

# Lecture 20: Matrix Multiplication & Exponent of Linear Algebra

Rafael Oliveira

University of Waterloo  
Cheriton School of Computer Science

[rafael.oliveira.teaching@gmail.com](mailto:rafael.oliveira.teaching@gmail.com)

July 4, 2025

# Overview

- Matrix Multiplication
- The Exponent of Linear Algebra
- Matrix Inversion
- Determinant and Matrix Inverse
- Computing Partial Derivatives
- Conclusion

# Matrix Multiplication

- **Input:** matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$
- **Output:** product  $C = AB$

# Matrix Multiplication

- **Input:** matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$
- **Output:** product  $C = AB$
- Naive algorithm:

Compute  $n$  matrix vector multiplications.

# Matrix Multiplication

- **Input:** matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$
- **Output:** product  $C = AB$
- Naive algorithm:

Compute  $n$  matrix vector multiplications.

- Running time:  $O(n^3)$

Can we do better?

# Matrix Multiplication

- **Input:** matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$

- **Output:** product  $C = AB$

- Naive algorithm:

Compute  $n$  matrix vector multiplications.

- Running time:  $O(n^3)$

Can we do better?

- Strassen 1969: YES!

- Idea: divide matrix into blocks, and *reduce number of multiplications* needed!

# Strassen's Algorithm

- Suppose that  $n = 2^k$
- Let  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  such that  $C = AB$ . Divide them into blocks of size  $n/2$ :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Strassen's Algorithm

- Suppose that  $n = 2^k$
- Let  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  such that  $C = AB$ . Divide them into blocks of size  $n/2$ :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Define following matrices:

$$S_1 = A_{21} + A_{22}, \quad S_2 = S_1 - A_{11}, \quad S_3 = A_{11} - A_{21}, \quad S_4 = A_{12} - S_2$$

$$T_1 = B_{12} - B_{11}, \quad T_2 = B_{22} - T_1, \quad T_3 = B_{22} - B_{12}, \quad T_4 = T_2 - B_{21}$$



# Strassen's Algorithm

- Suppose that  $n = 2^k$
- Let  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  such that  $C = AB$ . Divide them into blocks of size  $n/2$ :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Define following matrices:

$$S_1 = A_{21} + A_{22}, \quad S_2 = S_1 - A_{11}, \quad S_3 = A_{11} - A_{21}, \quad S_4 = A_{12} - S_2$$

$$T_1 = B_{12} - B_{11}, \quad T_2 = B_{22} - T_1, \quad T_3 = B_{22} - B_{12}, \quad T_4 = T_2 - B_{21}$$

- Compute the following 7 products:

$$P_1 = A_{11}B_{11}, \quad P_2 = A_{12}B_{21}, \quad P_3 = S_4B_{22}, \quad P_4 = A_{22}T_4$$

$$P_5 = S_1T_1, \quad P_6 = S_2T_2, \quad P_7 = S_3T_3$$

# Strassen's Algorithm

- Define following matrices:

$$S_1 = A_{21} + A_{22}, \quad S_2 = S_1 - A_{11}, \quad S_3 = A_{11} - A_{21}, \quad S_4 = A_{12} - S_2$$

$$T_1 = B_{12} - B_{11}, \quad T_2 = B_{22} - T_1, \quad T_3 = B_{22} - B_{12}, \quad T_4 = T_2 - B_{21}$$

- Compute the following 7 products:

$$P_1 = A_{11}B_{11}, \quad P_2 = A_{12}B_{21}, \quad P_3 = S_4B_{22}, \quad P_4 = A_{22}T_4$$

$$P_5 = S_1T_1, \quad P_6 = S_2T_2, \quad P_7 = S_3T_3$$

# Strassen's Algorithm

- Define following matrices:

$$S_1 = A_{21} + A_{22}, \quad S_2 = S_1 - A_{11}, \quad S_3 = A_{11} - A_{21}, \quad S_4 = A_{12} - S_2$$

$$T_1 = B_{12} - B_{11}, \quad T_2 = B_{22} - T_1, \quad T_3 = B_{22} - B_{12}, \quad T_4 = T_2 - B_{21}$$

- Compute the following 7 products:

$$P_1 = A_{11}B_{11}, \quad P_2 = A_{12}B_{21}, \quad P_3 = S_4B_{22}, \quad P_4 = A_{22}T_4$$

$$P_5 = S_1T_1, \quad P_6 = S_2T_2, \quad P_7 = S_3T_3$$

- $C_{11} = A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = P_1 + P_2$

# Strassen's Algorithm

- Define following matrices:

$$S_1 = A_{21} + A_{22}, \quad S_2 = S_1 - A_{11}, \quad S_3 = A_{11} - A_{21}, \quad S_4 = A_{12} - S_2$$

$$T_1 = B_{12} - B_{11}, \quad T_2 = B_{22} - T_1, \quad T_3 = B_{22} - B_{12}, \quad T_4 = T_2 - B_{21}$$

- Compute the following 7 products:

$$P_1 = A_{11}B_{11}, \quad P_2 = A_{12}B_{21}, \quad P_3 = S_4B_{22}, \quad P_4 = A_{22}T_4$$

$$P_5 = S_1T_1, \quad P_6 = S_2T_2, \quad P_7 = S_3T_3$$

- $C_{11} = A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = P_1 + P_2$
- $C_{12} = A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} = P_1 + P_3 + P_5 + P_6$

# Strassen's Algorithm

- Define following matrices:

$$S_1 = A_{21} + A_{22}, \quad S_2 = S_1 - A_{11}, \quad S_3 = A_{11} - A_{21}, \quad S_4 = A_{12} - S_2$$

$$T_1 = B_{12} - B_{11}, \quad T_2 = B_{22} - T_1, \quad T_3 = B_{22} - B_{12}, \quad T_4 = T_2 - B_{21}$$

- Compute the following 7 products:

$$P_1 = A_{11}B_{11}, \quad P_2 = A_{12}B_{21}, \quad P_3 = S_4B_{22}, \quad P_4 = A_{22}T_4$$

$$P_5 = S_1T_1, \quad P_6 = S_2T_2, \quad P_7 = S_3T_3$$

- $C_{11} = A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = P_1 + P_2$
- $C_{12} = A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} = P_1 + P_3 + P_5 + P_6$
- $C_{21} = A_{21}B_{11} + A_{22}B_{21} = P_1 - P_4 + P_6 + P_7$

# Strassen's Algorithm

- Define following matrices:

$$S_1 = A_{21} + A_{22}, \quad S_2 = S_1 - A_{11}, \quad S_3 = A_{11} - A_{21}, \quad S_4 = A_{12} - S_2$$

$$T_1 = B_{12} - B_{11}, \quad T_2 = B_{22} - T_1, \quad T_3 = B_{22} - B_{12}, \quad T_4 = T_2 - B_{21}$$

- Compute the following 7 products:

$$P_1 = A_{11}B_{11}, \quad P_2 = A_{12}B_{21}, \quad P_3 = S_4B_{22}, \quad P_4 = A_{22}T_4$$

$$P_5 = S_1T_1, \quad P_6 = S_2T_2, \quad P_7 = S_3T_3$$

- $C_{11} = A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = P_1 + P_2$
- $C_{12} = A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} = P_1 + P_3 + P_5 + P_6$
- $C_{21} = A_{21}B_{11} + A_{22}B_{21} = P_1 - P_4 + P_6 + P_7$
- $C_{22} = A_{21}B_{12} + A_{22}B_{22} = P_1 + P_5 + P_6 + P_7$

# Strassen's Algorithm

- Define following matrices:

$$S_1 = A_{21} + A_{22}, \quad S_2 = S_1 - A_{11}, \quad S_3 = A_{11} - A_{21}, \quad S_4 = A_{12} - S_2$$

$$T_1 = B_{12} - B_{11}, \quad T_2 = B_{22} - T_1, \quad T_3 = B_{22} - B_{12}, \quad T_4 = T_2 - B_{21}$$

- Compute the following 7 products:

$$P_1 = A_{11}B_{11}, \quad P_2 = A_{12}B_{21}, \quad P_3 = S_4B_{22}, \quad P_4 = A_{22}T_4$$

$$P_5 = S_1T_1, \quad P_6 = S_2T_2, \quad P_7 = S_3T_3$$

- $C_{11} = A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = P_1 + P_2$
- $C_{12} = A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} = P_1 + P_3 + P_5 + P_6$
- $C_{21} = A_{21}B_{11} + A_{22}B_{21} = P_1 - P_4 + P_6 + P_7$
- $C_{22} = A_{21}B_{12} + A_{22}B_{22} = P_1 + P_5 + P_6 + P_7$
- Correctness follows from the computations

# Analysis of Strassen's Algorithm

- To compute  $AB = C$  we used:

- ① 8 additions
- ② 7 multiplications
- ③ 10 additions

$S_i, T_i$ 's  
 $P_i$ 's  
 $C_{ij}$ 's



# Analysis of Strassen's Algorithm

- To compute  $AB = C$  we used:

- ① 8 additions
- ② 7 multiplications
- ③ 10 additions

$S_i, T_i$ 's  
 $P_i$ 's  
 $C_{ij}$ 's

- Recurrence:

$$MM(n) \leq 7 \cdot MM(n/2) + 18 \cdot c \cdot (n/2)^2$$

# Analysis of Strassen's Algorithm

- To compute  $AB = C$  we used:

- ① 8 additions
- ② 7 multiplications
- ③ 10 additions

$S_i, T_i$ 's  
 $P_i$ 's  
 $C_{ij}$ 's

- Recurrence:

$$MM(n) \leq 7 \cdot MM(n/2) + 18 \cdot c \cdot (n/2)^2$$

$$MM(2^k) \leq 7 \cdot MM(2^{k-1}) + 18 \cdot c \cdot 2^{2k-2}$$

# Analysis of Strassen's Algorithm

- To compute  $AB = C$  we used:

- ① 8 additions
- ② 7 multiplications
- ③ 10 additions

$S_i, T_i$ 's  
 $P_i$ 's  
 $C_{ij}$ 's

- Recurrence:

$$MM(n) \leq 7 \cdot MM(n/2) + 18 \cdot c \cdot (n/2)^2$$

$$MM(2^k) \leq 7 \cdot MM(2^{k-1}) + 18 \cdot c \cdot 2^{2k-2}$$

- Could also use Master theorem to get  $MM(n) = O(n^{\log 7}) \approx O(n^{2.807})$

# Matrix Multiplication Exponent

- We can define  $\omega$  (or  $\omega_{mult}$ ) as the *matrix multiplication exponent*.  
An *algebraic algorithm* for matrix multiplication is an algorithm which only uses the algebraic operations  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\div$ .
  - 1 If an algebraic algorithm for  $n \times n$  matrix multiplication uses  $O(n^\alpha)$  operations, then  $\omega \leq \alpha$ .
  - 2 For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an algebraic algorithm for  $n \times n$  matrix multiplication which uses  $O(n^{\omega+\varepsilon})$  algebraic operations

# Matrix Multiplication Exponent

- We can define  $\omega$  (or  $\omega_{mult}$ ) as the *matrix multiplication exponent*.  
An *algebraic algorithm* for matrix multiplication is an algorithm which only uses the algebraic operations  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\div$ .
  - ① If an algebraic algorithm for  $n \times n$  matrix multiplication uses  $O(n^\alpha)$  operations, then  $\omega \leq \alpha$ .
  - ② For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an algebraic algorithm for  $n \times n$  matrix multiplication which uses  $O(n^{\omega+\varepsilon})$  algebraic operations
- As we will see today,  $\omega$  is a fundamental constant in computer science!

# Matrix Multiplication Exponent

- We can define  $\omega$  (or  $\omega_{mult}$ ) as the *matrix multiplication exponent*. An *algebraic algorithm* for matrix multiplication is an algorithm which only uses the algebraic operations  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\div$ .
  - ① If an algebraic algorithm for  $n \times n$  matrix multiplication uses  $O(n^\alpha)$  operations, then  $\omega \leq \alpha$ .
  - ② For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an algebraic algorithm for  $n \times n$  matrix multiplication which uses  $O(n^{\omega+\varepsilon})$  algebraic operations
- As we will see today,  $\omega$  is a fundamental constant in computer science!
- Currently we know  $2 \leq \omega < 2.376$

## Open Question

*What is the right value of  $\omega$ ?*

# Historical Remarks

- Strassen's work is not only important because it gives a faster matrix multiplication algorithm, but because it startled the community that the trivial cubic algorithm could be improved!

# Historical Remarks

- Strassen's work is not only important because it gives a faster matrix multiplication algorithm, but because it startled the community that the trivial cubic algorithm could be improved!
- Motivated work on better algorithms for all other linear algebraic problems



# Historical Remarks

- Strassen's work is not only important because it gives a faster matrix multiplication algorithm, but because it startled the community that the trivial cubic algorithm could be improved!
- Motivated work on better algorithms for all other linear algebraic problems
- introduced complexity of computation of *bilinear functions* and the study of complexity of tensor decompositions

- Matrix Multiplication
- The Exponent of Linear Algebra
- Matrix Inversion
- Determinant and Matrix Inverse
- Computing Partial Derivatives
- Conclusion

# The Exponent of Linear Algebra

- We just saw how to multiply matrices faster than the naive algorithm
- We also learned about  $\omega_{mult} := \omega$
- How fundamental is the exponent of matrix multiplication?

# The Exponent of Linear Algebra

- We just saw how to multiply matrices faster than the naive algorithm
- We also learned about  $\omega_{mult} := \omega$
- How fundamental is the exponent of matrix multiplication?
- We can similarly define  $\omega_P$  for a problem  $P$

$\omega_{determinant}$ ,  $\omega_{inverse}$ ,  $\omega_{linear\ system}$ ,  $\omega_{characteristic\ polynomial}$

# The Exponent of Linear Algebra

- We just saw how to multiply matrices faster than the naive algorithm
- We also learned about  $\omega_{mult} := \omega$
- How fundamental is the exponent of matrix multiplication?
- We can similarly define  $\omega_P$  for a problem  $P$

$\omega_{determinant}, \omega_{inverse}, \omega_{linear\ system}, \omega_{characteristic\ polynomial}$

- As we will see today (and in homework):

$$\omega = \omega_{inverse} = \omega_{determinant}$$

# The Exponent of Linear Algebra

- We just saw how to multiply matrices faster than the naive algorithm
- We also learned about  $\omega_{mult} := \omega$
- How fundamental is the exponent of matrix multiplication?
- We can similarly define  $\omega_P$  for a problem  $P$

$\omega_{determinant}, \omega_{inverse}, \omega_{linear\ system}, \omega_{characteristic\ polynomial}$

- As we will see today (and in homework):

$$\omega = \omega_{inverse} = \omega_{determinant}$$

- More generally, all of these  $\omega_P$ 's are related to  $\omega$ !

Matrix multiplication exponent fundamental to linear algebra!

- Matrix Multiplication
- The Exponent of Linear Algebra
- **Matrix Inversion**
- Determinant and Matrix Inverse
- Computing Partial Derivatives
- Conclusion

# Matrix inverse vs matrix multiplication

- Matrix inverse is at least as hard as matrix multiplication
- How to prove this? *reductions!*

If we can invert matrices quickly, then we can multiply two matrices quickly.



# Matrix inverse vs matrix multiplication

- Matrix inverse is at least as hard as matrix multiplication
- How to prove this? *reductions!*

If we can invert matrices quickly, then we can multiply two matrices quickly.

- Suppose we had an algorithm for inverting matrices
- Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I & A & 0 \\ 0 & I & B \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

# Matrix inverse vs matrix multiplication

- Matrix inverse is at least as hard as matrix multiplication
- How to prove this?

*reductions!*

If we can invert matrices quickly, then we can multiply two matrices quickly.

- Suppose we had an algorithm for inverting matrices
- Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I & A & 0 \\ 0 & I & B \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

- Then

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -A & AB \\ 0 & I & -B \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

# Matrix inverse vs matrix multiplication

- Matrix inverse is at least as hard as matrix multiplication
- How to prove this?

*reductions!*

If we can invert matrices quickly, then we can multiply two matrices quickly.

- Suppose we had an algorithm for inverting matrices
- Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I & A & 0 \\ 0 & I & B \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

- Then

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -A & AB \\ 0 & I & -B \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

- So if we could invert in time  $T$ , then we can multiply two matrices in time  $O(T)$ .

# Matrix Multiplication vs Matrix Inversion

- Matrix multiplication is at least as hard as matrix inversion

“If we can multiply two matrices fast, we can also invert them fast.”

# Matrix Multiplication vs Matrix Inversion

- Matrix multiplication is at least as hard as matrix inversion

“If we can multiply two matrices fast, we can also invert them fast.”
- Suppose we have an algorithm that performs matrix multiplication.
- Let  $n = 2^k$ , divide matrix  $M$  into blocks of size  $n/2$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

# Matrix Multiplication vs Matrix Inversion

- Matrix multiplication is at least as hard as matrix inversion

“If we can multiply two matrices fast, we can also invert them fast.”
- Suppose we have an algorithm that performs matrix multiplication.
- Let  $n = 2^k$ , divide matrix  $M$  into blocks of size  $n/2$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

- The inverse of  $M$  in block form is given by:

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -A^{-1}BS^{-1} \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ -CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix}$$

Assuming  $A$  and  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$  are invertible

# Matrix Multiplication vs Matrix Inversion

- Matrix multiplication is at least as hard as matrix inversion  
“If we can multiply two matrices fast, we can also invert them fast.”
- Suppose we have an algorithm that performs matrix multiplication.
- Let  $n = 2^k$ , divide matrix  $M$  into blocks of size  $n/2$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

- The inverse of  $M$  in block form is given by:

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -A^{-1}BS^{-1} \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ -CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix}$$

Assuming  $A$  and  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$  are invertible

- How do we compute this? *Schur Complement*

Similar to how we would invert regular matrices! Just pay attention to non-commutativity.

# Runtime Analysis

- The inverse of  $M$  in block form is given by:

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -A^{-1}BS^{-1} \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ -CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix}$$

Assuming  $A$  and  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$  are invertible.



# Runtime Analysis

- The inverse of  $M$  in block form is given by:

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -A^{-1}BS^{-1} \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ -CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix}$$

Assuming  $A$  and  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$  are invertible.

- To invert  $M$ , we needed to:
  - Invert  $A$

# Runtime Analysis

- The inverse of  $M$  in block form is given by:

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -A^{-1}BS^{-1} \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ -CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix}$$

Assuming  $A$  and  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$  are invertible.

- To invert  $M$ , we needed to:
  - Invert  $A$
  - Compute  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$

# Runtime Analysis

- The inverse of  $M$  in block form is given by:

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -A^{-1}BS^{-1} \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ -CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix}$$

Assuming  $A$  and  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$  are invertible.

- To invert  $M$ , we needed to:
  - Invert  $A$
  - Compute  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$
  - Invert  $S$

# Runtime Analysis

- The inverse of  $M$  in block form is given by:

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -A^{-1}BS^{-1} \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ -CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix}$$

Assuming  $A$  and  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$  are invertible.

- To invert  $M$ , we needed to:
  - Invert  $A$
  - Compute  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$
  - Invert  $S$
  - perform constant number of multiplications above

# Runtime Analysis

- The inverse of  $M$  in block form is given by:

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -A^{-1}BS^{-1} \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ -CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix}$$

Assuming  $A$  and  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$  are invertible.

- To invert  $M$ , we needed to:
  - Invert  $A$
  - Compute  $S := D - CA^{-1}B$
  - Invert  $S$
  - perform constant number of multiplications above
- Recurrence relation:

$$I(n) \leq 2 \cdot I(n/2) + C \cdot (n/2)^\omega$$

# Solving Recurrence

- Recurrence relation:

$$I(n) \leq 2 \cdot I(n/2) + C \cdot (n/2)^\omega$$

- We know that  $2 \leq \omega < 3$

$\omega$  is a constant

# Solving Recurrence

- Recurrence relation:

$$I(n) \leq 2 \cdot I(n/2) + C \cdot (n/2)^\omega$$

- We know that  $2 \leq \omega < 3$

$\omega$  is a constant

- Recurrence relation:

$$I(2^k) \leq 2 \cdot I(2^{k-1}) + C \cdot 2^{\omega(k-1)}$$

# Solving Recurrence

- Recurrence relation:

$$I(n) \leq 2 \cdot I(n/2) + C \cdot (n/2)^\omega$$

- We know that  $2 \leq \omega < 3$

$\omega$  is a constant

- Recurrence relation:

$$I(2^k) \leq 2 \cdot I(2^{k-1}) + C \cdot 2^{\omega(k-1)}$$

- Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) = I(2^k) &\leq 2^k \cdot I(1) + C \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^{\omega j} \\ &\leq C' \cdot \left( 2^k + \frac{2^{\omega k} - 1}{2^\omega - 1} \right) \\ &\leq C'' \cdot 2^{\omega k} = C'' n^\omega \end{aligned}$$



# Determinant vs Matrix Multiplication

- One can similarly prove that  $\omega_{\text{determinant}} \leq \omega$
- This is your homework! :)

- Matrix Multiplication
- The Exponent of Linear Algebra
- Matrix Inversion
- Determinant and Matrix Inverse
- Computing Partial Derivatives
- Conclusion

# Determinant of a Matrix

- Given matrix  $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ , the determinant is

$$\det(M) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n M_{i\sigma(i)}$$

# Determinant of a Matrix

- Given matrix  $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ , the determinant is

$$\det(M) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n M_{i\sigma(i)}$$

- Given matrix  $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ , and  $(i, j) \in [n]^2$ , the  $(i, j)$ -minor of  $M$ , denoted  $M^{(i,j)}$  is given by

Remove  $i^{th}$  row and  $j^{th}$  column of  $M$

# Determinant of a Matrix

- Given matrix  $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ , the determinant is

$$\det(M) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n M_{i\sigma(i)}$$

- Given matrix  $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ , and  $(i, j) \in [n]^2$ , the  $(i, j)$ -minor of  $M$ , denoted  $M^{(i,j)}$  is given by

Remove  $i^{th}$  row and  $j^{th}$  column of  $M$

- Determinant has a very special decomposition by minors: given any row  $i$ , we have

$$\det(M) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} M_{i,j} \cdot \det(M^{(i,j)})$$

known as *Laplace Expansion*

# Determinant of a Matrix

- Given matrix  $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ , the determinant is

$$\det(M) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n M_{i\sigma(i)}$$

- Given matrix  $M \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ , and  $(i, j) \in [n]^2$ , the  $(i, j)$ -minor of  $M$ , denoted  $M^{(i,j)}$  is given by

Remove  $i^{th}$  row and  $j^{th}$  column of  $M$

- Determinant has a very special decomposition by minors: given any row  $i$ , we have

$$\det(M) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} M_{i,j} \cdot \det(M^{(i,j)})$$

known as *Laplace Expansion*

- Determinants of minors are very much related to *derivatives* of the determinant of  $M$

$$\det(M^{(i,j)}) = (-1)^{i+j} \partial_{i,j} \det(M)$$

# Determinant and Inverse

- The determinant is intrinsically related to the inverse of a matrix.

# Determinant and Inverse

- The determinant is intrinsically related to the inverse of a matrix.
- In particular, let  $N \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  be the *adjugate matrix*

$$N_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(M^{(j,i)})$$



# Determinant and Inverse

- The determinant is intrinsically related to the inverse of a matrix.
- In particular, let  $N \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  be the *adjugate matrix*

$$N_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(M^{(j,i)})$$

- Note that

$$MN = \det(M) \cdot I$$

# Determinant and Inverse

- The determinant is intrinsically related to the inverse of a matrix.
- In particular, let  $N \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  be the *adjugate matrix*

$$N_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(M^{(j,i)})$$

- Note that

$$MN = \det(M) \cdot I$$

- Entries of the adjugate (determinants of minors) are very much related to *derivatives* of the determinant of  $M$

$$\det(M^{(i,j)}) = (-1)^{i+j} \partial_{i,j} \det(M)$$

# Determinant and Inverse

- The determinant is intrinsically related to the inverse of a matrix.
- In particular, let  $N \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  be the *adjugate matrix*

$$N_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(M^{(j,i)})$$

- Note that

$$MN = \det(M) \cdot I$$

- Entries of the adjugate (determinants of minors) are very much related to *derivatives* of the determinant of  $M$

$$\det(M^{(i,j)}) = (-1)^{i+j} \partial_{i,j} \det(M)$$

- So, if we knew how to compute the determinant AND ALL its partial derivatives, we could:
  - 1 Compute the adjugate
  - 2 Compute the inverse

# Computing the Determinant

- Suppose we have an algorithm which computes the determinant in  $O(n^\alpha)$  operations

# Computing the Determinant

- Suppose we have an algorithm which computes the determinant in  $O(n^\alpha)$  operations
- Can compute the determinant and all its partial derivatives in  $O(n^\alpha)$  operations!

# Computing the Determinant

- Suppose we have an algorithm which computes the determinant in  $O(n^\alpha)$  operations
- Can compute the determinant and all its partial derivatives in  $O(n^\alpha)$  operations!
- Compute the inverse by simply dividing  $\det(M^{(i,j)}) / \det(M)$

- Matrix Multiplication
- The Exponent of Linear Algebra
- Matrix Inversion
- Determinant and Matrix Inverse
- Computing Partial Derivatives
- Conclusion

# Algebraic Circuits - base ring $R$

- Models the *amount of operations* needed to compute polynomial



# Algebraic Circuits - base ring $R$

- Models the *amount of operations* needed to compute polynomial
- *Algebraic Circuit*: directed acyclic graph  $\Phi$  with
  - input gates labelled by variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  or elements of  $R$

# Algebraic Circuits - base ring $R$

- Models the *amount of operations* needed to compute polynomial
- *Algebraic Circuit*: directed acyclic graph  $\Phi$  with
  - input gates labelled by variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  or elements of  $R$
  - other gates labelled  $+$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\div$
  - $\div$  gate takes two inputs, which are labelled numerator/denominator

# Algebraic Circuits - base ring $R$

- Models the *amount of operations* needed to compute polynomial
- *Algebraic Circuit*: directed acyclic graph  $\Phi$  with
  - input gates labelled by variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  or elements of  $R$
  - other gates labelled  $+$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\div$
  - $\div$  gate takes two inputs, which are labelled numerator/denominator
  - gates compute polynomial (rational function) in natural way

# Algebraic Circuits - base ring $R$

- Models the *amount of operations* needed to compute polynomial
- *Algebraic Circuit*: directed acyclic graph  $\Phi$  with
  - input gates labelled by variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  or elements of  $R$
  - other gates labelled  $+$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\div$
  - $\div$  gate takes two inputs, which are labelled numerator/denominator
  - gates compute polynomial (rational function) in natural way
- *circuit size*: number of edges in the circuit, denoted by  $\mathcal{S}(\Phi)$

# Computing Partial Derivatives

## Theorem

*If  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  can be computed by an algebraic circuit of size  $\leq L$  then there is an algebraic circuit of size  $\leq 4L$  that computes **ALL** partial derivatives  $\partial_1 f, \dots, \partial_n f$  **simultaneously!***

# Computing Partial Derivatives

## Theorem

*If  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  can be computed by an algebraic circuit of size  $\leq L$  then there is an algebraic circuit of size  $\leq 4L$  that computes **ALL** partial derivatives  $\partial_1 f, \dots, \partial_n f$  **simultaneously!***

- This is very remarkable, since partial derivatives ubiquitous in computational tasks!
  - ① gradient descent methods
  - ② Newton iteration

# Computing Partial Derivatives

## Theorem

*If  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  can be computed by an algebraic circuit of size  $\leq L$  then there is an algebraic circuit of size  $\leq 4L$  that computes **ALL** partial derivatives  $\partial_1 f, \dots, \partial_n f$  **simultaneously!***

- This is very remarkable, since partial derivatives ubiquitous in computational tasks!
  - ① gradient descent methods
  - ② Newton iteration
- Algorithm we will see today discovered independently in Machine Learning - known as **backpropagation**

# Computing Partial Derivatives

- We are going to use the chain rule:

$$\partial_i f(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) = \sum_{j=1}^m (\partial_j f)(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \cdot \partial_i g_j$$



# Computing Partial Derivatives

- We are going to use the chain rule:

$$\partial_i f(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) = \sum_{j=1}^m (\partial_j f)(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \cdot \partial_i g_j$$

- But wait, doesn't the chain rule makes us compute  $2m$  partial derivatives?

# Computing Partial Derivatives

- We are going to use the chain rule:

$$\partial_i f(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) = \sum_{j=1}^m (\partial_j f)(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \cdot \partial_i g_j$$

- But wait, doesn't the chain rule makes us compute  $2m$  partial derivatives?
- Main intuitions:
  - ① if each function we have has  $m$  *being constant* (depend on *constant # of variables*), then chain rule is **cheap**!

# Computing Partial Derivatives

- We are going to use the chain rule:

$$\partial_i f(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) = \sum_{j=1}^m (\partial_j f)(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \cdot \partial_i g_j$$

- But wait, doesn't the chain rule makes us compute  $2m$  partial derivatives?
- Main intuitions:
  - 1 if each function we have has  $m$  *being constant* (depend on *constant # of variables*), then chain rule is **cheap**!
  - 2 many of the partial derivatives along the computation will either be *zero* or *have already been computed*!

# Computing Partial Derivatives

- We are going to use the chain rule:

$$\partial_i f(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) = \sum_{j=1}^m (\partial_j f)(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \cdot \partial_i g_j$$

- But wait, doesn't the chain rule makes us compute  $2m$  partial derivatives?
- Main intuitions:
  - 1 if each function we have has  $m$  *being constant* (depend on *constant # of variables*), then chain rule is **cheap**!
  - 2 many of the partial derivatives along the computation will either be *zero* or *have already been computed*!
  - 3 Have to compute partial derivatives “*in reverse*”

# Conclusion

- Today we learned how fundamental matrix multiplication is in symbolic computation and linear algebra
- Used fast computation of partial derivatives to compute the inverse from the determinant