Distributed Deep Reinforcement Learning for Intelligent Load Scheduling in Residential Smart Grids

1

CS885 Paper Presentation

Alexander James November 22, 2021

University of Waterloo

University of Waterloo

Problem Overview

• Energy consumption in residential areas is increasing and improvements in energy efficiency of the power grid and appliances is not keeping pace with the increase in demand.

• scheduling appliance power consumption to minimize the load on the residential power grid.

| Shiftable Appliances | | Non-shiftable Appliances |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Interruptible | Non-interruptible | |
| EV | Dishwasher | Water Heater |
| Air Conditioner | Washing Machine | Lighting |
| Furnace | | Refrigerator |
| | | Kitchen Appliances |
| | | Other Appliances |

Problem Formulation

There are several entities involved in the problem formulation

- 1. Energy Consumption Controllers (ECC): Each household in the residential area has an ECC that schedules the power consumption for each of the appliances in the households.
- 2. *Aggregator*: A component managed by a trusted third-party entity that collects the power consumption information for each household from the ECC.

- $\bullet\,$ Denote the set of households as $\mathcal{N}.$
- Time is discretized and divided into equal length timeslots denoted as T = {1, 2, ..., T}, where T denotes the fixed scheduling horizon.
- The set of appliances in a household $i \in \mathcal{N}$ is denoted as \mathcal{A}_i .
- s_{i,j,t} denotes the state of appliance j in household i at time t. The state is the value E_{i,j,t} which is the energy required by appliance j in household i at time t.

- Let NSA_i, NIA_i and IA_i denote the sets of non-shiftable appliances, non-interruptible appliances and interruptible appliances respectively for each household i ∈ N.
- There is no scheduling decision to be made for all appliances *j* ∈ *NSA_i* because these appliances must be given power immediately. Thus in each timeslot, *ECC_i* needs to compute *P_{i,t}* which is the amount of power consumed by all appliances *j* ∈ *NIA_i* ∪ *IA_i* for household *i*.

The equation:

$$\lambda_t = \alpha_1 (L_t)^2 + \alpha_2 L_t + \alpha_3$$

Describes the cost of energy at timeslot $t(\lambda_t)$ as a function of the load on the power grid (L_t) . α is a vector in \mathbb{R}^3 that allows energy providers to alter the price of energy depending on their infrastructure and how efficient the production of energy scales.

The load (L_t) on the grid at a given timeslot t is computed as

$$L_t = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} P_{i,t} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{j \in NSA_i} E_{i,j,t}$$

The first term in the sum is the power consumed by the non-interruptible and interruptible appliances and the second term is the power consumed by the non-shiftable assignments. Use a *Real-time pricing model* (RTP) which encourages ECCs to minimize the peak-to-average ratio of the load on the power grid. The real-time price of energy in a given timeslot t is computed as

$$RTP_{t} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{t}, & 0 \leq L_{t} \leq \delta_{1} \\ \sigma_{1}\lambda_{t}, & \delta_{1} < L_{t} \leq \delta_{2} \\ \sigma_{2}\lambda_{t}, & L_{t} > \delta_{2} \end{cases}$$

Solution

- In the consumption scheduling game, each *ECC* must schedule the energy consumption of the NIAs and the IAs in its corresponding household.
- Since the price of energy (*RTP*_t) is computed in real time, each *ECC* must perform scheduling *without knowing the price* of energy in the current timeslot.

Then the consumption scheduling game can be formally described as follows:

- States: $s_{i,j,t} \forall j \in A_i, i \in N$
- Observations: States at time t and t 1 as well as RTP_t and RTP_{t-1} .
- Actions: $P_{i,t} \forall i \in \mathcal{N}$
- Reward Function: Described in subsequent slides.

The cost of electricity at time *t* for the *i*th household:

$$r_{i,t}^1 = P_{i,t} \times RTP_t$$

Penalize agents for not satisfying energy requests within the time horizon:

$$r_{i,t}^{2} = \begin{cases} 0, & t \neq T \\ \epsilon_{1}, & t = T, E_{i,j,t} > 0 \\ \epsilon_{2}, & t = T, E_{i,j,t} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Where $\epsilon_1 < 0$ and $\epsilon_2 > 0$.

Now the reward function can be defined as follows:

$$r_{i,t} = r_{i,t}^2 - r_{i,t}^1$$

The actual goal of the RL problem is to maximize the cumulative discounted reward:

$$R(o_{i,k}, P_{i,k}) = \sum_{t=k}^{T} (\gamma_i)^{t-k} r_{i,t}$$

where γ_i is the discount factor for household *i*.

Distributed Deep-RL Solution

Distributed RL architecture



$$\min_{\theta_i^Q} \mathbb{E}\left[Q^{\theta_i^Q}(o_{i,t}, P_{i,t}) - y_i\right]^2$$

where

$$y_i = r_{i,t} + \gamma \hat{Q}^{\theta_i^Q}(o_{i,t+1}, \hat{P}_{i,t+1})$$

$\nabla_{P_{i,t}}\pi_{\theta_i^Q}(o_{i,t},P_{i,t})$

- Policy network encodes a deterministic actor, which means that exploration must be implemented explicitly.
- Implement exploration by adding a random noise term to the output of each of the actor networks.
- Details of the noise term are not specified in the paper.

Distributed RL algorithm

```
Algorithm

    Distributed

                                       Power Consumption
 Scheduling (DPCS).
 1 Initialize actor and critic networks with random
    weights \theta_i^{\mu} and \theta_i^{Q}
2 The weight of target actor and target critic networks
    are assigned as \hat{\theta}_i^{\mu} \leftarrow \theta_i^{\mu} and \hat{\theta}_i^{Q} \leftarrow \theta_i^{Q}
3 Initialize the replay buffer D_i for each ECC and set
    l = 0
 4 for episode = 1 to M_{ep} do
       Obtain the observation o_{i,t} for all households
 5
       for t = 1 to T do
 6
            Obtain P_{i,t} for all i
 7
            Execute P_{i,t} and obtain o_{i,t+1} for all i
 8
            Store (\mathbf{s}_{i,t}, P_{i,t}, r_{i,t}, \mathbf{s}_{i,t+1}) to \mathcal{D}_i
 9
            Allocate the power to the appliances
10
            l = l + 1
11
       if l == \beta then
12
13
            Every ECC samples M data from \mathcal{D}_i to
             aggregator
            for ECC = i to N do
14
                Calculate the y_i based on (14) and (15)
15
                Update the critic by minimizing 13
16
                Update the actor network by (11);
17
            Update the parameters of target networks (16)
18
            l = 0
19
20 Use P_{i,t} = \pi_{\theta^{\mu}}(o_{i,t}) for real-time consumption scheduling
```

Evaluation

• Use a dataset * from Peach Street incorporated which includes the energy consumption profiles for more than 1000 households.

^{*}Available at https://dataport.cloud

| Parameter Name | Parameter Value |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| α_1 | 0.02 |
| α_2 | 0.02 |
| α_3 | 0.50 |
| σ_1 | 1.1 |
| σ_2 | 1.3 |
| δ_1 | 50 kW |
| δ_2 | 100 kW |
| ϵ_1 | -60 \times unfulfilled demand |
| ϵ_2 | 50 |

- **C-DDPG** Centralized Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient. In this algorithm the DDPG agent has all the observations from all the ECCs.
- **D-DDPG** Distributed Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient. This variant uses a single DDPG agent for each ECC and trains them all separately (*i.e.*, without using a centralized critic)



Figure 1: Average reward during training for DPCS, D-DDPG and C-DDPG

Reward Per-Household



Figure 2: Reward per-household during training for DPCS

Load Profiles With and Without Scheduling

| | | Original | With scheduling |
|---------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|
| 4 Households | peak (kW) | 8.9874 | 8.3517 |
| | mean (kW) | 5.8644 | 5.7171 |
| | Var | 2.4648 | 2.1378 |
| | PAR | 0.4269 | 0.3790 |
| | Cost (dime) | 205.9080 | 193.3008 |
| 10 Households | peak (kW) | 19.5222 | 18.4471 |
| | mean (kW) | 12.9803 | 12.9093 |
| | Var | 10.1750 | 9.3615 |
| | PAR | 0.4081 | 0.3570 |
| | Cost (dime) | 1483.0473 | 1369.6979 |
| 50 Households | peak (kW) | 97.6112 | 92.4692 |
| | mean (kW) | 64.9013 | 64.6250 |
| | Var | 254.3740 | 226.7057 |
| | PAR | 0.4081 | 0.3597 |
| | Cost (dime) | 15813.3927 | 15053.4893 |

Load profiles for individual households



Figure 3: Load profiles per-household

Related Work

Related work using RL

| Reference Number | Problem Description | Approach/Algorithm |
|------------------|--|--------------------|
| [18] | Scheduling appliance power consumption | Q-learning |
| [19] | Scheduling appliance power consumption | Q-learning |
| [25] | Scheduling appliance power consumption | Deep Q-learning |
| [26] | Forecast electricity price & schedule EV charging | Deep Q-learning |
| [27] | Scheduling appliance power consumption | Policy gradient |
| [28] | Scheduling heating, ventilation and AC systems in households | Policy gradient |

Related work not using RL

| Reference Number | Problem Description | Approach/Algorithm |
|------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| [4, 5] | Scheduling appliance power consumption | Game theoretic techniques |
| [6] | Scheduling appliance power consumption with real-time pricing | Genetic algorithm |
| [7] | Industrial power con- sumption scheduling | Mixed integer linear programming |
| [8] | Incentive scheme to encourage households to participate in load scheduling | Smoothing and regression |
| [9] | Scheduling appliance power consumption with privacy constraints | Mixed integer linear programming |

- Deep RL formulation with continuous action space.
- Distributed RL solution based on deterministic policy gradient and actor critic networks.
- Distributed RL architecture ensures that households do not need to share power consumption profile with central authority.

Conclusion

- Formulate scheduled energy consumption as a non-cooperative stochastic game.
- Use a distributed actor-critic method with deterministic policy gradient updates for the actors to produce energy consumption schedules for households.
- The schedules produced by DCPS are able to reduce the peak to average ratio of energy load by up to 12% in some cases.

• Include household feedback as part of the input state for the actor network.

Questions?