Lecture 19: Streaming

Rafael Oliveira

University of Waterloo Cheriton School of Computer Science rafael.oliveira.teaching@gmail.com

July 13, 2023

Overview

- Introduction
 - Data Streaming
 - Basic Examples
- Main Examples
 - Heavy hitters
 - Distinct Elements
 - Weighted Heavy Hitters
- Acknowledgements

In today's world we have to deal with *big data*. But not all big data are created equal. Today we will study one way in which massive data can appear in our lives: *streaming*.

• Data stream: massive sequence of data, too large to store in memory.

- Data stream: *massive* sequence of data, too large to store in memory.
 - Network traffic (source/destination)
 - Internet search logs
 - Oatabase transactions
 - sensor networks
 - satellite data feeds

- Data stream: *massive* sequence of data, too large to store in memory.
 - Network traffic (source/destination)
 - Internet search logs
 - Oatabase transactions
 - sensor networks
 - satellite data feeds
- Does not come to us at once.

- Data stream: *massive* sequence of data, too large to store in memory.
 - Network traffic (source/destination)
 - Internet search logs
 - Oatabase transactions
 - sensor networks
 - satellite data feeds
- 2 Does not come to us at once.
- Essentially can only look at each piece of data once (or constantly many times)

In today's world we have to deal with *big data*. But not all big data are created equal. Today we will study one way in which massive data can appear in our lives: *streaming*.

- Data stream: *massive* sequence of data, too large to store in memory.
 - Network traffic (source/destination)
 - Internet search logs
 - Oatabase transactions
 - sensor networks
 - satellite data feeds
- Does not come to us at once.
- Essentially can only look at each piece of data once (or constantly many times)

How can we deal with it/model it? What can we do if we cannot even see the whole input?

Definition (Basic Data Stream model)

Definition (Basic Data Stream model)

- receive a stream of elements $a_1, a_2, \dots a_N$ each from a known alphabet Σ . Each element of Σ takes b bits to represent.
 - ullet usually assume that N is known

Definition (Basic Data Stream model)

- receive a stream of elements $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_N$ each from a known alphabet Σ . Each element of Σ takes b bits to represent.
 - ullet usually assume that N is known
- ullet Basic operations (comparison, arithmetic, bitwise) take $\Theta(1)$ time

Definition (Basic Data Stream model)

- receive a stream of elements $a_1, a_2, \dots a_N$ each from a known alphabet Σ . Each element of Σ takes b bits to represent.
 - ullet usually assume that N is known
- ullet Basic operations (comparison, arithmetic, bitwise) take $\Theta(1)$ time
- Single or small number of passes over data

Definition (Basic Data Stream model)

- receive a stream of elements $a_1, a_2, \dots a_N$ each from a known alphabet Σ . Each element of Σ takes b bits to represent.
 - ullet usually assume that N is known
- ullet Basic operations (comparison, arithmetic, bitwise) take $\Theta(1)$ time
- Single or small number of passes over data
- Bounded storage
 - Typically $\log^c(N)$ for $c=\mathit{O}(1)$ or N^α for some $0<\alpha<1$

Definition (Basic Data Stream model)

- receive a stream of elements $a_1, a_2, \dots a_N$ each from a known alphabet Σ . Each element of Σ takes b bits to represent.
 - ullet usually assume that N is known
- ullet Basic operations (comparison, arithmetic, bitwise) take $\Theta(1)$ time
- Single or small number of passes over data
- Bounded storage
 - Typically $\log^c(N)$ for c = O(1) or N^{α} for some $0 < \alpha < 1$
- We are allowed to use randomness (almost always necessary)
 - Probabilistic model: our algorithm must succeed most of the time

Definition (Basic Data Stream model)

- receive a stream of elements $a_1, a_2, \dots a_N$ each from a known alphabet Σ . Each element of Σ takes b bits to represent.
 - usually assume that N is known
- ullet Basic operations (comparison, arithmetic, bitwise) take $\Theta(1)$ time
- Single or small number of passes over data
- Bounded storage
 - Typically $\log^c(N)$ for c = O(1) or N^{α} for some $0 < \alpha < 1$
- We are allowed to use randomness (almost always necessary)
 - Probabilistic model: our algorithm must succeed most of the time
- (usually) want approximate answers to the true answer

Definition (Basic Data Stream model)

In the data stream model:

- receive a stream of elements $a_1, a_2, \dots a_N$ each from a known alphabet Σ . Each element of Σ takes b bits to represent.
 - ullet usually assume that N is known
- ullet Basic operations (comparison, arithmetic, bitwise) take $\Theta(1)$ time
- Single or small number of passes over data
- Bounded storage
 - Typically $\log^c(N)$ for c = O(1) or N^{α} for some $0 < \alpha < 1$
- We are allowed to use randomness (almost always necessary)
 - Probabilistic model: our algorithm must succeed most of the time
- (usually) want approximate answers to the true answer

Goal: minimize space complexity (in bits) and the processing time.

Example (Sum of elements)

- Input stream: a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from the set $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$
- Task: maintain the current sum of the elements we have seen so far

Example (Sum of elements)

- Input stream: a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from the set $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$
- Task: maintain the current sum of the elements we have seen so far

Example (Median)

- **Input stream:** a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from the set $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$
- Task: maintain the current median of elements we have seen so far

Example (Distinct elements)

- **Input stream:** a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from the set $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$
- Task: maintain current # of distinct elements we have seen so far

Example (Distinct elements)

- **Input stream:** a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from the set $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$
- Task: maintain current # of distinct elements we have seen so far

Example (Heavy hitters)

- Input stream: a_1, \ldots, a_N integers from $[-2^b+1, 2^b-1]$, $\epsilon>0$
- Task: maintain set of elements that contains elements that have appeared at least ϵ -fraction of the time (a.k.a. heavy hitters)
- Constraint: allowed to also output false positives (low hitters), but not allowed to miss any heavy hitter!

Setup: heavy hitters with $\epsilon = 1/2$.

• At time t, we will maintain set S_t which contains the element that has appeared at least N/2 times, if any.

- At time t, we will maintain set S_t which contains the element that has appeared at least N/2 times, if any.
- $S_0 = \emptyset$, $c \leftarrow 0$ (c is a counter)

- At time t, we will maintain set S_t which contains the element that has appeared at least N/2 times, if any.
- $S_0 = \emptyset$, $c \leftarrow 0$ (c is a counter)
- when element *a_t* arrives:

- At time t, we will maintain set S_t which contains the element that has appeared at least N/2 times, if any.
- $S_0 = \emptyset$, $c \leftarrow 0$ (c is a counter)
- when element at arrives:
 - If c == 0
 - $\bullet \ \ \mathcal{S}_t = \{a_t\} \ \text{and} \ c \leftarrow 1$

- At time t, we will maintain set S_t which contains the element that has appeared at least N/2 times, if any.
- $S_0 = \emptyset$, $c \leftarrow 0$ (c is a counter)
- when element at arrives:
 - If c == 0
 - $S_t = \{a_t\}$ and $c \leftarrow 1$
 - Else
 - if $a_t \in S_{t-1}$, set $c \leftarrow c+1$
 - ullet else $c \leftarrow c-1$ and discard a_t

- At time t, we will maintain set S_t which contains the element that has appeared at least N/2 times, if any.
- $S_0 = \emptyset$, $c \leftarrow 0$ (c is a counter)
- when element at arrives:
 - If c == 0

•
$$S_t = \{a_t\}$$
 and $c \leftarrow 1$

- Else
 - if $a_t \in S_{t-1}$, set $c \leftarrow c+1$
 - ullet else $c \leftarrow c-1$ and discard a_t
- ullet At end of stream, return element in S_N

• If there is no majority element, we could still output a false positive (low hitter), which is fine.

- If there is no majority element, we could still output a false positive (low hitter), which is fine.
- What happens when there is a majority element?

- If there is no majority element, we could still output a false positive (low hitter), which is fine.
- What happens when there is a majority element?
 - Every time that we discard a copy of the majority element, we throw away a different element.
 - \bullet Example: stream 3, 1, 2, 1, 1

- If there is no majority element, we could still output a false positive (low hitter), which is fine.
- What happens when there is a majority element?
 - Every time that we discard a copy of the majority element, we throw away a different element.
 - Example: stream 3, 1, 2, 1, 1
 - Majority element appears more than half the time, so we cannot throw away all the majority elements

- If there is no majority element, we could still output a false positive (low hitter), which is fine.
- What happens when there is a majority element?
 - Every time that we discard a copy of the majority element, we throw away a different element.
 - Example: stream 3, 1, 2, 1, 1
 - Majority element appears more than half the time, so we cannot throw away all the majority elements
- Space used: O(b) (stored set S_t which has at most one element and counter)

- Introduction
 - Data Streaming
 - Basic Examples
- Main Examples
 - Heavy hitters
 - Distinct Elements
 - Weighted Heavy Hitters
- Acknowledgements

Heavy hitters Problem

Example (Heavy hitters)

- Input stream: a_1, \ldots, a_N integers from $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$, $\epsilon > 0$
- **Task:** maintain set of elements that contains elements that have appeared at least ϵ -fraction of the time (a.k.a. *heavy hitters*)
- Constraint: allowed to also output false positives (low hitters), but not allowed to miss any heavy hitter!

Heavy Hitters Algorithm

Heavy Hitters Algorithm

- **1** Set $k = \lceil 1/\epsilon \rceil 1$
- **②** Set array T of length k where each entry T[i] can hold an element of Σ (= $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$).

Heavy Hitters Algorithm

- **1** Set $k = \lceil 1/\epsilon \rceil 1$
- ② Set array T of length k where each entry T[i] can hold an element of Σ (= $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$).
- ullet Set array C of length k where each entry can hold non-negative integer

- ② Set array T of length k where each entry T[i] can hold an element of Σ (= $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$).
- ullet Set array C of length k where each entry can hold non-negative integer
- **③** Initialize T[i] ← NaN and C[i] ← 0 for $i \in [k]$.

- ② Set array T of length k where each entry T[i] can hold an element of Σ (= $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$).
- Set array C of length k where each entry can hold non-negative integer
- **●** Initialize $T[i] \leftarrow NaN$ and $C[i] \leftarrow 0$ for $i \in [k]$.
- When receive element a_t:

- ② Set array T of length k where each entry T[i] can hold an element of Σ (= $[-2^b+1, 2^b-1]$).
- ullet Set array C of length k where each entry can hold non-negative integer
- **③** Initialize T[i] ← NaN and C[i] ← 0 for $i \in [k]$.
- When receive element a_t:
 - **1** If there is $j \in [k]$ such that $a_t = T[j]$, then $C[j] \leftarrow C[j] + 1$

- ② Set array T of length k where each entry T[i] can hold an element of Σ (= $[-2^b+1, 2^b-1]$).
- ullet Set array C of length k where each entry can hold non-negative integer
- **③** Initialize T[i] ← NaN and C[i] ← 0 for $i \in [k]$.
- When receive element a_t:
 - If there is $j \in [k]$ such that $a_t = T[j]$, then $C[j] \leftarrow C[j] + 1$
 - ② Else, if there is $j \in [k]$ such that C[j] = 0, then $T[j] \leftarrow a_t$ and $C[j] \leftarrow 1$

- ② Set array T of length k where each entry T[i] can hold an element of Σ (= $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$).
- ullet Set array C of length k where each entry can hold non-negative integer
- **③** Initialize T[i] ← NaN and C[i] ← 0 for $i \in [k]$.
- **5** When receive element a_t :
 - If there is $j \in [k]$ such that $a_t = T[j]$, then $C[j] \leftarrow C[j] + 1$
 - **2** Else, if there is $j \in [k]$ such that C[j] = 0, then $T[j] \leftarrow a_t$ and $C[j] \leftarrow 1$
 - **③** Else make all C[j] ← C[j] 1 and discard a_t

- ② Set array T of length k where each entry T[i] can hold an element of Σ (= $[-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$).
- ullet Set array C of length k where each entry can hold non-negative integer
- **●** Initialize $T[i] \leftarrow NaN$ and $C[i] \leftarrow 0$ for $i \in [k]$.
- When receive element a_t:
 - If there is $j \in [k]$ such that $a_t = T[j]$, then $C[j] \leftarrow C[j] + 1$
 - **②** Else, if there is $j \in [k]$ such that C[j] = 0, then $T[j] \leftarrow a_t$ and $C[j] \leftarrow 1$
 - **③** Else make all C[j] ← C[j] − 1 and discard a_t
- **o** Return the array T with the counter array C

• For element $e \in \Sigma$, let $est(e) = \begin{cases} C[j], & \text{if } e = T[j] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

• For element $e \in \Sigma$, let $est(e) = \begin{cases} C[j], & \text{if } e = T[j] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Lemma

Let count(e) be the number of occurrences of e in stream up to time N.

$$0 \le count(e) - est(e) \le \frac{N}{k+1} \le \epsilon N$$

• For element $e \in \Sigma$, let $est(e) = \begin{cases} C[j], & \text{if } e = T[j] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Lemma

Let count(e) be the number of occurrences of e in stream up to time N.

$$0 \le count(e) - est(e) \le \frac{N}{k+1} \le \epsilon N$$

• $count(e) \ge est(e)$ because never increase C[j] for e unless we see e

• For element $e \in \Sigma$, let $est(e) = \begin{cases} C[j], & \text{if } e = T[j] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Lemma

Let count(e) be the number of occurrences of e in stream up to time N.

$$0 \le count(e) - est(e) \le \frac{N}{k+1} \le \epsilon N$$

- $count(e) \ge est(e)$ because never increase C[j] for e unless we see e
- If we don't increase est(e) by 1 when we see an update to e then we decrement k counters and discard current update to e

• For element $e \in \Sigma$, let $est(e) = \begin{cases} C[j], & \text{if } e = T[j] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Lemma

Let count(e) be the number of occurrences of e in stream up to time N.

$$0 \le count(e) - est(e) \le \frac{N}{k+1} \le \epsilon N$$

- $count(e) \ge est(e)$ because never increase C[j] for e unless we see e
- If we don't increase est(e) by 1 when we see an update to e then we decrement k counters and discard current update to e
- So we drop k+1 distinct stream updates, but there are N updates, so we won't increase est(e) by 1 (when we should) at most $\frac{N}{\nu \perp 1} \leq \epsilon N$ times.

• At any time N, all heavy hitters e are in T

- ullet At any time N, all heavy hitters e are in T
 - For an ϵ -heavy hitter e, we have $count(e) > \epsilon \cdot N$

- At any time N, all heavy hitters e are in T
 - For an ϵ -heavy hitter e, we have $count(e) > \epsilon \cdot N$
 - $est(e) \ge count(e) \epsilon \cdot N > 0$

- At any time N, all heavy hitters e are in T
 - For an ϵ -heavy hitter e, we have $count(e) > \epsilon \cdot N$
 - $est(e) \ge count(e) \epsilon \cdot N > 0$
 - $est(e) > 0 \Rightarrow e$ is in T

- At any time N, all heavy hitters e are in T
 - For an ϵ -heavy hitter e, we have $count(e) > \epsilon \cdot N$
 - $est(e) \ge count(e) \epsilon \cdot N > 0$
 - $est(e) > 0 \Rightarrow e$ is in T
 - Space used is $O(k \cdot (\log(\Sigma) + \log N)) = O((1/\epsilon) \cdot (b + \log N))$ bits

- Introduction
 - Data Streaming
 - Basic Examples
- Main Examples
 - Heavy hitters
 - Distinct Elements
 - Weighted Heavy Hitters
- Acknowledgements

Example (Distinct elements)

- Input stream: a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from $[0, 2^b 1]$. $m := 2^b$
- Task: maintain current # of distinct elements D we have seen so far

Example (Distinct elements)

- Input stream: a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from $[0, 2^b 1]$. $m := 2^b$
- Task: maintain current # of distinct elements D we have seen so far

Example (Distinct elements)

- Input stream: a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from $[0, 2^b 1]$. $m := 2^b$
- Task: maintain current # of distinct elements D we have seen so far

Use strongly 2-universal hash function!

• Take strongly 2-universal hash function $h:[0,m-1] \to [0,m^3]$.

Example (Distinct elements)

- Input stream: a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from $[0, 2^b 1]$. $m := 2^b$
- Task: maintain current # of distinct elements D we have seen so far

- Take strongly 2-universal hash function $h: [0, m-1] \rightarrow [0, m^3]$.
- From hashing lecture, w.h.p. no collisions!

Example (Distinct elements)

- Input stream: a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from $[0, 2^b 1]$. $m := 2^b$
- Task: maintain current # of distinct elements D we have seen so far

- Take strongly 2-universal hash function $h:[0,m-1] \rightarrow [0,m^3]$.
- From hashing lecture, w.h.p. no collisions!
- Suppose there are D distinct elements b_1, \ldots, b_D

Example (Distinct elements)

- Input stream: a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from $[0, 2^b 1]$. $m := 2^b$
- Task: maintain current # of distinct elements D we have seen so far

- Take strongly 2-universal hash function $h:[0,m-1] \rightarrow [0,m^3]$.
- From hashing lecture, w.h.p. no collisions!
- Suppose there are D distinct elements b_1, \ldots, b_D
 - If the D hash values $h(b_1), \ldots, h(b_D)$ are evenly distributed in $[0, m^3]$, then t^{th} smallest hash value should be close to $\frac{tm^3}{D}$.

Example (Distinct elements)

- Input stream: a_1, \ldots, a_N be integers from $[0, 2^b 1]$. $m := 2^b$
- Task: maintain current # of distinct elements D we have seen so far

- Take strongly 2-universal hash function $h:[0,m-1] \rightarrow [0,m^3]$.
- From hashing lecture, w.h.p. no collisions!
- Suppose there are D distinct elements b_1, \ldots, b_D
 - If the D hash values $h(b_1), \ldots, h(b_D)$ are evenly distributed in $[0, m^3]$, then t^{th} smallest hash value should be close to $\frac{tm^3}{D}$.
 - If we know that t^{th} smallest value is T, then $T \approx \frac{tm^3}{D} \Rightarrow D \approx \frac{tm^3}{T}$



Distinct Elements - algorithm

- Choose a random hash function h from strongly 2-universal hash family
- For each item a_i in the stream:
 - Compute $h(a_i)$
 - update list that stores the *t* smallest hash values
 - ullet After all data has read, let T be t^{th} smallest hash value in data stream.

Return
$$Y = \frac{tm^3}{T}$$

• What are our space requirements?

- What are our space requirements?
 - Not going to store the whole hash table, only store hash function h and t numbers (the t smallest values we have seen)

- What are our space requirements?
 - Not going to store the whole hash table, only store hash function h and t numbers (the t smallest values we have seen)
 - Need to find good value of t for high probability of success

- What are our space requirements?
 - Not going to store the whole hash table, only store hash function h and t numbers (the t smallest values we have seen)
 - Need to find good value of t for high probability of success

Theorem

Setting $t = O(1/\epsilon^2)$ we have that

$$(1 - \epsilon) \cdot D \le Y \le (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D$$

with constant probability.

Theorem

Setting $t = O(1/\epsilon^2)$ we have that $Y = \frac{tm^3}{T}$ satisfies:

$$(1 - \epsilon) \cdot D \le Y \le (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D$$

with constant probability.

Theorem

Setting $t = O(1/\epsilon^2)$ we have that $Y = \frac{tm^3}{T}$ satisfies:

$$(1 - \epsilon) \cdot D \le Y \le (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D$$

with constant probability.

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

Theorem

Setting $t = O(1/\epsilon^2)$ we have that $Y = \frac{tm^3}{T}$ satisfies:

$$(1 - \epsilon) \cdot D \le Y \le (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D$$

with constant probability.

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

•
$$Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D \Rightarrow T < \frac{tm^3}{(1 + \epsilon) \cdot D} \le \frac{(1 - \epsilon/2) \cdot tm^3}{D}$$

Theorem

Setting
$$t = O(1/\epsilon^2)$$
 we have that $Y = \frac{tm^3}{T}$ satisfies:

$$(1 - \epsilon) \cdot D \le Y \le (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D$$

with constant probability.

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

•
$$Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D \Rightarrow T < \frac{tm^3}{(1 + \epsilon) \cdot D} \le \frac{(1 - \epsilon/2) \cdot tm^3}{D}$$

• At least t hash values smaller than $\frac{(1-\epsilon/2)\cdot tm^3}{D}$

Theorem

Setting
$$t = O(1/\epsilon^2)$$
 we have that $Y = \frac{tm^3}{T}$ satisfies:

$$(1 - \epsilon) \cdot D \le Y \le (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D$$

with constant probability.

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

•
$$Y > (1+\epsilon) \cdot D \Rightarrow T < \frac{tm^3}{(1+\epsilon) \cdot D} \le \frac{(1-\epsilon/2) \cdot tm^3}{D}$$

- At least t hash values smaller than $\frac{(1-\epsilon/2)\cdot tm^3}{D}$
- Random variable $X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } h(a_i) \leq \frac{(1 \epsilon/2) \cdot tm^3}{D} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

• Random variable $X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } h(a_i) \leq \frac{\left(1 - \epsilon/2\right) \cdot tm^3}{D} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

- Random variable $X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } h(a_i) \leq \frac{(1 \epsilon/2) \cdot tm^3}{D} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \Pr\left[h(a_i) \le \frac{(1 \epsilon/2) \cdot tm^3}{D}\right] = \frac{(1 \epsilon/2) \cdot t}{D}$ Each $h(a_i)$ uniformly random in $[0, m^3]$.

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

- Random variable $X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } h(a_i) \leq \frac{(1 \epsilon/2) \cdot tm^3}{D} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \Pr\left[h(a_i) \le \frac{(1 \epsilon/2) \cdot tm^3}{D}\right] = \frac{(1 \epsilon/2) \cdot t}{D}$ Each $h(a_i)$ uniformly random in $[0, m^3]$.
- If there are D distinct elements,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[ext{ \# elements with hash value } \leq rac{(1-\epsilon/2)\cdot tm^3}{D}
ight] \leq t(1-\epsilon/2)$$

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

- Random variable $X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } h(a_i) \leq \frac{(1 \epsilon/2) \cdot tm^3}{D} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \Pr\left[h(a_i) \le \frac{(1 \epsilon/2) \cdot tm^3}{D}\right] = \frac{(1 \epsilon/2) \cdot t}{D}$ Each $h(a_i)$ uniformly random in $[0, m^3]$.
- If there are D distinct elements,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[ext{ \# elements with hash value } \leq rac{(1-\epsilon/2)\cdot tm^3}{D}
ight] \leq t(1-\epsilon/2)$$

• but we assumed we have at least *t* such elements! Now need to show that this cannot happen with high probability



Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

• If there are D distinct elements, let $X = \sum_{i=1}^{D} X_i$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] \leq t(1-\epsilon/2)$$

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

• If there are D distinct elements, let $X = \sum_{i=1}^{D} X_i$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] \leq t(1-\epsilon/2)$$

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

• If there are D distinct elements, let $X = \sum_{i=1}^{D} X_i$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] \leq t(1 - \epsilon/2)$$

• Probability we will see $\geq t$ elements smaller than $\frac{(1-\epsilon/2)\cdot tm^3}{D}$

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

• If there are D distinct elements, let $X = \sum_{i=1}^{D} X_i$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] \leq t(1-\epsilon/2)$$

- Probability we will see $\geq t$ elements smaller than $\frac{(1-\epsilon/2)\cdot tm^3}{D}$
 - $Var[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{D} Var[X_i]$

(pairwise independence)

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

• If there are D distinct elements, let $X = \sum_{i=1}^{D} X_i$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] \leq t(1-\epsilon/2)$$

- Probability we will see $\geq t$ elements smaller than $\frac{(1-\epsilon/2)\cdot tm^3}{D}$
 - $Var[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{D} Var[X_i]$

(pairwise independence)

• $Var[X_i] = \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])^2] = \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_i]^2 \le \mathbb{E}[X_i]$ (indicator variable)

Upper Bound: $Pr[Y > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot D]$

• If there are D distinct elements, let $X = \sum_{i=1}^{D} X_i$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] \leq t(1-\epsilon/2)$$

- Probability we will see $\geq t$ elements smaller than $\frac{(1-\epsilon/2)\cdot tm^3}{D}$
 - $Var[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{D} Var[X_i]$

(pairwise independence)

- $Var[X_i] = \mathbb{E}[(X_i \mathbb{E}[X_i])^2] = \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] \mathbb{E}[X_i]^2 \le \mathbb{E}[X_i]$ (indicator variable)
- Chebyshev's inequality:

$$\begin{split} \Pr\left[X > t\right] &= \Pr\left[X > t \cdot (1 - \epsilon/2) + \epsilon \cdot t/2\right] \\ &\leq \Pr\left[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| > \epsilon \cdot t/2\right] \leq \frac{4 \cdot \mathsf{Var}[X]}{\epsilon^2 t^2} \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 t} \end{split}$$

Lower Bound: $Pr[Y < (1 - \epsilon) \cdot D]$.

Similar calculation as previous slide.¹ Practice problem: do this part of the proof.



¹replacing $1 - \epsilon$ by $1 + \epsilon$ and using Chebyshev

Lower Bound: $Pr[Y < (1 - \epsilon) \cdot D]$.

Similar calculation as previous slide.¹ Practice problem: do this part of the proof.

- $\Pr[Y > (1+\epsilon) \cdot D] \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 t}$
- $\Pr[Y < (1 \epsilon) \cdot D] \le \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 t}$



 $^{^{1}}$ replacing $1-\epsilon$ by $1+\epsilon$ and using Chebyshev

Lower Bound: $Pr[Y < (1 - \epsilon) \cdot D]$.

Similar calculation as previous slide.¹ Practice problem: do this part of the proof.

- $\Pr[Y > (1+\epsilon) \cdot D] \le \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 t}$
- $\Pr[Y < (1 \epsilon) \cdot D] \le \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 t}$
- Setting $t = 24/\epsilon^2$ gives us

$$\Pr[(1-\epsilon) \cdot D \le Y \le (1+\epsilon) \cdot D] \ge 1 - \frac{8}{\epsilon^2 t} = 2/3$$



 $^{^{1}}$ replacing $1-\epsilon$ by $1+\epsilon$ and using Chebyshev

• Total space used: $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\log m\right)$ bits

- Total space used: $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\log m\right)$ bits
 - we stored $O(1/\epsilon^2)$ hash values each of $\log(m)$ bits
 - hash function only requires $O(\log m)$ bits to store.

- Total space used: $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\log m\right)$ bits
 - ullet we stored $O(1/\epsilon^2)$ hash values each of $\log(m)$ bits
 - hash function only requires $O(\log m)$ bits to store.
- Running time per operation: $O(\log(m) + 1/\epsilon^2)$ steps

- Total space used: $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\log m\right)$ bits
 - we stored $O(1/\epsilon^2)$ hash values each of $\log(m)$ bits
 - hash function only requires $O(\log m)$ bits to store.
- Running time per operation: $O(\log(m) + 1/\epsilon^2)$ steps
 - compute hash in $O(\log m)$ time
 - Since we keep track of $O(1/\epsilon^2)$ elements, and need to update the list, this takes $O(1/\epsilon^2)$ time (though there are smarter ways)

- Introduction
 - Data Streaming
 - Basic Examples
- Main Examples
 - Heavy hitters
 - Distinct Elements
 - Weighted Heavy Hitters
- Acknowledgements

Example (Weighted heavy hitters)

• Input stream: $(a_1, w_1), \ldots, (a_N, w_N)$ tuples of integers from $\Sigma = [-2^b + 1, 2^b - 1]$, parameter $q \in \mathbb{N}$

Example (Weighted heavy hitters)

• Input stream: $(a_1, w_1), \ldots, (a_N, w_N)$ tuples of integers from

$$\Sigma = [-2^b + 1, 2^b - 1]$$
, parameter $q \in \mathbb{N}$

Total weight

$$Q = \sum_{t=1}^{N} w_t$$

Example (Weighted heavy hitters)

• Input stream: $(a_1, w_1), \ldots, (a_N, w_N)$ tuples of integers from

$$\Sigma = [-2^b + 1, 2^b - 1]$$
, parameter $q \in \mathbb{N}$

Total weight

$$Q = \sum_{t=1}^{N} w_t$$

• Total weight of $e \in \Sigma$:

$$Q(e) = \sum_{t: a_t = e} w_t$$

Example (Weighted heavy hitters)

• Input stream: $(a_1, w_1), \dots, (a_N, w_N)$ tuples of integers from

$$\Sigma = [-2^b + 1, 2^b - 1]$$
, parameter $q \in \mathbb{N}$

Total weight

$$Q = \sum_{t=1}^{N} w_t$$

• Total weight of $e \in \Sigma$:

$$Q(e) = \sum_{t: a_t = e} w_t$$

• Task: find all elements e such that $Q(e) \ge q$

Example (Weighted heavy hitters)

- Input stream: $(a_1, w_1), \ldots, (a_N, w_N)$ tuples of integers from $\Sigma = [-2^b + 1, 2^b 1]$, parameter $a \in \mathbb{N}$
 - Total weight

$$Q = \sum_{t=1}^{N} w_t$$

• Total weight of $e \in \Sigma$:

$$Q(e) = \sum_{t: a_t = e} w_t$$

- **Task:** find all elements e such that $Q(e) \geq q$
- Constraint: allowed to also output false positives (low hitters), but not allowed to miss any heavy hitter!

We will see an algorithm that gives us the following guarantees:

We will see an algorithm that gives us the following guarantees:

All heavy hitters are reported

We will see an algorithm that gives us the following guarantees:

- All heavy hitters are reported
- ② if $Q(e) \leq q \epsilon \cdot Q$, then e is reported with probability at most δ
 - That is, have low probability of reporting a really low hitter

We will see an algorithm that gives us the following guarantees:

- All heavy hitters are reported
- ② if $Q(e) \leq q \epsilon \cdot Q$, then e is reported with probability at most δ
 - That is, have low probability of reporting a really low hitter

Use 2-universal hash functions!

We will see an algorithm that gives us the following guarantees:

- 1 All heavy hitters are reported
- ② if $Q(e) \leq q \epsilon \cdot Q$, then e is reported with probability at most δ
 - That is, have low probability of reporting a really low hitter

Use 2-universal hash functions!

• k, ℓ are parameters to be chosen later

We will see an algorithm that gives us the following guarantees:

- 1 All heavy hitters are reported
- ② if $Q(e) \leq q \epsilon \cdot Q$, then e is reported with probability at most δ
 - That is, have low probability of reporting a really low hitter

Use 2-universal hash functions!

- k, ℓ are parameters to be chosen later
- Pick k hash functions h_1, \ldots, h_k where $h_i : \Sigma \to [0, \ell 1]$

We will see an algorithm that gives us the following guarantees:

- 1 All heavy hitters are reported
- ② if $Q(e) \leq q \epsilon \cdot Q$, then e is reported with probability at most δ
 - That is, have low probability of reporting a really low hitter

Use 2-universal hash functions!

- k, ℓ are parameters to be chosen later
- Pick k hash functions h_1, \ldots, h_k where $h_i : \Sigma \to [0, \ell 1]$
- Let's maintain $k \cdot \ell$ counters $C_{i,j}$, where each $C_{i,j}$ adds the weight of items that are mapped to j^{th} entry by the i^{th} hash function. Start with $C_{i,j} = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le k$ and $1 \le j \le \ell$.

- Given (a_t, w_t) , for each $1 \le i \le k$ set $C_{i,h_i(a_t)} \leftarrow C_{i,h_i(a_t)} + w_t$.
- At the end,² report all elements *e* with

$$\min_{1 \le i \le k} C_{i,h_i(e)} \ge q$$

Data structure as a table:

²In this version need to do second pass over data. But this can be fixed. Practice problem: fix this so that we can report on the fly.

• Heavy hitter always reported, as all their counters are large

- Heavy hitter always reported, as all their counters are large
- Need to show now that if e is not a heavy hitter, with high probability we will have one counter $C_{i,h_i(e)} < q$.

- Heavy hitter always reported, as all their counters are large
- Need to show now that if e is not a heavy hitter, with high probability we will have one counter $C_{i,h_i(e)} < q$.
- If $Q(e) \le q \epsilon \cdot Q$, what is prob. e will be reported as heavy hitter?

- Heavy hitter always reported, as all their counters are large
- Need to show now that if e is not a heavy hitter, with high probability we will have one counter $C_{i,h_i(e)} < q$.
- If $Q(e) \leq q \epsilon \cdot Q$, what is prob. e will be reported as heavy hitter?
 - Look at counter $C_{i,h_i(e)}$. Since e is reported, must have $C_{i,h_i(e)} \geq q$

- Heavy hitter always reported, as all their counters are large
- Need to show now that if e is not a heavy hitter, with high probability we will have one counter $C_{i,h:(e)} < q$.
- If $Q(e) \le q \epsilon \cdot Q$, what is prob. e will be reported as heavy hitter?
 - ullet Look at counter $C_{i,h_i(e)}$. Since e is reported, must have $C_{i,h_i(e)} \geq q$
 - Contribution from e is $Q(e) \le q \epsilon \cdot Q$. So other elements that map to $h_i(e)$ must have contributed $\ge \epsilon \cdot Q$.

- Heavy hitter always reported, as all their counters are large
- Need to show now that if e is not a heavy hitter, with high probability we will have one counter $C_{i,h:(e)} < q$.
- If $Q(e) \le q \epsilon \cdot Q$, what is prob. e will be reported as heavy hitter?
 - Look at counter $C_{i,h_i(e)}$. Since e is reported, must have $C_{i,h_i(e)} \geq q$
 - Contribution from e is $Q(e) \le q \epsilon \cdot Q$. So other elements that map to $h_i(e)$ must have contributed $\ge \epsilon \cdot Q$.
 - Let Z_i be the value of $C_{i,h_i(e)}$ that was added by other elements

- Heavy hitter always reported, as all their counters are large
- Need to show now that if e is not a heavy hitter, with high probability we will have one counter $C_{i,h_i(e)} < q$.
- If $Q(e) \leq q \epsilon \cdot Q$, what is prob. e will be reported as heavy hitter?
 - Look at counter $C_{i,h_i(e)}$. Since e is reported, must have $C_{i,h_i(e)} \geq q$
 - Contribution from e is $Q(e) \le q \epsilon \cdot Q$. So other elements that map to $h_i(e)$ must have contributed $\ge \epsilon \cdot Q$.
 - Let Z_i be the value of $C_{i,h_i(e)}$ that was added by other elements
 - h_i chosen from 2-universal hash family then probability that another element f is mapped to $h_i(e)$ is $\leq 1/\ell$.

- Heavy hitter always reported, as all their counters are large
- Need to show now that if e is not a heavy hitter, with high probability we will have one counter $C_{i,h_i(e)} < q$.
- If $Q(e) \leq q \epsilon \cdot Q$, what is prob. e will be reported as heavy hitter?
 - Look at counter $C_{i,h_i(e)}$. Since e is reported, must have $C_{i,h_i(e)} \geq q$
 - Contribution from e is $Q(e) \le q \epsilon \cdot Q$. So other elements that map to $h_i(e)$ must have contributed $\ge \epsilon \cdot Q$.
 - Let Z_i be the value of $C_{i,h_i(e)}$ that was added by other elements
 - h_i chosen from 2-universal hash family then probability that another element f is mapped to $h_i(e)$ is $\leq 1/\ell$.
 - Thus $\mathbb{E}[Z_i] \leq Q/\ell$. By Markov:

$$\Pr[Z_i \ge \epsilon \cdot Q] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z]}{\epsilon \cdot Q} \le \frac{1}{\epsilon \ell}$$

- Heavy hitter always reported, as all their counters are large
- Need to show now that if e is not a heavy hitter, with high probability we will have one counter $C_{i,h:(e)} < q$.
- If $Q(e) \le q \epsilon \cdot Q$, what is prob. e will be reported as heavy hitter?
 - Look at counter $C_{i,h_i(e)}$. Since e is reported, must have $C_{i,h_i(e)} \geq q$
 - Contribution from e is $Q(e) \le q \epsilon \cdot Q$. So other elements that map to $h_i(e)$ must have contributed $\ge \epsilon \cdot Q$.
 - Let Z_i be the value of $C_{i,h_i(e)}$ that was added by other elements
 - h_i chosen from 2-universal hash family then probability that another element f is mapped to $h_i(e)$ is $\leq 1/\ell$.
 - Thus $\mathbb{E}[Z_i] \leq Q/\ell$. By Markov:

$$\Pr[Z_i \ge \epsilon \cdot Q] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z]}{\epsilon \cdot Q} \le \frac{1}{\epsilon \ell}$$

• Hash functions h_i chosen independently \Rightarrow

$$\Pr\left[\min_{1\leq i\leq k} Z_i \geq \epsilon \cdot Q\right] \leq \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon\ell}\right)^k$$



We have

$$\Pr\left[\min_{1\leq i\leq k} Z_i \geq \epsilon \cdot Q\right] \leq \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon\ell}\right)^k$$

111 / 115

We have

$$\Pr\left[\min_{1\leq i\leq k} Z_i \geq \epsilon \cdot Q\right] \leq \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon\ell}\right)^k$$

• Setting $\ell = 2/\epsilon$ and $k = \log(\delta)$ we get that probability above $\leq \delta$.

We have

$$\Pr\left[\min_{1\leq i\leq k} Z_i \geq \epsilon \cdot Q\right] \leq \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon\ell}\right)^k$$

- Setting $\ell = 2/\epsilon$ and $k = \log(\delta)$ we get that probability above $\leq \delta$.
- Space requirement for counters $O(1/\epsilon \cdot \log(1/\delta))$

We have

$$\Pr\left[\min_{1\leq i\leq k} Z_i \geq \epsilon \cdot Q\right] \leq \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon\ell}\right)^k$$

- Setting $\ell = 2/\epsilon$ and $k = \log(\delta)$ we get that probability above $\leq \delta$.
- Space requirement for counters $O(1/\epsilon \cdot \log(1/\delta))$
- ullet Space required to store all hash functions and evaluation time $O(k \cdot \ell)$

Acknowledgement

- Lecture based largely on Lap Chi's notes and David Woodruff's notes.
- See Lap Chi's notes at https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/~lapchi/cs466/notes/L05.pdf
- See David's notes at https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~15451-s20/lectures/lec6.pdf