CS489/698 Machine Learning Lecture 3: Jan 10, 2018

Linear Regression

[RN] Sec. 18.6.1, [HTF] Sec. 2.3.1, [D] Sec. 7.6, [B] Sec. 3.1, [M] Sec. 1.4.5

Linear model for regression

- Simple form of regression
- Picture:

Problem

- Data: $\{(x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2), ..., (x_N, t_N)\}$
 - $-x = < x_1, x_2, ..., x_M >: input vector$
 - t: target (continuous value)
- Problem: find hypothesis h that maps x to t
 - Assume that h is linear:

$$y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_M x_M = \mathbf{w}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Objective: minimize some loss function
 - Euclidean loss: $L_2(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y(\mathbf{x_n}, \mathbf{w}) t_n)^2$

Optimization

Find best w that minimizes Euclidean loss

$$\mathbf{w}^* = argmin_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_n \end{pmatrix} \right)^2$$

- Convex optimization problem
 - ⇒ unique optimum (global)

Solution

- Let $\overline{x} = {1 \choose x}$ then $\min_{w} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n w^T \overline{x}_n)^2$
- Find w^* by setting the derivative to 0

$$\frac{\partial L_2}{\partial w_j} = \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \overline{\mathbf{x}}_n) \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{nj} = 0 \quad \forall j$$

$$\Longrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \overline{\mathbf{x}}_n) \overline{\mathbf{x}}_n = 0$$

• This is a linear system in w, therefore we rewrite it as Aw = b

where
$$\pmb{A} = \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{\pmb{x}}_{\pmb{n}} \overline{\pmb{x}}_{\pmb{n}}^{\pmb{T}}$$
 and $\pmb{b} = \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \overline{\pmb{x}}_{\pmb{n}}$

Solution

• If training instances span \mathfrak{R}^{M+1} then \boldsymbol{A} is invertible:

$$w = A^{-1}b$$

- In practice it is faster to solve the linear system Aw = b directly instead of inverting A
 - Gaussian elimination
 - Conjugate gradient
 - Iterative methods

Picture

Regularization

- Least square solution may not be stable
 - i.e., slight perturbation of the input may cause a dramatic change in the output
 - Form of overfitting

Example 1

• Training data:
$$\overline{x}_1={1\choose 0}$$
 $\overline{x}_2={1\choose \epsilon}$ $t_1=1$ $t_2=1$

$$\bullet$$
 $A =$

•
$$A^{-1} =$$

$$b =$$

Example 2

• Training data:
$$\overline{x}_1=\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}$$
 $\overline{x}_2=\begin{pmatrix}1\\\epsilon\end{pmatrix}$ $t_1=1+\epsilon$ $t_2=1$

$$\bullet$$
 $A =$

•
$$A^{-1} =$$

$$b =$$

•
$$w =$$

Picture

Regularization

- Idea: favor smaller values
- Tikhonov regularization: add $||w||_2^2$ as a penalty term
- Ridge regression:

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \overline{\mathbf{x}}_n \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left| |\mathbf{w}| \right|_2^2$$

where λ is a weight to adjust the importance of the penalty

Regularization

• Solution: $(\lambda I + A)w = b$

Notes

- Without regularization: eigenvalues of linear system may be arbitrarily close to 0 and the inverse may have arbitrarily large eigenvalues.
- With Tikhonov regularization, eigenvalues of linear system are $\geq \lambda$ and therefore bounded away from 0. Similarly, eigenvalues of inverse are bounded above by $1/\lambda$.

Regularized Examples

Example 1

Example 2