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## What problems is this used for?

- Graphs:
- diameter
- \# connected components
- Minimum Spanning Tree
- Testing bipartiteness
- Testing clusterability
- Functions:
- is a function monotone?
- is function convex?
- is function linear?
- Distributions:
- is distribution uniform?
- is is independent?

Connects to randomized algorithms, approximation algorithms, parallel algorithms, complexity theory, statistics, learning
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Sublinear Time Models of Computation

Subliuae fine in
Adjacency matrix model $\circ\left(N^{2}\right)$ time

- Random Access Queries

Sublimer time in Adjacency list model $0(\mu+\mu)$ time

- Can access any word of input in one step
- How is input represented?
- Adjacency matrix
- Adjacency list

Adjacency matrix

$$
A \in \Sigma^{M \times N} \quad(M \in N)
$$

query entry ( $i, j$ ) of $A$
size input: $O(\mu N) O\left(N^{2}\right)$

$M$ entice
$N$ elements
six of input $=O(M+N)$
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## Sublinear Time Models of Computation

- Random Access Queries
- Can access any word of input in one step
- How is input represented?
- Adjacency matrix
- Adjacency list
- Location
- many others...
- Samples
- get samples from certain distribution/input at each step
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## Approximate Diameter of a Point Set

- Input: $m$ points and a distance matrix $D$ such that
- $D_{i j} \leftarrow$ distance from $i$ to $j$
- D symmetric and satisfies triangle inequality
- Input size: $N=m^{2}$
- Let $a, b$ be indices that maximize distance $D_{a b}$. Then $D_{a b}$ is diameter
- Output: Indices $k, \ell$ such that

$$
D_{k \ell} \geq D_{a b} / 2
$$

$D_{a b}=\max _{i, j} D_{i j}$

diameter
2-multiplicative algorithm
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## Algorithm \& Analysis

- Pick $k$ arbitrarily
- Pick $\ell$ to maximize $D_{k l}$
- Output indices $k, \ell$

Why does this work?

Algorithm \& Analysis

- Pick $k$ arbitrarily

$$
D_{k \ell} \geqslant D_{k j}
$$

- Pick $\ell$ to maximize $D_{k l}$
- Output indices $k, \ell$

$$
\text { for any } j \in[m]
$$

Why does this work?
triangle inequality

- Correctness

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{a b} & \leq D_{a k}+D_{k b}=D_{k \lambda}+D_{k b} \\
& \leq D_{k \ell}+D_{k \ell}=2 \cdot D_{k \ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { by property of chase of } l
$$
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- Correctness
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\begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
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-Running time: $O(m)=O\left(N^{1 / 2}\right)=\circ(N)$
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Why does this work?

- Correctness

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{a b} & \leq D_{a k}+D_{k b} \\
& \leq D_{k \ell}+D_{k \ell}=2 \cdot D_{k \ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Running time: $O(m)=O\left(N^{1 / 2}\right)$

Is this the best we can do?

## Lower Bound for Approximate Diameter

- Let $D$ be following: distance matrix $D_{i, i}=0, \forall i \in[m]$ and $D_{i, j}=1$ otherwise
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## Lower Bound for Approximate Diameter

- Let $D$ be following: distance matrix $D_{i, i}=0, \forall i \in[m]$ and $D_{i, j}=1$ otherwise
- Let $D^{\prime}$ be same matrix as $D$ except that for one pair $(a, b)$ we make

$$
D_{a b}^{\prime}=D_{b a}^{\prime}=2-\delta
$$

- Check that $D^{\prime}$ satisfies properties of a distance matrix (thus valid)
- Practice problem: prove that it would take $\Omega(N)$ time (i.e. number of queries) to decide if diameter is 1 or $2-\delta$
- Introduction
- Why Sublinear Time Algorithms?
- Warm-up Problem
- Main Problem
- Number of Connected Components
- Acknowledgements


## Connected Components

How to approximate number of connected components of a graph:

## Connected Components

How to approximate number of connected components of a graph:

- Input: graph $G(V, E)$ in adjacency list representation. $\epsilon>0$.

$$
n=|V|, m=|E|, N=m+n \text { input sixe }
$$

- Output: if $C \leftarrow \#$ connected components of $G$, output with probability $\geq 3 / 4, C^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left|C^{\prime}-C\right| \leq \epsilon n
$$

## Connected Components

How to approximate number of connected components of a graph:

- Input: graph $G(V, E)$ in adjacency list representation. $\epsilon>0$.

$$
n=|V|, \quad m=|E|, \quad N=m+n
$$

- Output: if $C \leftarrow \#$ connected components of $G$, output with probability $\geq 3 / 4 C^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left|C^{\prime}-C\right| \leq \epsilon n
$$

- How can we even do this?


## Connected Components

How to approximate number of connected components of a graph:

- Input: graph $G(V, E)$ in adjacency list representation. $\epsilon>0$.

$$
n=|V|, \quad m=|E|, \quad N=m+n
$$

- Output: if $C \leftarrow \#$ connected components of $G$, output with probability $\geq 3 / 4 C^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left|C^{\prime}-C\right| \leq \epsilon n
$$

- How can we even do this?
- Different characterization of \# connected components of graph


## Connected Components

How to approximate number of connected components of a graph:

- Input: graph $G(V, E)$ in adjacency list representation. $\epsilon>0$.

$$
n=|V|, \quad m=|E|, \quad N=m+n
$$

- Output: if $C \leftarrow \#$ connected components of $G$, output with probability $\geq 3 / 4 C^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left|C^{\prime}-C\right| \leq \epsilon n
$$

- How can we even do this?
- Different characterization of \# connected components of graph


## Lemma (\# Connected Components)

Let $G(V, E)$ be a graph. For vertex $v \in V$, let $n_{v} \leftarrow \#$ vertices in connected component of $v$. Let $C$ be number of connected components of G. Then:

$$
C=\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}}
$$

$v \mapsto n_{\bullet} \triangleq \#$ vertices in connectral conponent


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v \in \Gamma} \frac{l}{n_{v}}=\frac{|\Gamma|}{n_{v}}=\frac{n_{v}}{n_{v}}=1 \\
& C=\sum_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\substack{\text { commuad } \\
\text { and }}}=\sum_{r} \sum_{v \in r} \frac{1}{n_{v}}=\sum_{v \in v} \frac{1}{n_{v}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Connected Components
Naive attempt: sample small number of vertices from $G$, compute $n_{v}$ and output average.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}, \ldots, v_{a} \\
& n_{v_{1}}, \ldots, n_{v_{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

output: $\quad \frac{n}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{l}{n_{v_{i}}}$

Connected Components
Naive attempt: sample small number of vertices from $G$, compute $n_{v}$ and output average.

- Problem: just computing $n_{v}$ may take linear time if graph is connected!
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Connected Components
Naive attempt: sample small number of vertices from $G$, compute $n_{v}$ and output average.
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## Connected Components

Naive attempt: sample small number of vertices from $G$, compute $n_{v}$ and output average.

- Problem: just computing $n_{v}$ may take linear time if graph is connected!
- Idea: if $n_{v}$ large, then $1 / n_{v}$ small and we can drop it!
Lemma (Estimating \# components)
Let

$$
n_{v}^{\prime}=\min \left(n_{v}, 2 / \epsilon\right) \text { p.ioky for six of }
$$

Then

$$
\left|\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}}-\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon n}{2}
$$



$$
\left|\sum_{v \in V}\left(\frac{1}{n_{v}}-\frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}\right)\right| \leqslant_{\substack{v \\ \text { thiengk } \\ \text { incquity }}} \sum_{v \in V}\left|\frac{1}{n_{v}}-\frac{1}{n_{r}^{\prime}}\right|
$$

if $n_{v} \leqslant \frac{2}{\epsilon}$ then $n_{v}=n_{v}^{\prime} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n_{v}}-\frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}=0$ else $n_{0}>\frac{2}{\epsilon} \Rightarrow 0<\frac{1}{n_{0}}<\frac{\epsilon}{2} \Rightarrow\left|\frac{1}{n_{b}}-\frac{1}{\frac{n_{i}^{\prime}}{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}}\right| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ $\leq \sum_{v \in V} \frac{\epsilon}{2}=\frac{\epsilon n}{2}$

## Connected Components

Naive attempt: sample small number of vertices from $G$, compute $n_{v}$ and output average.

- Problem: just computing $n_{v}$ may take linear time if graph is connected!
- Idea: if $n_{v}$ large, then $1 / n_{v}$ small and we can drop it!


## Lemma (Estimating \# components)

Let

$$
n_{v}^{\prime}=\min \left(n_{v}, 2 / \epsilon\right)
$$

Then

$$
\left|\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}}-\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon n}{2}
$$

How do we do this estimation?
Sample vertex $v$ and run BFS starting at $v$, short-cutting if see $2 / \epsilon$ vertices.

Connected Components - proof of lemma
Lemma (Estimating \# components)
Let

$$
n_{v}^{\prime}=\min \left(n_{v}, 2 / \epsilon\right)
$$

Then

$$
\left|\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}}-\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon n}{2} .
$$

Problem: canst compute $\sum_{v \in V} \frac{l}{\eta_{v}^{\prime}}$ in sublimer $\begin{gathered}\text { time }\end{gathered}$
Solution: let's sample a few ventics s and scale our estimate

## Algorithm

- Choose $s=\Theta\left(1 / \epsilon^{2}\right)$ vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ uniformly at random.
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\end{gathered}
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## Algorithm

- Choose $s=\Theta\left(1 / \epsilon^{2}\right)$ vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ uniformly at random.
- Compute $n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}$ using BFS
- Return

$$
C^{\prime}=\frac{n}{s} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}
$$

- Running Time:
- $\Theta\left(1 / \epsilon^{2}\right)$ vertices sampled,
- each run takes $O\left(1 / \epsilon^{2}\right)$ time to compute.
- Adding results takes $O(s)=O\left(1 / \epsilon^{2}\right)$ time.
- Total running time $O\left(1 / \epsilon^{4}\right)$. Sublihear
even depend on $n$

Algorithm - Correctness
To prove correctness we need to show that with probability $\geq 3 / 4$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{n}{s} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}-\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}}\right| \leq \epsilon n \\
& \text { estimate \# corimected }
\end{aligned}
$$

component b
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To prove correctness we need to show that with probability $\geq 3 / 4$ we have

$$
\left|\frac{n}{s} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}-\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}}\right| \leq \epsilon n
$$

Dividing by $n / s$ on both sides:
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## Algorithm - Correctness

To prove correctness we need to show that with probability $\geq 3 / 4$ we have

$$
\left|\frac{n}{s} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}-\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}}\right| \leq \epsilon n
$$

Dividing by $n / s$ on both sides:

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}-\frac{s}{n} \cdot \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}}\right| \leq \epsilon s
$$

By our previous lemma, and triangle inequality, enough to prove that w.h.p.

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}-\frac{s}{n} \cdot \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon s}{2}
$$

Lemma and Triangle Inequality
Lemma (Estimating \# components)
Let

$$
n_{v}^{\prime}=\min \left(n_{v}, 2 / \epsilon\right)
$$

Then

$$
\left|\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}}-\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon n}{2} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow\left|c^{\prime}-c\right| \leq \in n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Algorithm - Correctness

Want to show that with probability $\geq 3 / 4$ :

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}-\frac{s}{n} \cdot \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon \cdot s}{2}
$$

## Algorithm - Correctness

Want to show that with probability $\geq 3 / 4$ :

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}-\frac{s}{n} \cdot \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon \cdot s}{2}
$$

## Theorem (Hoeffding's Inequality)

Let $X_{i}$ be independent random variables, taking values in $\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$, $X=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|X-\mathbb{E}[X]| \geq \ell] \leq 2 \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{2 \ell^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

## Algorithm - Correctness

Want to show that with probability $\geq 3 / 4$ :

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}-\frac{s}{n} \cdot \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon \cdot s}{2}
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## Theorem (Hoeffding's Inequality)

Let $X_{i}$ be independent random variables, taking values in $\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$, $X=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|X-\mathbb{E}[X]| \geq \ell] \leq 2 \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{2 \ell^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

Setting parameters of Hoeffing's theorem to our setting:

- $a_{i}=0, b_{i}=1, N=s$
- $X_{i}=1 / n_{v}^{\prime}$ with probability $1 / n$
(pick vertex uniformly at random)


## Algorithm - Correctness

$$
X=\sum_{i=1}^{s} X_{i} \quad\left(=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Algorithm - Correctness

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=\sum_{i=1}^{s} X_{i}\left(=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}\right) \\
\mu:=\mathbb{E}[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=-\underbrace{\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{1}{n}}_{\substack{s}}=\frac{s}{n} \cdot \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}} \\
\text { Qineority } \\
\text { of expectation }
\end{gathered}
$$

$X_{i}$ : sample vertex vat random then compere $\frac{1}{n_{u}^{\prime}}$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[x_{i}\right]=\sum_{v \in V} P_{r}[\text { pick } v] \cdot \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}=\sum_{V \in v} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}
$$

## Algorithm - Correctness

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=\sum_{i=1}^{s} X_{i}\left(=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}\right) \\
\mu:=\mathbb{E}[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=s \cdot \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{1}{n}=\frac{s}{n} \cdot \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hoeffding with the parameters from previous slide and $\ell=\epsilon \cdot s / 2$ :

## Theorem (Hoeffding's Inequality)

Let $X_{i}$ be independent random variables, taking values in $[0,1]$, $X=\sum_{i=1}^{s} X_{i}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|X-\mu| \geq \epsilon \cdot s / 2] \leq 2 \cdot \exp \left(-\epsilon^{2} s / 2\right)
$$

## Algorithm - Correctness

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=\sum_{i=1}^{s} X_{i}\left(=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n_{v_{i}}^{\prime}}\right) \\
\mu:=\mathbb{E}[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=s \cdot \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{1}{n}=\frac{s}{n} \cdot \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hoeffding with the parameters from previous slide and $\ell=\epsilon \cdot s / 2$ :

## Theorem (Hoeffding's Inequality)

Let $X_{i}$ be independent random variables, taking values in $[0,1]$, $X=\sum_{i=1}^{s} X_{i}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|X-\mu| \geq \epsilon \cdot s / 2] \leq 2 \cdot \exp \left(-\epsilon^{2} s / 2\right)
$$

Since $s=\Theta\left(1 / \epsilon^{2}\right)$, the result follows by choosing $s=8 \cdot\left(1 / \epsilon^{2}\right)$
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$X_{i}=$ Sample $v$ at random, uniformly compute $\frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{i} \in\left\{\frac{1}{n_{i}}\right\}=S=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdot 1 a_{n}\right\} \\
& B_{i} \cap B_{j}=\varnothing \\
& \text { and } \bigcup_{i=1}^{u} B_{i}=V \Rightarrow \sum \frac{\left|B_{i}\right|=1}{n} \\
& \text { and } \operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{i}=\frac{1}{n_{i}}\right]=\frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{|v|}=\frac{\left|B_{d}\right|}{n} \\
& L_{a_{1}} L_{a_{2}} \cdots L_{a_{n}} \\
& B_{1} B_{2} \\
& B_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[x_{i}\right]=\sum_{v \in V} \frac{P_{r}\left[x_{i} \text { piched } v\right] \cdot \frac{1}{n_{v}^{\prime}}}{\text { xperate elements of a buccett }} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{x} \frac{\left|B_{i}\right|}{\frac{\mid r}{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{n_{i}\left[x_{i}\right.}=\frac{1}{\left.n_{i}\right]} \\
& \wedge^{2} \quad 4 \quad \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2} \\
& \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

