Problem 1

## Continued fractions & Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field, and  $f_1, \ldots, f_\ell \in \mathbb{K}$ . Then

$$f_1 + \frac{1}{f_2 + \frac{1}{\cdots \frac{1}{f_{\ell-1} + \frac{1}{f_{\ell}}}}}$$

is the *continued fraction*, denoted by  $C(f_1, \ldots, f_\ell)$ . Now assume R is a Euclidean Domain and  $\mathbb{K}$  its field of fractions. For  $(r_0, r_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , let  $q_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , be the quotients in the extended Euclidean algorithm.

1. Show that

$$\frac{r_0}{r_1} = C(q_1, \dots, q_l).$$

2. A convenient way to represent the continued fraction expansion is as a list  $[q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l]$ . Write a Macaulay 2 procedure to compute the continued fraction expansion of two polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Run your algorithm on  $r_0 = x^{20}$  and  $r_1 = x^{19} + 2x^{18} + x \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

Problem 2

# Binary GCD Algorithm

Consider the following algorithm to compute the GCD of two positive integers.

Algorithm:

Input:  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ 

Output:  $gcd(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ 

- 1. if a = b then return a;
- 2. if both a and b are even then return  $2 \gcd(a/2, b/2)$ ;
- 3. if exactly one number is even, say a, then return gcd(a/2, b);
- 4. if both a and b are odd, with, say a > b, then return gcd((a b)/2, b);
  - 1. Implement the above algorithm in Macaulay 2 (call it binarygcd) and show it works on the pairs (34, 21), (136, 51), (481, 325), (8771, 3206).
  - 2. Prove the algorithm above works correctly. Use induction (you figure out what to base the induction on).
  - 3. Find a good upper bound on the recursion depth, and use this to prove a running time of  $O(\ell^2)$  bit operations on inputs of size  $\ell$  (that is,  $\lg a, \lg b \leq \ell$ ).
  - 4. Modify the algorithm so that it additionally computes  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $sa + tb = \gcd(a, b)$ . Give your answer in the form of a Macaulay 2 function called ebinarygcd and test it on the pairs from part (1).

#### Problem 3

## **Polynomial Evaluation**

Suppose you are given as input a polynomial  $f \in R[y]$  of degree n, together with a matrix  $A \in R[x]^{n \times n}$  filled with polynomials bounded in degree by d > 0.

- 1. Assuming the naive cost model, derive the cost of computing f(A) using Horner's scheme. Note: You are counting ring operations from R, and your cost estimates should be in terms of the input parameters n and d.
- 2. Assuming the naive cost model, derive the cost of computing f(A) using the baby-steps/giant-steps approach of Patterson and Stockmeyer.
- 3. Now assume Karatsuba is used for the polynomial multiplication, and derive the cost of computing f(A) using the baby-steps/giant-steps approach.

#### Problem 4

## Karatsuba's algorithm

Let R be a ring (commutative, with 1) and  $f, g \in R[x, y]$  (polynomials in the two variables x and y). Assume that f and g have degrees less than m in y and n in x. Let  $h = f \cdot g$  be the product of f and g.

- 1. Viewing f and g as polynomials in x with coefficients from R[y], bound the cost of operations in R to compute h assuming the classical school method for univariate polynomial multiplication.
- 2. Now bound the number of operations from R to compute h when Karatsuba's algorithm is used.

#### Problem 5

## Fast Fourier Transform

In this problem, we study another form of FFT. Let n be a positive integer, and assume that n is a power of 2. Let m := n/2.

- 1. We know that the roots of unity of order n in  $\mathbb{C}$  are the roots of  $x^n 1$ . Show that they can be partitioned into the roots of  $x^m 1$  and of  $x^m + 1$ . Explicitly, what are the roots of these two polynomials?
- 2. Suppose that P is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  of degree less than n, with n=2m. Show that you can compute  $P_+ := P \mod (x^m 1)$  and  $P_- := P \mod (x^m + 1)$  in linear time (in n).
- 3. Show that if z is a root of  $x^m 1$ , then  $P(z) = P_+(z)$ , and if z is a root of  $x^m + 1$ , then  $P(z) = P_-(z)$ .

**Hint:** use the Euclidean division equality  $P = A_+ \cdot (x^m - 1) + P_+$  (and its analogue).

- 4. Let  $Q_{-}(x) := P_{-}(x/\omega)$ , with  $\omega = \exp(i\pi/m)$ . Given  $\omega$  and  $P_{-}$ , show how to compute the coefficients of  $Q_{-}$  in linear time.
- 5. Show that z is a root of  $x^m + 1$  if and only if  $\omega z$  is a root of  $x^m 1$ , and that in this case  $P_-(z) = Q_-(\omega z)$ .
- 6. Put everything together to get another FFT algorithm of cost  $O(n \log n)$ , for n a power of 2.

# Problem 6

## Fast computation of elementary symmetric polynomials.

Consider the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree d in n variables.

$$E_d(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ |S| = d}} \prod_{i \in S} x_i$$

Prove that for any pair (n, d) where  $n \ge d$  the elementary symmetric polynomial can be computed by a depth-3 circuit of size poly(n, d). That is, the elementary symmetric polynomials can also be computed really fast in the parallel model.

1. Consider the polynomial

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t + x_i)$$

as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n][t]$ . For  $0 \leq d \leq n$ , what is the coefficient of monomial  $t^d$  in p?

- 2. Show how to obtain the elementary symmetric polynomial  $E_d(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$  via interpolation.
- 3. Conclude by expressing  $E_d(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$  as a poly(n,d)-sized, depth 3 algebraic circuit.