

Lecture 13


October 27, 2009
CS 486/686

Outline

- Markov Decision Processes
- Dynamic Decision Networks
- Russell and Norvig: Sect 17.1, 17.2 (up to p. 620), 17.4, 17.5

2

Sequential Decision Making



3


Sequential Decision Making

- Wide range of applications
 - Robotics (e.g., control)
 - Investments (e.g., portfolio management)
 - Computational linguistics (e.g., dialogue management)
 - Operations research (e.g., inventory management, resource allocation, call admission control)
 - Assistive technologies (e.g., patient monitoring and support)

4

Markov Decision Process

- Intuition: Markov Process with...
 - Decision nodes
 - Utility nodes



5

Stationary Preferences

- Hum... but why many utility nodes?
- $U(s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots)$
 - Infinite process \rightarrow infinite utility function
- Solution:
 - Assume stationary and additive preferences
 - $U(s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots) = \sum_t R(s_t)$

6

Discounted/Average Rewards

- If process infinite, isn't $\sum_t R(s_t)$ infinite?
- Solution 1: **discounted rewards**
 - Discount factor: $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$
 - Finite utility: $\sum_t \gamma^t R(s_t)$ is a geometric sum
 - γ is like an inflation rate of $1/\gamma - 1$
 - Intuition: prefer utility sooner than later
- Solution 2: **average rewards**
 - More complicated computationally
 - Beyond the scope of this course

7

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Markov Decision Process

- Definition
 - Set of states: S
 - Set of actions (i.e., decisions): A
 - Transition model: $\Pr(s_t | a_{t-1}, s_{t-1})$
 - Reward model (i.e., utility): $R(s_t)$
 - Discount factor: $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$
 - Horizon (i.e., # of time steps): h
- Goal: **find optimal policy**

8

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Inventory Management

- Markov Decision Process
 - States: **inventory levels**
 - Actions: {doNothing, orderWidgets}
 - Transition model: **stochastic demand**
 - Reward model: **Sales - Costs - Storage**
 - Discount factor: **0.999**
 - Horizon: ∞
- Tradeoff: **increasing supplies decreases odds of missed sales but increases storage costs**

9

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Policy

- Choice of action at each time step
- Formally:
 - Mapping from states to actions
 - i.e., $\delta(s_t) = a_t$
 - Assumption: **fully observable states**
 - Allows a_t to be chosen only based on current state s_t . Why?

10

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Policy Optimization

- Policy evaluation:
 - Compute expected utility
 - $EU(\delta) = \sum_{t=0}^h \gamma^t \Pr(s_t | \delta) R(s_t)$
- Optimal policy:
 - Policy with highest expected utility
 - $EU(\delta) \leq EU(\delta^*)$ for all δ

11

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Policy Optimization


- Three algorithms to optimize policy:
 - **Value iteration**
 - Policy iteration
 - Linear Programming
- Value iteration:
 - **Equivalent to variable elimination**

12

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Value Iteration

- Nothing more than variable elimination
- Performs dynamic programming
- Optimize decisions in reverse order




CS438/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

13

Value Iteration

- At each t , starting from $t=h$ down to 0:
 - Optimize a_t : $EU(a_t|s_t)$?
 - Factors: $Pr(s_{i+1}|a_i, s_i)$, $R(s_i)$, for $0 \leq i \leq h$
 - Restrict s_t
 - Eliminate $s_{t+1}, \dots, s_h, a_{t+1}, \dots, a_h$



CS438/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

14


Value Iteration

- Value when no time left:
 - $V(s_h) = R(s_h)$
- Value with one time step left:
 - $V(s_{h-1}) = \max_{a_{h-1}} R(s_{h-1}) + \gamma \sum_{s_h} Pr(s_h|s_{h-1}, a_{h-1}) V(s_h)$
- Value with two time steps left:
 - $V(s_{h-2}) = \max_{a_{h-2}} R(s_{h-2}) + \gamma \sum_{s_{h-1}} Pr(s_{h-1}|s_{h-2}, a_{h-2}) V(s_{h-1})$
- ...
- **Bellman's equation:**
 - $V(s_t) = \max_{a_t} R(s_t) + \gamma \sum_{s_{t+1}} Pr(s_{t+1}|s_t, a_t) V(s_{t+1})$
 - $a_t^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{a_t} R(s_t) + \gamma \sum_{s_{t+1}} Pr(s_{t+1}|s_t, a_t) V(s_{t+1})$

CS438/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart


15

A Markov Decision Process



CS438/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

16



t	$V(PU)$	$V(PF)$	$V(RU)$	$V(RF)$
h	0	0	10	10
$h-1$	0	4.5	14.5	19
$h-2$	2.03	8.55	16.53	25.08
$h-3$	4.76	12.20	18.35	28.72
$h-4$	7.63	15.07	20.40	31.18
$h-5$	10.21	17.46	22.61	33.21

CS438/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

17

Finite Horizon

- When h is finite,
- **Non-stationary optimal policy**
- Best action different at each time step
- Intuition: best action varies with the amount of time left

CS438/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

18

Infinite Horizon

- When h is infinite,
- **Stationary optimal policy**
- Same best action at each time step
- Intuition: same (infinite) amount of time left at each time step, hence same best action
- **Problem:** value iteration does an infinite number of iterations...

19

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Infinite Horizon

- Assuming a discount factor γ , after k time steps, rewards are scaled down by γ^k
- For large enough k , rewards become insignificant since $\gamma^k \rightarrow 0$
- **Solution:**
 - pick large enough k
 - run value iteration for k steps
 - Execute policy found at the k^{th} iteration

20

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart


Computational Complexity

- Space and time: $O(k|A||S|^2)$ ☺
 - Here k is the number of iterations
- But what if $|A|$ and $|S|$ are defined by several random variables and consequently exponential?
- **Solution:** exploit conditional independence
 - **Dynamic decision network**

21

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Dynamic Decision Network



22

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Dynamic Decision Network


- Similarly to dynamic Bayes nets:
 - Compact representation ☺
 - Exponential time for decision making ☹

23

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Partial Observability

- What if states are not fully observable?
- **Solution: Partially Observable Markov Decision Process**



24

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Partially Observable Markov Decision Process (POMDP)

- Definition
 - Set of states: S
 - Set of actions (i.e., decisions): A
 - Set of observations: O
 - Transition model: $Pr(s_t | a_{t-1}, s_{t-1})$
 - Observation model: $Pr(o_t | s_t)$
 - Reward model (i.e., utility): $R(s_t)$
 - Discount factor: $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$
 - Horizon (i.e., # of time steps): h
- Policy: mapping from past obs. to actions

25

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

POMDP


- Problem: action choice generally depends on **all previous observations...**
- Two solutions:
 - Consider only policies that depend on a finite history of observations
 - Find **stationary sufficient statistics** encoding relevant past observations

26

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Partially Observable DDN

- Actions do not depend on all state variables



27

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Policy Optimization

- Policy optimization:
 - Value iteration (variable elimination)
 - Policy iteration
- POMDP and PODDN complexity:
 - Exponential in $|O|$ and k when action choice depends on all previous observations ☹
 - In practice, good policies based on subset of past observations can still be found

28

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

COACH project

- Automated prompting system to help elderly persons wash their hands
- IATSL: Alex Mihailidis, Pascal Poupart, Jennifer Boger, Jesse Hoey, Geoff Fernie and Craig Boutilier



29

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Aging Population

- Dementia
 - Deterioration of intellectual faculties
 - Confusion
 - Memory losses (e.g., Alzheimer's disease)
- Consequences:
 - Loss of autonomy
 - Continual and expensive care required



30

CS4386/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart


Intelligent Assistive Technology

- Let's facilitate aging in place
- Intelligent assistive technology
 - Non-obtrusive, yet pervasive
 - Adaptable
- Benefits:
 - Greater autonomy
 - Feeling of independence

31

CS486/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

System Overview



32

CS486/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Prompting Strategy

- **Sequential decision problem**
 - Sequence of prompts
- **Noisy sensors & imprecise actuators**
 - Noisy image processing, uncertain prompt effects
- **Partially unknown environment**
 - Unknown user habits, preferences and abilities
- **Tradeoff between complex concurrent goals**
 - Rapid task completion vs greater autonomy
- Approach: **Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes (POMDPs)**

33

CS486/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

POMDP components

- **State set \mathbf{S}** = $\text{dom}(\text{HL}) \times \text{dom}(\text{WF}) \times \text{dom}(\text{D}) \times \dots$
 - Hand Location $\in \{\text{tap, water, soap, towel, sink, away, ...}\}$
 - Water Flow $\in \{\text{on, off}\}$,
 - Dementia $\in \{\text{high, low}\}$, etc.
- **Observation set \mathbf{O}** = $\text{dom}(\text{C}) \times \text{dom}(\text{FS})$
 - Camera $\in \{\text{handsAtTap, handsAtTowel, ...}\}$
 - Faucet sensor $\in \{\text{waterOn, waterOff}\}$
- **Action set \mathbf{A}**
 - DoNothing, CallCaregiver, Prompt $\in \{\text{turnOnWater, rinseHands, useSoap, ...}\}$

34

CS486/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

POMDP components

- **Transition function** $\text{Pr}(s'|s,a)$
 - **Observation function** $\text{Pr}(o|s)$
-
- The diagram shows a state transition and observation process:
 - Initial state: **sink,off**
 - Actions: **sink,off** (0.3), **tap,on** (0.6), **soap,off** (0.01)
 - Intermediate states: **sink,off**, **tap,on**, **soap,off**
 - Observations: **sink,off** (0.01), **tap,on** (0.95), **soap,off** (0.01)
- **Reward function $\mathbf{R}(s,a)$**
 - Task completed $\rightarrow +100$
 - Call caregiver $\rightarrow -30$
 - Each prompt $\rightarrow -1, -2$ or -3

35

CS486/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart

Next Class

- Machine Learning
- Decision Trees

36

CS486/686 Lecture Slides (c) 2009 P. Poupart