

## PROBLEM 1

Given the LP relaxation for minimum vertex-cover:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{v \in V} c_v \cdot x_v \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 0 \leq x_v \leq 1 \text{ for all } v \in V \\ & x_u + x_v \geq 1 \text{ for all } \{u, v\} \in E \end{aligned}$$

(a) Let  $y$  be any feasible solution for the LP. Define another solution  $y^+$  by:

$$y_v^+ = \begin{cases} y_v + \varepsilon & \text{if } 1/2 < y_v < 1, \\ y_v - \varepsilon & \text{if } 0 < y_v < 1/2, \\ y_v & \text{if } y_v \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly define the solution  $y^-$ , by replacing  $\varepsilon$  with  $-\varepsilon$ . Prove that one can find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that both  $y^+, y^-$  are feasible for the LP above.

(b) Show that every extreme point  $z$  of the LP above is *half-integral*, that is  $z_v \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$  for all  $v \in V$ .

(c) Based on the previous parts, design a 2-approximation algorithm for minimum vertex cover.

## PROBLEM 2

Given a hypergraph  $G(V, E)$  where each hyperedge  $e \in E$  is a subset of  $V$ , our goal is to color the vertices of  $G$  using  $\{-1, +1\}$  such that each hyperedge is as balanced as possible. Formally, given a coloring  $\gamma: V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$  on the vertices, we define

$$\Delta(e) = \sum_{v \in e} \gamma(v)$$

and

$$\Delta(G) = \max_{e \in E} |\Delta(e)|.$$

Prove that if the maximum degree of the hypergraph is  $d$  (i.e. each vertex appears in at most  $d$  hyperedges), then there is a coloring with

$$\Delta(G) \leq 2d - 1.$$

**Hint:** You may find it useful to consider the following LP, where initially we set  $B_e = 0$  for all  $e \in E$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in e} x_v &= B_e \text{ for all } e \in E \\ -1 &\leq x_v \leq 1 \text{ for all } v \in V \end{aligned}$$

## PROBLEM 3

Consider the following maximum covering problem. Given a graph  $G$  and a given number  $k$ , find a subset of  $k$  vertices that touches the maximum number of edges. Let  $OPT(G, k)$  be the optimal number of edges touched in  $G$  by a set of at most  $k$  vertices.

Design an integer programming formulation for the problem, and then find a randomized rounding procedure for the corresponding linear programming relaxation, such that for given  $G$  and  $k$ , it identifies a set of at most  $2k$  vertices that touches at least  $c \cdot OPT(G, k)$  edges, for some constant  $c > 0$ .

## PROBLEM 4

On SDP strong duality:

(a) Let  $\alpha \geq 0$  and consider the following SDP:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \alpha \cdot X_{11} \\ & \text{s.t.} && X_{22} = 0, \\ & && X_{11} + 2 \cdot X_{23} = 1, \\ & && X \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $X$  is a  $3 \times 3$  symmetric matrix. Prove that the dual of the SDP above is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && y_2 \\ & \text{s.t.} && \begin{pmatrix} y_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_1 & y_2 \\ 0 & y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) What is the value of the first SDP of part (a)?
- (c) What is the value of the dual (second SDP) of part (a)?
- (d) Now consider the following SDP:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && x \\ & \text{s.t.} && \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 1 & y \end{pmatrix} \preceq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Compute its dual program.

- (e) Is the primal from part (d) strictly feasible? Is the dual strictly feasible?
- (f) What can you say about strong duality of the SDPs of parts (a) and (d)? Are the results consistent with Slater conditions presented in class?

## PROBLEM 5

On projections of spectrahedra (i.e., semidefinite representations).

(a) Spectrahedra are always closed sets. That is, if a sequence of points  $\{p_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in the spectrahedron converges to a point  $p$ , then  $p$  is also in the spectrahedron. Find an example of a *projected spectrahedron* which is **not** a closed set.

(b) The  $k$ -ellipse with foci  $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_k, v_k) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and radius  $d \in \mathbb{R}$  is the following curve in the plane:

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{(x - u_i)^2 + (y - v_i)^2} = d \right\}$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}_k$  be the region whose boundary is the  $k$ -ellipse. That is,  $\mathcal{C}_k$  is the set:

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{(x - u_i)^2 + (y - v_i)^2} \leq d \right\}$$

Find a semidefinite representation (i.e. projection of spectrahedron) of the set  $\mathcal{C}_k$ , and prove that your semidefinite representation is correct. That is, that it captures exactly the set  $\mathcal{C}_k$  above.

## PROBLEM 6

Let  $G(V, E)$  be a graph, where  $n = |V|$ . For each  $i \in V$ , let  $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector variable associated to vertex  $i$ . Consider the following SDP:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & t \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = t \text{ for all } i \neq j \in V, \{i, j\} \notin E \\ & \langle v_i, v_i \rangle = 1 \text{ for all } i \in V \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}$  be the optimum value of the SDP above.

(a) Show that the following SDP has optimal value  $-\Theta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \langle X, e_{n+1}e_{n+1}^T \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \langle X, e_i e_j^T + e_{n+1} e_{n+1}^T \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j \in V, \{i, j\} \notin E \\ & \langle X, e_i e_i^T \rangle = 1 \text{ for all } i \in V \\ & X \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $X$  is a  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  symmetric matrix, and  $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}$  are the elementary unit vectors.

(b) Write down the dual of the SDP from part (a).

(c) Conclude that the dual you just derived is equivalent to the following SDP:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Z_{ii} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Z_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j \in V, \{i, j\} \in E \\ & \sum_{i \neq j} Z_{ij} \geq 1 \\ & Z \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $Z$  is an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix.

(d) Rearrange the above SDP to show that the following SDP have value  $\frac{\Theta-1}{\Theta}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i, j \in V} Y_{ij} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Y_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j \in V, \{i, j\} \in E \\ & \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Y_{ii} = 1 \\ & Y \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $Y$  is an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix.