

# Open Problem: Decidability of Divisibility in Automata

Jeffrey Shallit  
School of Computer Science  
University of Waterloo  
Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1  
Canada  
shallit@cs.uwaterloo.ca  
<http://www.cs.uwaterloo.ca/~shallit>

# The model

- ▶  $\Sigma_k = \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$
- ▶ Numbers are represented in base  $k$
- ▶ Numbers represented by words in  $\Sigma_k^*$
- ▶ Canonical representation of  $n$  is  $(n)_k$ , without leading zeroes
- ▶ If  $w \in \Sigma_k^*$  then  $[w]_k$  is the integer represented by  $w$
- ▶ E.g., 3526 is represented by the string 3526

# Representing pairs

- ▶ Representations of pairs of integers are words over the alphabet  $\Sigma_k \times \Sigma_k$
- ▶ For example, if  $w = [3, 0][5, 0][2, 4][6, 1]$  then  $[w]_{10} = (3526, 41)$ .
- ▶ Canonical representations lack leading  $[0, 0]$ 's

# An open question

Question: Given an automaton  $M$  accepting the base- $k$  representations of a set of pairs  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$ , is there a pair  $(p, q) \in S$  such that  $p \mid q$ ?

# What's known

- ▶ Tarski: the first-order theory of  $(\mathbb{N}, +, |)$  is undecidable. (Idea: use  $|$  to implement multiplication.)
- ▶ Decidable: given an automaton  $M$  accepting the base- $k$  representations of a set of pairs  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$ , does  $p | q$  for all  $(p, q) \in S$ ?
  - ▶ The condition  $p | q$  for all  $(p, q) \in S$  is very strong, and forces  $p$  to be in an easily describable set

## A related question

- ▶ Suppose  $M$  is an automaton with  $n$  states and
- ▶ Suppose it accepts the base- $k$  representations of a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  of pairs  $(p, q)$  such that  $p \mid q$  for at least one pair
- ▶ How large can the smallest  $q$  be, in terms of  $n$ ?
- ▶ A simple argument shows  $q$  can be doubly-exponential:
  - ▶ Choose a prime  $p$  such that 2 is a primitive root, modulo  $p$  and  $S = (p, 2^n - 1)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . It is easy to build a DFA of  $\log_2 p + O(1)$  states accepting  $(S)_2$ , but the smallest pair  $(p, q)$  where  $p \mid q$  has  $q = 2^{p-1} - 1$ , and hence is doubly exponentially large in  $n$ .

## Even worse examples

- ▶ Take  $(p, q) = (2^j + s, 2^i + r)$  where  $i \geq i_0, j \geq j_0$ .
- ▶ Example: for  $(r, s) = (55, 113)$  the smallest solution is  $(i, j) = (685, 11)$ .
- ▶ The least  $i \geq 6$  such that there exists  $j \geq 6$  with  $2^j + 57 \mid 2^i + 55$  seems to be  $i = 5230932780542371665$ ,  $j = 70$ .