\mathbf{w}) = (0, a_1, a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_2 + a_3, \dots),$$

where the sums are, of course, taken mod k. Note that $\Delta(S(\mathbf{w})) = \mathbf{w}$, and if $a_1 = 0$, then $S(\Delta(\mathbf{w})) = \mathbf{w}$. Finally, if $x = a_1 \cdots a_m \in \Sigma_k^*$, we define $s_k(x) = (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_i) \mod k$.

The following lemma relates occurrences of patterns of the form $x\sigma^a(x)$ in w to other, easier-to-study patterns in $\Delta(\mathbf{w})$.

Lemma 6 Let $w \in \Sigma_k^{\infty}$, and let $a \in \Sigma_k$. Then w avoids the pattern $x\sigma^a(x)$ iff $\Delta(w)$ avoids $\{ycy: y \in \Sigma_k^{\bullet}, c \in \Sigma_k, and s_k(yc) = a\}$.

Proof. Suppose w contains an occurrence of the pattern $x\sigma^a(x)$. Write $x=b_1b_2\cdots b_i$. Then

$$w = w'b_1b_2\cdots b_i\sigma^a(b_1)\cdots\sigma^a(b_i)\cdots.$$

Thus

$$\Delta(w) = \Delta(w'b_1), (b_2 - b_1, \dots, b_i - b_{i-1}, \sigma^a(b_1) - b_i, b_2 - b_1, \dots, b_i - b_{i-1}, \dots),$$

and hence contains ycu with

$$y = (b_2 - b_1, \dots, b_i - b_{i-1}), \quad c = \sigma^a(b_1) - b_i.$$

Also

$$s_k(yc) = (b_2 - b_1) + \dots + (b_i - b_{i-1}) + \sigma^a(b_1) - b_i$$

= $(b_i - b_1) + (a + b_1 - b_i)$
= a .

Now suppose $\Delta(w)$ contains a subword ycy with $y \in \Sigma_k^*$, $c \in \Sigma_k$, and $s_k(yc) = a$. Then $\Delta(w) = xycyz$ for some $x = b_1b_2 \cdots b_j$ and $y = d_1d_2 \cdots d_i$. Then

$$\Delta(w) = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_j d_1 d_2 \cdots d_i c d_1 d_2 \cdots d_i \cdots.$$

Then if e is the first letter of w, we have

$$w = (e, e + b_1, e + b_1 + b_2, \dots, e + b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_j, e + f + d_1, e + f + d_1 + d_2, \dots, e + f + d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_i, e + f + g + c, e + f + g + c + d_1, e + f + g + c + d_1 + d_2, \dots, e + f + g + c + d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_i, \dots)$$

where $f:=b_1+b_2+\cdots+b_j$ and $g:=d_1+d_2+\cdots+d_i$. It follows that w contains an occurrence of $x\sigma^a(x)$, where $x=(e+f,e+f+d_1,\ldots,e+f+d_1+d_2+\cdots+d_i)$ and a=g+c. But $g+c=s_k(d_1d_2\cdots d_ic)=s_k(yc)$.

Now to prove Theorem 5, it suffices to construct an infinite word ${\bf v}$ where ${\bf v}$ avoids

$$P_2 := \{ycy : y \in \Sigma_5^*, c \in \Sigma_5, \text{ and } s_5(yc) \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}.$$

For then we could set $\mathbf{w} = S(\mathbf{v})$, and by Lemma 6, \mathbf{w} avoids the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, and $x\sigma^2(x)$ over Σ_5 . We construct such a \mathbf{v} using the following theorem.

Theorem 7 Let h be the morphism over $\{3,4\}$ defined by h(4) = 4433 and h(3) = 44433. Let w be a finite word. If w avoids P_2 , then h(w) avoids P_2 .

Proof. We prove the contrapositive.

Suppose h(w) contains an occurrence of the pattern ycy with $y \in \Sigma_5^*$, $c \in \Sigma_5$, and $s_5(yc) \in \{0,1,2\}$. Write $h(w) = z_1 y c y z_2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $|z_1|$ is as small as possible, or, in other words, that the occurrence of ycy we are dealing with lies as far to the left as possible within h(w).

Also note that $s_5(i) = s_5(h(i))$ for $i \in \{3, 4\}$, and so it follows that $s_5(w) = s_5(h(w))$ for all finite strings $w \in \{3, 4\}^*$.

We claim that if ycy is a subword of h(w) for some w such that y,c obey the given conditions, then $|y| \ge 5$. Table 1 below suffices to prove this.

The explanation of the table is as follows. We examine all possible subwords yc of length ≤ 5 that occur in $\{4433,44433\}^*$. For each such subword, it suffices to show that either $s_5(yc) \not\in \{0,1,2\}$, or ycy cannot occur as a subword of h(w) for any $w \in \{3,4\}^*$. For this last check, it suffices to observe that if ycy contains any of the subwords 434,343,333, or 4444, then it cannot occur as a subword of h(w).

Table 1: Proof that $|y| \ge 5$.

L	y	yc	$s_5(yc)$	yo	ycy control forbide subwo	len if so,
'		3	3		3 no	rd which one
		4	4		4 no	
1	·	33	1	33:		333
		34	2	343		343
		13	2	434		434
2		4	3	444		434
2	33		0	33433		343
	34		1	34434	yes	434
	43.		0	43343		343
	44;		1	44344	yes	434
3	444	- 1	2	44444	yes	4444
J	3344		4	3344334	no	1111
	3443	[4	3443344	no	
	4334		0	3444344	yes	434
	4334		4	4334433	no	104
	4443		4	4433443	no	
4	33443		0	4443444	yes	434
* -	33444		$\frac{2}{2}$	334433344	yes	333
ŀ	34433		3 3	334443344	no	
}	34443			344333443	yes	333
+	43344	3		344433444	no	1
\ 	44334	3	*	33444334	no	
-	44433	<u>3</u>		43344433	no	+
			4	44334443	no	

It follows that $|y| \ge 5$. There are now several cases to consider.

Case 1: y starts with 33. Then $ycy=33\cdots c$ $33\cdots$. Since $h(w)\in\{4433,44433\}^*$, we must have c=4. Also, y must end with 4, and furthermore the letter immediately preceding the occurrence of ycy in h(w) must be 4. We can therefore write y=33t4 for some string t, and observe that 4 33t4 4 33t4=4y4y is a subword of h(w). Now let y'=433t, and note that y'4y' is a subword of h(w). But $s_5(y'4)=s_5(433t4)=s_5(33t44)=s_5(y4)\in\{0,1,2\}$, so $y'4y'\in P_2$, contradicting our assumption that ycy was the leftmost such occurrence in h(w).

Case 2: y starts with 34. Then $ycy=34\cdots c$ $34\cdots$, so c=3. Thus $ycy=34\cdots 3$ $34\cdots$, so y must end in 4, and further the letter immediately preceding the occurrence of ycy in h(w) must be 3. We can therefore write y=34t3 for some string t, and observe that 3 34t3=3y3y is a subword of h(w). Now let y'=334t and note that y'3y' is a subword of h(w). But $s_5(y'3)=s_5(334t3)=s_5(34t33)=s_5(y'3)\in\{0,1,2\}$, so $y'3y'\in P_2$, contradicting our assumption that ycy was the leftmost such occurrence in h(w).

Case 3: y starts with 43. Then $ycy = 43 \cdots c \ 43 \cdots$, so c = 4, and further the letter immediately preceding the occurrence of ycy in h(w) must be 4. Thus y = 43t. Write t = t'b, where |b| = 1. Then y = 43t'b. Then $4ycy = 4 \ 43t'b \ 4 \ 43t'b$ is a subword of h(w). Let y' = 443t'. Then y'by' is a subword of h(w), and $s_5(y'b) = s_5(443t'b) = s_5(43t'b4) = s_5(y4) \in \{0,1,2\}$, so $y'by' \in P_2$, contradicting our assumption that ycy was the leftmost such occurrence in h(w).

Case 4: y starts with 444. Then $ycy=444\cdots c$ 444 \cdots , so c=3, and further, y ends with 3. Since $|y|\geq 5$, we can write y=444t3 for some string t. It follows that y3y3=444t3 3 444t3 3 is a subword of h(w). Hence there exists a string u such that h(3u)=y3, and 3u3u is a subword of w. We have $s_5(u3)=s_5(3u)=s_5(y3)\in\{0,1,2\}$, so u3u is an occurrence of a string of P_2 in w, as desired.

Case 5: y starts with 443. There are two subcases to consider:

Case 5a: c=3. Then the last two characters of y must be 43. We have $ycy=443\cdots 43$ 3 $443\cdots 43$. Then y3y3 is a subword of h(w), and there must exist u such that h(4u)=y3 and u4u is a subword of w. Then $s_5(u4)=s_5(4u)=s_5(y3)\in\{0,1,2\}$, so u4u is an occurrence of a string of P_2 in w, as desired.

Case 5b: c=4. Then $ycy=443\cdots 4443\cdots$, so the last three characters of y must be 433. Since $|y|\geq 5$, we must have $y=4433\cdots 433$. Write y=4433y'. Then ycy=4433 y' 44433 y' is a subword of h(w) and there exists u such that h(u)=y'. Then h(u3u)=y' 44433 y'. Now $s_5(u3)=s_5(h(u3))=s_5(y'44433)=s_5(4433y'4)=s_5(y4)$, so u3u is an occurrence of a string of P_2 in w, as desired.

This completes the proof of Theorem 7.

Proof of Theorem 5. Define

 $\mathbf{v} = h^{\omega}(4) = 443344334443344433 \cdots$

We claim ${\bf v}$ avoids P_2 . This follows because the word 4 avoids P_2 , and by Theorem 7, if w avoids P_2 then so does h(w). Now consider $S(\mathbf{v}) = 0431432032103104314\cdots$ From Lemma 6, it follows that $S(\mathbf{v})$ avoids the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, and $x\sigma^2(x)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 5, and hence assertion (c) of Theorem 2.

We now turn to assertion (d) of Theorem 2. From Proposition 4 with r=4we know any word of length \geq 4 over Σ_5 contains an occurrence of one of the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, $x\sigma^2(x)$, or $x\sigma^3(x)$. The methods of Theorem 7 and Lemma 6

Theorem 8 It is possible to simultaneously avoid the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, $x\sigma^2(x)$,

Proof. We construct an infinite word ${\bf w}$ over Σ_6 such that ${\bf w}$ simultaneously avoids the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, $x\sigma^2(x)$, and $x\sigma^3(x)$. Let g be the morphism over $\{4,5\}$ defined by g(5) = 55544 and g(4) = 555544. We claim that $\mathbf{w} = S(g^{\omega}(5))$ simultaneously avoids the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, $x\sigma^2(x)$, and $x\sigma^3(x)$. The proof follows exactly the same plan as that of Theorem 7. We omit it here.

Remark. We note that the morphisms used in the proof of Theorem 7 and Theorem 8 do not generalize to any other j. For example, if we were to define h analogously for j=4, we would have h(6)=666655 and h(5)=6666655. By inspection, we see that h(6) = 6666655 contains ycy where y = 66 and c = 6. Hence $s_7(yc) = 4 = j$ and so S(h(6)) does not avoid the pattern $x\sigma^4(x)$.

Finally, we turn to assertion (e). First, we show it is not possible to avoid the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, ..., $x\sigma^4(x)$ on 7 letters. Here the corresponding tree has 215 leaves, and the longest leaf has length 36. See Figure 2 below.

Figure 2: Leaves of the tree giving the proof of assertion (e)

0631 0642 0643 06320 06432 064310 064316 064316 064316 064316 064316 064310 064316 064310 064316 064	0643206432 0643216431 06432106420 0643106421 0643106532 0653106533 0653106533 0653106533 06531064216 06432164320 06432164320 06432164320 064321643210 064321643210 064321643210 064321643210 064321643210 064321643210 0653106642066310 0653106642066310 06531064210653106 064321643210 064321643210 06531064210653106 06531064210653106 06531064210653106 065310642064310 065310642064310	0653108642065431 0654206543186431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 06543165432065431 0654316543206531 0654316543206531 0654316543206531 0654316543206531 0654316543206531 0654316543206531 0654316543206531 06543105542065431 06543105542065431 06543105542065431 06543105542065431 06543105542065431 06543105542065431 06543105542065431 06543105542065431 06543105542065431 065431065421	0664206543165432064321643210 066431654320654321643216432164321643216432164321643216	086431684320643216432106321063 0853110642108531086421086421165431 085312064210853108642086431165433064331 0843108510864208643186433064331 08510854208643186432064321643210331 08643186432084321643210832108331 086431864370843316432108321084321 0853108420863108642086431864321 08431084310853210842108531086421 08431085310864208643186432064321 08431085310864208643186432086321 0844318643208431084320843318643208 08442085431864320843218643208430 08421085310864208643186432086430 08421085310864208643186432084310853 08421085310864208643186432084310853 0843108432084318643208431085310854208 08421085310854208531085420854086421 0853108420864318643208543108431085310854208 08431084320843186432084321085310842108 08631084320843186432084321085310842108 08631084320843186432085431084321085310864208 084310843208433184321085310864208 084310843208433184321085310842108 086310843208433184321085310842108 086310843208433184321085310842108 086310843208433184321085310842108 086310843208433184321085310842108 0863108432084331843210853108421085 0863108432084331843210853108421085
---	---	--	---	--

06543164 053210643 053210643 054320642 054321642 064210643 0664210654 066420653 065431653 1632105320 1632105321 1632105420	04/21086310863 08431086420843 0843208431863 08431084310843 08431084320853 08432085310843 08531084230853 08531084230853 085310843208542 085310843208543 085310843208543 084321843208543 0843218432108543	066310864708643168431 0664208643168432064321 06643168432068432168431 06432108421084310844208 0643210842108531084208 0643210842108531084208 064320843108432064321 0646208643186432064320 064320863108642086431	0654206543185432064321843216 0654316543206432164321053216 05531064210653106542065431865 05432164321053210642106531064 064210653106542065431165432065 06531065420654318543206432165 065431055420654318543206532106 065431654320654316543206532165 055321064210653106542065431654 0643216532106542065431654320652 06543065432065431654320654 0653106542065431654320654 06542065431655420654321654	08643166432064321643210632106421064 0321004210863108642086431843206432 0632104421085310642086431843206432 064321643210832100421085310649208643 064321643210832100421085310649208643 0643106431064320643186432064321431 064210853106420864318643206432143210 0653106542064318643206432164321053210642 065420654318643206432164321053210642 065420654318643206432164321065210643 066431664320643216432106521064210683 066431664320643216432106521064210683
---	---	---	---	---

Using the tree traversal algorithm, we can prove

Theorem 9 One cannot avoid the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, ..., $x\sigma^j(x)$ on j+3 letters, for $j \geq 5$.

Proof. Consider trying to generate an infinite word \mathbf{w} over \mathbb{Z} starting with 0, subject to two conditions: (1) avoiding the pattern $x\sigma^i(x)$ for all i, where $|x| \geq 2$, and (2) avoiding all subwords of length 2 that are not of the form (n, n-1) or (n, n-2) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us now apply the tree traversal algorithm to this avoidance problem. The tree T so produced has 71 leaves and the longest leaf has length 12. All the occurrences of $x\sigma^i(x)$ found at the leaves of T, for $|x|\geq 2$, satisfy $i\in X$ $\{-3, -4, -6, -7, -8\}.$

Now consider the labels of this tree reduced modulo j + 3. The patterns at the leaves are still of the form $x\sigma^i(x)$, except now i is reduced modulo j+3. In order for T to correctly represent a proof that the pattern $x\sigma^i(x)$ cannot be avoided for $0 \leq i \leq j$ we must check that $i \mod (j+3) \in \{0,1,\ldots,j\}$ for all $i \in X$. But this is clearly true for $j \geq 5$.

Figure 3 lists the leaves of T in coded form. We use the letters A,B,C,D,E,F,Gto represent 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 respectively, and the word $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_j$ represents the leaf $(-a_1, -a_2, \ldots, -a_i)$.

Figure 3: Leaves of the tree giving the proof of Theorem 9.

0246	024568AC	01356789A	0234568ABCE
0235	024568AB	01235789B	01356789BDF
0134	0245679A	01234689B	01246789ACD
02457	02456789	0245679BCE	01235789ABC
01357	0234689B	0245679BCD	01234689ABD
01245	0234689A	0245678ACE	0245678ACDEG
023467	0234579B	0234579ABD	0245678ACDEF
013568	02345689	0234579ABC	0234568ABCDF
012468	0135679B	0234568ABD	0234568ABCDE
012356	0135679A	0135678ACE	01356789BDEG
012345	0124678A	0135678ACD	01356789BDEF
0245689	0123578A	01356789BC	01246789ACEG
023 468 A	0123468A	01246789BD	01246789ACEF
0234578	0245679BD	01246789BC	01235789ABDF

0234567 0124679 0123579 0123467	0245678AB 0234579AC 0234568AC 0135678AB	01246789AB 01235789AC 01234689AC	01235789ABDE 01234689ABCE 01234689ABCD
0123407	0135678AB	0245678ACDF	

We now show it is possible to simultaneously avoid the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, ..., $x\sigma^{j}(x)$ on Σ_{j+4} for $j \geq 4$. Actually, we prove a more general result from which this result will follow.

Theorem 10 Let $k \geq 4$ be an integer, and let $A \subset \Sigma_k$ such that $\operatorname{Card} A \leq k-3$. Then it is possible to simultaneously avoid the patterns $\{x\sigma^a(x) : a \in A\}$ over Σ_k .

Proof. Once again, the idea is to consider the first differences of words, modulo k. Suppose we can construct a word \mathbf{w} over Σ_k such that \mathbf{w} avoids both (i) the pattern ycy, where $|y| \geq 1$ and |c| = 1, and (ii) the letters $a \in A$. Then it follows from Lemma 6 that $S(\mathbf{w})$ avoids the pattern $x\sigma^a(x)$.

Lemma 11 Let $\mathbf{w} = a_1 a_2 a_3 \cdots$ be any squarefree word over Σ_3 . Then the word $a_1 a_1 a_2 a_2 a_3 a_3 \cdots$ avoids the pattern ycy for $y \in \Sigma_3^+$ and $c \in \Sigma_3$.

Proof. Suppose $y=b_1b_2\cdots b_k$, and the pattern ycy occurs in $z=a_1a_1a_2a_2a_3a_3\cdots$. There are three cases to consider, depending on |y| and where y starts in z.

Case 1: |y| is even and y starts with $a_i a_i$. Let k = 2j. Then we have

and so $a_{i+j}=b_1=a_i=b_2=a_{i+j+1}$. It follows that **w** contains the square $a_{i+j}a_{i+j+1}$, a contradiction.

Case 2: |y| is even and y starts with a_ia_{i+1} . Let k=2j. Then we have

and so $a_i=b_1=a_{i+j+1}=b_2=a_{i+1}.$ It follows that ${\bf w}$ contains the square $a_ia_{i+1},$ a contradiction.

Case 3: |y| is odd. Let k = 2j + 1. Then either

or

$$b_1 \ b_2 \ \cdots \ b_{2j} \ b_{2j+1} \ c \ b_1 \ b_2 \ \cdots \ b_{2j} \ b_{2j+1}$$

 $a_i \ a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i+j} \ a_{i+j} \ a_{i+j+1} \ a_{i+j+1} \ a_{i+j+2} \cdots \ a_{i+2j+1} \ a_{i+2j+1}$

In either case we find

$$a_i = b_1 = a_{i+j+1}$$
 $a_{i+1} = b_3 = a_{i+j+2}$
 \vdots
 $a_{i+j} = b_{2j+1} = a_{i+2j+1}$

It follows that $a_ia_{i+1}\cdots a_{i+j}=a_{i+j+1}a_{i+j+2}\cdots a_{i+2j+1}$ and so **w** contains the square $a_ia_{i+1}\cdots a_{i+2j+1}$, a contradiction. The proof of the Lemma is complete.

Remark. One cannot avoid the pattern ycy, with $|y| \ge 1$ and |c| = 1, over an alphabet of 2 letters. As the tree traversal algorithm shows, any word of length ≥ 7 over $\{0,1\}$ contains an occurrence of ycy.

Now we can complete the proof of Theorem 10. Let \mathbf{x} be any squarefree word over $\{0,1,2\}$. Since Card A=k-3, we have $\Sigma_k-A=\{d,e,f\}$ for some distinct integers $0\leq d,e,f< k$.

Consider the morphism $\varphi: \Sigma_{j+4}^* \to \Sigma_{j+4}^*$ defined as follows:

$$0 \rightarrow dd$$
$$1 \rightarrow ee$$
$$2 \rightarrow ff$$

We claim $S(\varphi(\mathbf{x}))$ avoids the patterns $xx,\,x\sigma(x),\,\ldots,\,x\sigma^j(x).$

Let $\mathbf{v} = S(\varphi(\mathbf{x}))$. Then $\Delta(\mathbf{v}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x})$ clearly avoids ycy by Lemma 11, and it also avoids all the letters in A by construction. Then by Lemma 6, \mathbf{v} avoids the patterns $x\sigma^a(x)$ for $a \in A$.

As a consequence we get

Corollary 12 It is possible to simultaneously avoid the patterns xx, $x\sigma(x)$, ..., $x\sigma^{j}(x)$ on Σ_{j+4} for $j \geq 4$.

The proof of Theorem 2 is now complete.

4 Even more results

One may also consider the problem of avoiding other sets of patterns of the form $x\sigma^a(x)$. In this section, we let $j\geq 1$ be an integer, and consider avoiding the 2j+1 patterns $x\sigma^{-j}(x), \ldots, x\sigma^{-1}(x), xx, x\sigma(x), \ldots, x\sigma^{j}(x)$ simultaneously over the alphabet Σ_k .

Theorem 13 For $j \geq 1$, one can simultaneously avoid the patterns $x\sigma^{-j}(x)$, ..., $x\sigma^{-1}(x)$, xx, $x\sigma(x)$, ..., $x\sigma^{j}(x)$ over Σ_{2j+4} , and this is best possible.

Proof.

By Theorem 10 with $A=\{-j,1-j,\ldots,-1,0,1,2,\ldots j\}$, we see that we can simultaneously avoid the patterns $x\sigma^{-j}(x),\ldots,\,x\sigma^{-1}(x),\,xx,\,x\sigma(x),\ldots,\,x\sigma^{j}(x)$ over Σ_{2j+4} .

It follows from Proposition 3 that one cannot avoid $x\sigma^{-j}(x), \ldots, x\sigma^{-1}(x), xx, x\sigma(x), \ldots, x\sigma^{j}(x)$ over Σ_{2j+2} or smaller alphabet.

To prove that one cannot simultaneously avoid the patterns $x\sigma^{-j}(x), \ldots, x\sigma^{-1}(x), xx, x\sigma(x), \ldots, x\sigma^{j}(x)$ over Σ_{2j+3} , we use the tree traversal algorithm. Then every word of length ≥ 8 over Σ_{2j+3} contains an occurrence of $x\sigma^{l}(x)$ for some l with $-j \leq l \leq j$. Figure 4 below gives the output of the tree traversal algorithm, showing that there are 24 leaves. Here t=j+1.

Figure 4: Leaves of the tree giving a proof of Theorem 13.

```
(0, -t, -2t, -3t)
                          (0, -t, -2t, -t, 0, t)
(0, -t, 0, -t)
                                                        (0, -t, -2t, -t, 0, -t, -2t, -3t)
                         (0, -t, 0, t, 0, t)
(0, t, 0, t)
                                                       (0, -t, -2t, -t, 0, -t, -2t, -t)
                         (0, t, 0, -t, 0, -t)
                                                       (0, -t, 0, t, 0, -t, 0, -t)
(0, t, 2t, 3t)
                         (0, t, 2t, t, 0, -t)
(0, -t, -2t, -t, -2t)
                                                       (0, -t, 0, t, 0, -t, 0, t)
                         (0, -t, -2t, -t, 0, -t, 0)
(0, -t, 0, t, 2t)
                                                       (0, t, 0, -t, 0, t, 0, -t)
                         (0, -t, 0, t, 0, -t, -2t)
                                                       (0, t, 0, -t, 0, t, 0, t)
(0, t, 0, -t, -2t)
                         (0, t, 0, -t, 0, t, 2t)
(0, t, 2t, t, 2t)
                                                       (0, t, 2t, t, 0, t, 2t, t)
                         (0, t, 2t, t, 0, t, 0)
                                                       (0, t, 2t, t, 0, t, 2t, 3t)
```

5 Avoiding $x\sigma^i(x)$ for all i

Generalizing the results of the previous section, we may ask if it is possible to avoid the patterns $x\sigma^i(x)$ for all i. Unfortunately, this is clearly impossible, for if a word z begins with ij, then it contains a subword of the form $i\sigma^{j-i}(i)$.

However, we can relax our conditions for avoidance, as follows: we say an infinite word weakly avoids the patterns $x\sigma^i(x)$ if it contains no subwords of the form $x\sigma^i(x)$ with $|x| \geq 2$. (In contrast, our previous notion of avoidability we will call strong.)

Proposition 14 Over Σ_2 , every word of length ≥ 8 contains a subword of the form $x\sigma^i(x)$ for some $i\geq 0$, with $|x|\geq 2$.

Proof. Our simple tree traversal algorithm proves this. The tree generated has 24 leaves, and the leaves are given in Figure 5. ■

Figure 5: Leaves of the tree giving a proof of Proposition 14.

0000	000101	00010000
0011	001001	
	001001	00010001
0101	010000	00100010
0110		•
0110	011100	00100011

00011	0001001 0010000	01000100
01001	010000	01000101 01110110
01111	0111010	01110110

However, it is possible to weakly avoid the patterns $x\sigma^i(x)$ for all $i \geq 0$ over Σ_3 . Let **w** be any squarefree word over $\{0,1,2\}$, and consider the morphism f which maps

$$0 \to 00$$
$$1 \to 10$$
$$2 \to 20.$$

Theorem 15 The infinite word $f(\mathbf{w})$ weakly avoids the patterns $x\sigma^i(x)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{w} = c_1 c_2 c_3 \cdots$, and $f(\mathbf{w})$ contains a subword of the form $z = x \sigma^i(x)$ for some i and $|x| \geq 2$. There are two cases, depending on $|x| \mod 2$.

Case 1: $|x| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. In this case, there are two possibilities, depending where x starts in $f(\mathbf{w})$:

$$z = \overbrace{d_1 0 d_2 0 \cdots d_j 0}^{x} \mid \overbrace{d_{j+1} 0 \cdots d_{2j} 0}^{\sigma^i(x)}$$
$$z = 0 d_1 0 d_2 \cdots 0 d_j \mid 0 d_{j+1} \cdots 0 d_{2j}$$

where $d_t = c_{t+k}$ for some integer $k \geq 0$. Comparing the second symbol in the first case, or the first symbol in the second case, we see that if $z = x\sigma^i(x)$, then i = 0. Hence $d_t = d_{j+t}$ for $1 \leq t \leq j$, and so $c_{k+t} = c_{k+j+t}$ for $1 \leq t \leq j$, contradicting the assumption that \mathbf{w} was squarefree.

Case 2: $|x| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

$$z = \overbrace{d_1 0 d_2 \cdots}^{x} \mid \overbrace{0 d_j 0 \cdots}^{\sigma^i(x)}$$
$$z = 0 d_1 0 \cdots \mid d_j 0 d_{j+1} \cdots$$

If $z = x\sigma^i(x)$, then, in the first case, we must have $d_1 = d_2$, and in the second $d_j = d_{j+1}$. Both correspond to a square in \mathbf{w} , a contradiction.

We might also try weakly avoiding $x\sigma^i(x)$ for 0 < i < k over Σ_k , while simultaneously (strongly) avoiding xx.

Theorem 16 If k = 4, one can, over Σ_k , simultaneously weakly avoid $x\sigma^i(x)$ for 0 < i < k and strongly avoid xx. Here k is best possible.

Proof. We can weakly avoid $x\sigma^i(x)$ for 0 < i < k and strongly avoid xx over Σ_4 follows: let \mathbf{w} be any squarefree word over $\{1,2,3\}$, and consider the morphism f which maps

 $1 \rightarrow 10$ $2 \rightarrow 20$ $3 \rightarrow 30.$

Then it follows from the same method of the proof of Theorem 15 that $f(\mathbf{w})$ weakly avoids $x\sigma^i(x)$ for all i. However, it is clear from the construction that $f(\mathbf{w})$ has no subword of the form cc for $c \in \Sigma_4$, so $f(\mathbf{w})$ also strongly avoids xx.

On the other hand, the tree traversal algorithm shows that over Σ_3 , any word of length ≥ 8 has a (weak) occurrence of $x\sigma^i(x)$ with 0 < i < 3, or a strong occurrence of xx. The tree generated has 24 leaves, and the leaves are given in Figure 6.

Figure 6: Leaves of the tree giving a proof of Theorem 16.

0101	010202	01020101
0120	012102	01020102
0202	020101	01210120
0210	021201	01210121
01021	0102012	02010201
01212	0121010	02010202
02012	0201021	02120210
02121	0212020	02120212

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge with thanks conversations with James Currie. Jean-Paul Allouche read an early draft of this paper and made several useful suggestions.

References

- 1. D. A. Bean, G. Ehrenfeucht, and G. McNulty. Avoidable patterns in strings of symbols. *Pacific J. Math.* 85 (1979), 261-294.
- 2. J. Berstel. Axel Thue's Papers on Repetitions in Words: a Translation. Number 20 in Publications du Laboratoire de Combinatoire et d'Informatique Mathématique. Université du Québec à Montréal, February 1995.
- 3. T. C. Brown. Is there a sequence on four symbols in which no two adjacent segments are permutations of one another? *Amer. Math. Monthly* 78 (1971), 886-888.
- J. Cassaigne. Unavoidable binary patterns. Acta Informatica 30 (1993), 385–395.
- 5. J. D. Currie. Open problems in pattern avoidance. Amer. Math. Monthly 100 (1993), 790-793.

 A. Thue. Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen. Norske vid. Selsk. Skr. Mat. Nat. Kl. 1 (1912), 1-67. Reprinted in Selected Mathematical Papers of Axel Thue, T. Nagell, editor, Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, 1977, pp. 413-478. Published by

World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. P O Box 128, Farrer Road, Singapore 912805

USA office: Suite 1B, 1060 Main Street, River Edge, NJ 07661 UK office: 57 Shelton Street, Covent Garden, London WC2H 9HE

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

DEVELOPMENTS IN LANGUAGE THEORY Foundations, Applications, and Perspectives

Copyright @ 2000 by World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

All rights reserved. This book, or parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage and retrieval system now known or to be invented, without written permission from the Publisher.

For photocopying of material in this volume, please pay a copying fee through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA. In this case permission to photocopy is not required from the publisher.

ISBN 981-02-4380-4

DEVELOPMENTS IN LANGUAGE THEORY

FOUNDATIONS, APPLICATIONS, AND PERSPECTIVES

Aachen, Germany

6 - 9 July 1999

Editors

G. Rozenberg

Leiden University, The Netherlands & University of Colorado, USA

W. Thomas

Technical University of Aachen, Germany