

# CS 486/686 Spring 2023

## Assignment 2 Solutions

June 20, 2023

### Question 2a

<i>Acc</i>	$Pr(Acc)$
t	0.8
f	0.2

<i>Trav</i>	$Pr(Trav)$
t	0.05
f	0.95

<i>Trav</i>	<i>Fraud</i>	$Pr(Fraud Trav)$
t	t	0.01
t	f	0.99
f	t	0.004
f	f	0.996

<i>Trav</i>	<i>Fraud</i>	<i>FP</i>	$Pr(FP Trav, Fraud)$
t	t	t	0.9
t	t	f	0.1
t	f	t	0.9
t	f	f	0.1
f	t	t	0.1
f	t	f	0.9
f	f	t	0.01
f	f	f	0.99

<i>Acc</i>	<i>Fraud</i>	<i>OP</i>	$Pr(OP Acc, Fraud)$
t	t	t	0.8
t	t	f	0.2
t	f	t	0.6
t	f	f	0.4
f	t	t	0.3
f	t	f	0.7
f	f	t	0.1
f	f	f	0.9

<i>Acc</i>	<i>PT</i>	$Pr(PT Acc)$
t	t	0.1
t	f	0.9
t	t	0.01
t	f	0.99

## Question 2b

### Part I - Prior Probability

$$\begin{aligned}
 Pr(fraud) &= Pr(fraud|trav)Pr(trav) + Pr(fraud|\neg trav)Pr(\neg trav) \\
 &= 0.01 * 0.05 + 0.004 * 0.95 \\
 &= 0.0043
 \end{aligned}$$

### Part II - Posterior Probability

<i>OC</i>	$f_1(Acc) = Pr(Acc)$
t	0.8
f	0.2

<i>Fraud</i>	<i>Trav</i>	$f_2(Fraud, Trav) = Pr(Fraud Trav)$
t	t	0.01
t	f	0.004
f	t	0.99
f	f	0.996

<i>Trav</i>	$f_3(Trav) = Pr(Trav)$
t	0.05
f	0.95

<i>OC</i>	$f_4(Acc) = Pr(PT = t Acc)$
t	0.1
f	0.01

<i>OC</i>	<i>Fraud</i>	$f_5(Acc, Fraud) = Pr(OP = f Acc, Fraud)$
t	t	0.2
t	f	0.4
f	t	0.7
f	f	0.9

<i>Trav</i>	<i>Fraud</i>	$f_6(Trav, Fraud) = Pr(FP = t Trav, Fraud)$
t	t	0.9
t	f	0.9
f	t	0.1
f	f	0.01

Eliminate variable *Trav*:

$$f_7(Fraud) = \text{sumout}_{Trav}[f_2(Fraud, Trav) * f_3(Trav) * f_6(Trav, Fraud)]$$

<i>Fraud</i>	$f_7(Fraud)$
t	0.00083
f	0.054012

Eliminate variable *Acc*:

$$f_8(Fraud) = \text{sumout}_{Acc}[f_1(Acc) * f_4(Acc) * f_5(Acc, Fraud)]$$

<i>Fraud</i>	$f_8(Fraud)$
t	0.0174
f	0.0338

$$Pr(Fraud = t|FP = t, OP = f, PT = t) = k * f_7(Fraud = t) * f_8(Fraud = t) = 0.00784871$$

where  $k$  is a normalizing constant:

$$k = \frac{1}{f_7(Fraud = t) * f_8(Fraud = t) + f_7(Fraud = f) * f_8(Fraud = f)}$$

## Question 2c

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{Acc} \\
 \text{t} \\
 \text{f}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 f_1(\text{Acc}) = Pr(\text{Acc}) \\
 0.8 \\
 0.2
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{Fraud} \\
 \text{t} \\
 \text{f}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 f_2(\text{Fraud}) = Pr(\text{Fraud}|\text{Trav} = t) \\
 0.01 \\
 0.99
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{Trav} \\
 \\
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 f_3() = Pr(\text{Trav} = t) \\
 0.05
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{Acc} \\
 \text{t} \\
 \text{f}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 f_4(\text{Acc}) = Pr(\text{PT} = t|\text{Acc}) \\
 0.1 \\
 0.01
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{Acc} & \text{Fraud} \\
 \text{t} & \text{t} \\
 \text{t} & \text{f} \\
 \text{f} & \text{t} \\
 \text{f} & \text{f}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 f_5(\text{Acc}, \text{Fraud}) = Pr(\text{OP} = f|\text{Acc}, \text{Fraud}) \\
 0.2 \\
 0.4 \\
 0.7 \\
 0.9
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{Fraud} \\
 \text{t} \\
 \text{f}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 f_6(\text{Fraud}) = Pr(\text{FP} = t|\text{Trav} = t, \text{Fraud}) \\
 0.9 \\
 0.9
 \end{array}$$

Eliminate variable *Acc*:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 f_7(\text{Fraud}) = \text{sumout}_{\text{Acc}}[f_1(\text{Acc}) * f_4(\text{Acc}) * f_5(\text{Acc}, \text{Fraud})] \\
 \text{Fraud} \quad f_7(\text{Fraud}) \\
 \text{t} \quad 0.0174 \\
 \text{f} \quad 0.0338
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Pr(\text{Fraud} = t|\text{FP} = t, \text{OP} = f, \text{PT} = t, \text{Trav} = t) \\
 & = k * f_2(\text{Fraud} = t) * f_3() * f_6(\text{Fraud} = t) * f_7(\text{Fraud} = t) = 0.00517303
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $k$  is a normalizing constant:

$$k = \frac{1}{\sum_{\text{Fraud}} f_2(\text{Fraud}) * f_3() * f_6(\text{Fraud}) * f_7(\text{Fraud})}$$

## Question 2d

When an online purchase is made, the fraud detection system is likely to believe that the transaction is fraudulent unless it has reasons to believe that the card holder already has an account with the merchant. Therefore, an ingenious thief could simply make a transaction with the same merchant in the previous week to fool the fraud detection system into believing the card holder already has account with the merchant. After that, the thief can make the intended online purchase with a reduced risk of being rejected.

One can verify that the probability of a fraudulent transaction decreases when a previous transaction with the same merchant is observed in the prior week since  $Pr(Fraud = t|OP = t) = 0.00600966$  whereas  $Pr(Fraud = t|OP = t, PT = t) = 0.00575465$ .

## Question 3a

**Each question 2 points, 1 for correct answer, 1 for justification.**

- i Dependent. Apply rule 1. Path open:  $D \rightarrow G, D \rightarrow F \rightarrow G$ .
- ii Dependent. Apply rule 1. Path open:  $D \rightarrow G$ .
- iii Independent. Apply rule 3. Path blocked:  $A \rightarrow B \leftarrow \sim G$
- iv Dependent. Apply rule 3. Path open:  $A \rightarrow B \leftarrow \sim G$
- v Independent. Apply rule 1. Path blocked:  $A \sim \leftarrow C \leftarrow \sim G$ . Apply rule 2 or rule 3. Path blocked:  $A \sim \leftarrow C \rightarrow \sim G$ . Path blocked:  $A \sim \leftarrow E \rightarrow \sim G$ .
- vi Independent. Apply rule 2. Path blocked:  $A \sim \leftarrow D \rightarrow \sim G$ . Apply rule 3. Path blocked:  $A \sim \rightarrow E \leftarrow \sim G$
- vii Dependent. Apply rule 3. Path open:  $A \sim \rightarrow B \leftarrow \sim \rightarrow E \leftarrow \sim G$

## Question 3b

**2 points will be subtracted if one relevant variable is wrong. 1 point will be subtracted if justification is wrong.**

- C is relevant because it is query.
- D is relevant because it is a parent of relevant variable(C).
- E is relevant because it is in evidence and a descendent of relevant variable(C,D)
- F is relevant because it is a parent of relevant variable(E).