### Problem 1

Given a 3-uniform hypergraph G(V, E) (that is, a hypergraph where each hyperedge has exactly 3 vertices), we say that a 2-coloring of V is valid for a hyperedge  $e = \{a, b, c\} \in E$  if the hyperedge e is not monochromatic upon this coloring.

The Max-2C3U problem is the following:

- Input: a 3-uniform hypergraph G(V, E)
- Output: a 2-coloring of the vertices of G of maximum value, that is, a function  $f: V \to \{-1, 1\}$  (the coloring) which maximizes the number of valid hyperedges.

In this question, you are asked to:

- 1. Write the optimization problem above as a quadratic program
- 2. Formulate an SDP relaxation for the problem, and prove that it is in fact a relaxation

#### Problem 2

Given a graph G, an *independent set* is a set of vertices such that no two are neighbors. The Maximum Independent Set (MIS) problem is a famous NP-complete problem.

Interestingly, the complement of an independent set is a vertex cover, so the complement of the MIS is the minimum vertex cover. We've seen (twice) how to get a two-approximation for vertex cover. Even though it is complementary, the situation for MIS is much worse.

Early in the study of approximation hardness, MIS was shown to be MAX-SNP-hard, meaning there is some constant to within which it *cannot* be approximated (unless P = NP).

Suppose one has an  $\alpha$ -approximation algorithm for MIS. Consider the following "graph product" operation for a graph G. Create a distinct copy  $G_v$  of G for each vertex v of G. Then connect up the copies as follows: if (u, v) is an edge of G, then connect every vertex in  $G_u$  to every vertex in  $G_v$ .

- (a) Prove that if there is an independent set of size k in G, then there is an independent set of size  $k^2$  in the product graph.
- (b) Prove that given an independent set of size s in the product graph, one can find an independent set of size √s in G.
- (c) Conclude from the MAX-SNP-hardness of MIS that MIS has *no* constant-factor approximation (unless P = NP).

### Problem 3

Prove the following relations directly from the definitions of PCP, IP, NP, co-RP and P:

- 1.  $NP \subseteq IP$
- 2.  $\operatorname{co-}RP \subseteq IP$
- 3. P = PCP[0, 0]
- 4. NP = PCP[0, poly(n)]
- 5.  $\operatorname{co-}RP = PCP[\operatorname{poly}(n), 0]$

# Problem 4

You have reached a river (modelled as a straight line) and must find a bridge to cross it. The bridge is at some integer coordinate upstream or downstream.

- 1. Give a 9-competitive deterministic algorithm for optimizing the total distance travelled up and downstream before you find the bridge.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. Give a randomized 7-competitive algorithm for the problem

### Problem 5

A conservative algorithm is one that makes at most k page faults on any consecutive subsequence of the input that contains at most k pages. Here k is the size of the cache.

- 1. Prove that LRU and FIFO are conservative
- 2. Prove that any conservative algorithm is k-competitive.

## Problem 6

Given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{N \times N}$  where  $N = 2^k$ , prove that one can compute  $\det(A)$  in time  $O(N^{\omega})$ , where  $\omega$  is the matrix multiplication exponent. You can assume that any matrix that you need to invert in the process is invertible.

Optional question: how would you remove the assumption given above?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is optimal for deterministic strategies.