#### CS786 Lecture 16: May 26, 2012

MAP inference [KF Chapter 13]

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

1

#### **MAP Inference Techniques**

- Variable Elimination (exact)
- Cluster tree propagation (exact)
  - Cluster graph propagation (approximate)
- Integer linear programming (exact)
  - (Relaxed) linear programming (approximate)
- Graph cut (exact in special cases)

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

#### **Cluster Tree Recap**

- Variable elimination:
  - Induces a cluster tree
  - Inference: message propagation on cluster tree
- Cluster tree:
  - Graph is a tree (i.e., no loops)
  - Node: cluster of variables
  - Edge: subset of variables (a.k.a. sepset) that are common to nodes it connects
  - Satisfies running intersection property

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

3

#### Max-Product Cluster Tree Calibration

ullet  ${oldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}}_i$ : variables in the cluster at node i

 $\beta_i(\mathbf{C}_i)$ : factor at node i

•  $S_{ij}$ : variables in sepset at edge i-j

 $\mu_{ij}(\boldsymbol{S}_{ij})$ : factor at edge i-j

• Calibrated max-product cluster tree

For all edges i-j: sepset factor is marginal of cluster factors  $\mu_{ij}\big(\boldsymbol{S}_{ij}\big) = \max_{\boldsymbol{X} \in \boldsymbol{C}_i \backslash \boldsymbol{S}_{ij}} \beta_i(\boldsymbol{C}_i) = \max_{\boldsymbol{X} \in \boldsymbol{C}_j \backslash \boldsymbol{S}_{ij}} \beta_j(\boldsymbol{C}_j)$ 

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

#### Calibration by Message Passing

- Initialization:
  - − Messages:  $\delta_{i \rightarrow j}$  ← 1 and  $\delta_{j \rightarrow i}$  ← 1  $\forall ij$
  - Potentials:  $\psi_i \leftarrow \prod$  potentials associated with  $oldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}_i$
- Update messages until calibration

$$\delta_{i \to j}(\mathbf{S}_{ij}) \leftarrow \max_{X \in \mathbf{C}_i \setminus \mathbf{S}_{ij}} \left[ \psi_i(\mathbf{C}_i) \prod_{k \in \mathbf{nb}(i) \setminus \{j\}} \delta_{k \to i}(\mathbf{S}_{ki}) \right]$$

• Return

$$\beta_{i}(\boldsymbol{C}_{i}) \leftarrow \psi_{i}(\boldsymbol{C}_{i}) \prod_{k \in nb(i)} \delta_{k \to i}(\boldsymbol{S}_{ij})$$
$$\mu_{ij}(\boldsymbol{S}_{ij}) \leftarrow \delta_{i \to j}(\boldsymbol{S}_{ij}) \delta_{j \to i}(\boldsymbol{S}_{ij})$$

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

5

## Max-Product Cluster Graph Calibration (a.k.a. Max-Product Loopy Belief Propagation)

- Same as for max-product cluster tree calibration
- Disadvantages:
  - Convergence is not guaranteed
    - · Damping techniques may be used to ensure convergence
- Advantages:
  - Approximation is often good in practice and MAP inference scales linearly with the size of the graph

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

#### **Energy Minimization**

• MAP queries:

$$\max_{\mathbf{X}} \Pr(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{E}) = \max_{\mathbf{X}} \prod_{i} f_{i}(\mathbf{X})$$

Operations: max-product

• Consider the feature based representation

$$\underset{X}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{i} f_{i}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\operatorname{argmax}} e^{-\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \phi_{j}(\boldsymbol{X})} = \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \phi_{j}(\boldsymbol{X})$$

where  $\sum_i \lambda_i \phi_i(X)$  is often called the energy

Operations: min-sum

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

7

#### **Integer Linear Programming**

- Since the objective is linear and the domain of each *X* is discrete, formulate as an integer linear program
- Let  $I_{X=x}$  be a binary variable indicating whether X=x
- Let  $X_j$  be the set of variables associated with feature  $\phi_j$

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\{I_{X_j=x_j}\}} \sum_j \lambda_j \phi_j(x_j) \ I_{X_j=x_j} \\ \text{s.t.} \ I_{X_j=x_j} \in \{0,1\} \\ \text{all } I_{X_j=x_j} \text{ are consistent} \end{aligned}$$

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

#### Algorithms for Integer LP

- Exact: branch-and-bound
  - Bounds: relaxed (continuous) linear program
- Approximate:
  - Relaxed (continuous) linear program
  - Simulated annealing
  - Stochastic hill-climbing

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

۵

#### **Graph-Cut**

- Graph-cut: special case where the relaxed (continuous) linear program is exact
  - i.e. solution always consists of integer values even when the integer constraints are not enforced
- Graph-cut problem: find least costly cut in a graph that separates two nodes s and t
  - Each edge is labeled with a cost
  - The cost of a cut is the sum of the cost of all removed edges

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

### Example

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

11

# Graph-Cut for Pairwise Markov Networks

• Example Image segmentation

CS786 P. Poupart 2012

#### **Important Note**

- Variable Elimination and Cluster Tree Propagation scale exponentially with the size of the largest intermediate factor
- Integer Linear Programming and Graph-Cut can answer some MAP queries in polynomial time even though Variable Elimination and Cluster Tree Propagation would take exponential time
  - i.e., Complexity of ILP and GC do not generate intermediate factors

CS786 P. Poupart 2012