

Background - uniform circuit families and parallel complexity.

Definition 1: a circuit family $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is said to be log-space uniform if there is a log-space transducer which on input 1^n outputs the description of C_n .

Definition 2: For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let NC^i denote the class of languages $A \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ that can be decided by a log-space uniform family $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of circuits with $SIZE(C_n) = O(n^c)$ and $DEPTH(C_n) = O(\log^i n)$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{N}$.

We denote $NC^i_{/poly}$ the class of languages decided by a non-uniform family satisfying the same size and depth constraints.

Finally :

$$NC := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} NC^i$$

$$NC_{/poly} := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} NC^i_{/poly}$$

Remark 1: we can define similar complexity classes for general functions $f: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^*$, which yields the classes FNC^i ($FNC^i_{/poly}$) and FNC ($FNC_{/poly}$).

Parallel & Space complexity of linear algebra

- Fundamental tasks of linear algebra:

- invert a matrix
- solve system of linear equations
- compute the determinant of a matrix
- compute the characteristic polynomial of a matrix

Csanky 1976

Let \mathbb{F} be a field with $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$.

Theorem: given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, can compute $\det(A)$, A^{-1} , $p_A(t)$ in $O(\log^2 n)$ parallel time with polynomially many processors. That is, there exist circuit families $\{C_{b,n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ where $b \in [3]$ s.t. $C_{1n}(A) = \det(A)$, $C_{2n}(A) = A^{-1}$, $C_{3n}(A, t) = p_A(t)$ where for each $b \in [3]$, $\{C_{b,n}\}_{n \geq 1} \in \text{FNC}^2$.

Proof: let $\lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A)$ be the eigenvalues of A (with multiplicities). Let $c_k := (-1)^{k-1} \cdot e_k(\lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A))$ and $s_k := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(A)^k = \text{tr}(A^k)$.

By the Girard-Newton formulae, we get

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & & & \\ s_1 & 2 & 0 & & & \\ s_2 & s_1 & 3 & 0 & & \\ s_3 & s_2 & s_1 & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ s_{n-1} & s_{n-2} & s_{n-3} & \dots & s_1 & n \end{pmatrix}}_S \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{pmatrix}$$

For $k \in [n]$, let $D^{(k)}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix given by $D_{ij}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 1/k & \text{if } i=j=k \\ 1 & \text{if } i=j \neq k \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$

and let

$$M^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{k-1} & & 0 \\ & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -u_k & I_{n-k} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } u_k = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$$

By induction, we see that

$$\prod_{j=k}^1 (M^{(j)} D^{(j)}) \cdot S = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & & 0 \\ & \begin{matrix} k+1 & & 0 \\ s_1 & k+2 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ s_{n-k-1} & & s_1 & n \end{matrix} & \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

↑ note the order of the indices! (it matters since matrices do not commute!)

$$\text{Thus } \prod_{j=n}^1 (M^{(j)} D^{(j)}) \cdot S = I \quad \therefore$$

$$S^{-1} = \prod_{j=n}^1 (M^{(j)} D^{(j)}) \Rightarrow \text{can compute } S^{-1} \text{ in } \text{NC}^2. \quad (\text{because we can compute } M^{(j)}, D^{(j)} \text{ in parallel})$$

Hence, can compute all s_k, c_k in FNC^2 .

In particular, we have computed $\det(A) = (-1)^{n-1} c_n$ and $p_A(t) = t^n - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i t^{n-i}$.

By Cayley-Hamilton, we have

$$p_A(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^n - c_1 A^{n-1} - c_2 A^{n-2} - \dots - c_n I = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow A \cdot (A^{n-1} - c_1 A^{n-2} - \dots - c_{n-1} I) = c_n I$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{-1} = \frac{1}{c_n} (A^{n-1} - c_1 A^{n-2} - \dots - c_{n-1} I)$$

Since we can compute all powers A^k in FNC^2 (simultaneously) and we have computed the c_k in NC^2 , we can compute A^{-1} in FNC^2 .

Note that the above circuit can be computed

