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## Overview

- Review from last lecture: Cantor-Zassenhaus
- Today's algorithm: Berlekamp's algorithm (1967)
- Properties of Irreducible Polynomials
- Conclusion
- Acknowledgements


## Problem Definition

- We know that $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a UFD, by Gauss' lemma. Thus each polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ can be factored as
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- $f(x)=x^{4}+1$
- Eisenstein's criterion over $f(x+1)$ gives us irreducibility
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- $g \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ implies that the minimal polynomial of $g$ is of degree $\leq 2$ and it must divide $x^{4}+1$
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- if $\operatorname{gcd}(f, p)$ non trivial, output $\operatorname{gcd}(f, p)$ and $\frac{f}{\operatorname{gcd} f, p}$. Otherwise, output irreducible.
- Review from last lecture: Cantor-Zassenhaus
- Today's algorithm: Berlekamp's algorithm (1967)
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- Since $g(x)=b \cdot \prod_{i \in S}\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)$ where $b \mid a_{d}$ and $S$ subset of roots of $f$, we have that coefficients of $g$ are upper bounded in absolute value by $2^{\ell+d} \cdot\left(d \cdot 2^{\ell}\right)^{d}$
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## Factoring Algorithm
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- if $\operatorname{gcd}(f, p)$ non trivial, output $\operatorname{gcd}(f, p)$ and $\frac{f}{\operatorname{gcd} f, p}$. Otherwise, output irreducible.
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## How do we find $g_{k}, h_{k}, p$ and $q_{k}$

- If $f$ factors, it must still factor modulo the prime we chose, as $p$ does not divide $a_{d}$
- We know that $g_{k}, h_{k}$ exist by Hensel Lifting
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- Why would such a $p$ with small coefficients exist? In particular, the factor of $f$ which has $g_{0}$ as a factor has "small" coefficients, by our previous lemma.
- How do we find a polynomial $p$ with "small" coefficients though? (will see this next section and lecture)
- Suppose we can find $p$ with small coefficient, do we have non-trivial GCD?
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- Then, there are polynomials $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
s f+t p=N \quad \text { and } \quad N \leq \operatorname{Res}_{x}(f, p)
$$

- From Lecture 7 's bound, we have $N \leq(2 d)!\cdot 2^{2 d B}$. Thus, $p^{k}>N$, which implies $N \neq 0 \bmod p^{k}$
- Thus, we would have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & =s f+t p \bmod p^{k} \\
& =s\left(g_{k} h_{k}\right)+t\left(g_{k} \cdot q_{k}\right) \bmod p^{k} \\
& =g_{k} \cdot\left(s h_{k}+t q_{k}\right) \bmod p^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction, since $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{k}\right) \geq 1$

- Review from last lecture: Cantor-Zassenhaus
- Today's algorithm: Berlekamp's algorithm (1967)
- Properties of Irreducible Polynomials
- Conclusion
- Acknowledgements
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- if $\operatorname{gcd}(f, p)$ non trivial, output $\operatorname{gcd}(f, p)$ and $\frac{f}{\operatorname{gcd} f, p}$. Otherwise, output irreducible.
- We know such a factor $p$ must exist, if $f$ factors
- All we need (by previous lemma) is to find some solution with small enough height.
- This problem is exactly the problem of finding a small vector in a lattice
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\begin{aligned}
p(x) & =g_{k}(x) q_{k}(x) \quad \bmod p^{k} \\
\operatorname{deg}(p) & \leq \operatorname{deg}(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Make solutions integral by adding $\beta_{i} p^{k} x^{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \operatorname{deg}(p)$
- these integral solutions form a lattice, and we can find a basis for this lattice
- the small vectors in a lattice problem above helps us find the polynomial $p$ that we want.
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## Conclusion

In today's lecture, we learned

- Factoring algorithm for integer polynomials
- CRT doesn't work
- Need to use Hensel lifting instead (generalization of Newton's method)
- Reduced factoring problem to the problem of finding a small vector in a lattice
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