

CS485/685

Lecture 16: March 3, 2016

Support Vector Machines (continued)

[B] Sec. 7.1 [D] Sec. 6.7 [HTF] Chap. 12

[M] Sec. 14.5 [RN] 18.9 [MRT] Chap. 4

Overlapping Class Distributions

- So far we assumed that data is linearly separable
 - High dimensions help for linear separability, but may hurt for generalization
- But what if the data is noisy (mistakes or outliers)
 - Constraints should allow misclassifications
- Picture

Soft margin

- Idea: relax constraints by introducing slack variables

$$\xi_n \geq 0$$

$$y_n \mathbf{w}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \geq 1 - \xi_n \quad \forall n$$

- Picture:

Soft margin classifier

- New optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, \xi} \quad C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad y_n \mathbf{w}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \geq 1 - \xi_n$$

$$\text{and } \xi_n \geq 0 \quad \forall n$$

- where $C > 0$ controls the trade-off between the slack variable penalty and the margin

Soft margin classifier

- Notes:
 1. Since $\sum_n \xi_n$ is an upper bound on the # of misclassifications, C can also be thought as a regularization coefficient that controls the trade-off between error minimization and model complexity
 2. When $C \rightarrow \infty$, then we recover the original hard margin classifier
 3. Soft margins handle minor misclassifications, but the classifier is still very sensitive to outliers

Support Vectors

- As before support vectors correspond to active constraints

$$y_n \mathbf{w}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) = 1 - \xi_n$$

– i.e., all points that are in the margin or misclassified

- Picture:


Multiclass SVMs

- Three methods:
 1. One-against-all: for K classes, train K SVMs to distinguish each class from the rest
 2. Pairwise comparison: train $O(K^2)$ SVMs to compare each pair of classes
 3. Continuous ranking: single SVM that returns a continuous value to rank all classes


One-Against-All

- For K classes, train K SVMs to distinguish each class from the rest
- Picture:
- Problem: what if different classes are returned by different SVMs?

Pairwise Comparison

- Train $O(K^2)$ SVMs to compare each pair of classes
 - Picture:
- 
- Problem: how do we pick the best class?

Continuous Ranking

- Single SVM that returns a continuous value to rank all classes
 - Picture:
- 
- The diagram illustrates a single SVM model that takes an input x and produces a continuous output $f(x)$. This output is then used to rank all classes. The diagram shows a box labeled 'SVM' with an input x and an output $f(x)$. The output $f(x)$ is then used to rank all classes, as indicated by the text 'Rank all classes'.
- Most popular approach today

Continuous Ranking

- Idea: instead of computing the sign of a linear separator, compare the values of linear functions for each class k

- Classification:

$$y_* = \operatorname{argmax}_k \mathbf{w}_k^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_*)$$

Multiclass Margin

- For each class $k \neq y$ define a linear constraint:

$$\mathbf{w}_y^T \phi(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{w}_k^T \phi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 1 \quad \forall k \neq y$$

- This guarantees a margin of at least 1

Multiclass Classification

- Optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{W}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \|\mathbf{w}_k\|^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathbf{w}_{y_n}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) - \mathbf{w}_k^T \phi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 1 \quad \forall n, k \neq y_n$$

- Equivalent to binary SVM when we have only two classes

Overlapping classes

- Add slack variables:

$$\min_{\mathbf{W}, \xi} C \sum_n \xi_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \|\mathbf{w}_k\|^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathbf{w}_{y_n}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) - \mathbf{w}_r^T \phi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 1 - \xi_n \quad \forall n, k \neq y_k$$

- Equivalent to binary SVM when we have only two classes