

CS475 / CM375

Lecture 11: Oct 18, 2011

QR Factorization and
Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization
Reading: [TB] Chapters 7, 8

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

1

Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

- QR factorization algorithm
 - $A = QR$ (Q orthogonal and R upper Δ)
 - Picture:
- At the j^{th} step
 - q_j is orthogonal to $\{q_1, \dots, q_{j-1}\}$
 - $\|q_j\| = 1$

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

2

Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

- Consider $v_j = a_j + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \beta_i q_i$
- Since $0 = q_k^T v_j$

$$= q_k^T a_j + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \beta_i (q_k^T q_i) \quad k = 1, \dots, j-1$$

$$= q_k^T a_j + \beta_k q_k^T q_k$$

$$\therefore \beta_k = -q_k^T a_j \quad (q_k^T q_k = 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow v_j = a_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (q_i^T a_j) q_i$$

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

3

Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

- Normalize $v_j \rightarrow q_j = \frac{v_j}{\|v_j\|}$

- Hence $q_1 = \frac{a_1}{r_{11}}$

$$q_2 = \frac{a_2 - r_{12} q_1}{r_{22}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$q_n = \frac{a_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_{in} q_i}{r_{nn}}$$

- Where $r_{ij} = q_i^T a_j$, $r_{jj} = \|a_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} r_{ij} q_i\|_2$

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

4

Gram-Schmidt Algorithm

```

For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ 
     $v_j = a_j$ 
    for  $i = 1, \dots, j - 1$ 
         $r_{ij} = q_i^T a_j$ 
         $v_j = v_j - r_{ij} q_i$ 
    end
     $r_{jj} = \|v_j\|$ 
     $q_j = \frac{v_j}{r_{jj}}$ 
end

```

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

5

Modified Gram-Schmidt

- Change: " $r_{ij} = q_i^T a_j$ " $\rightarrow$ " $r_{ij} = q_i^T v_j$ " (more stable)
- In the i -loop, v_j changes for each i

$$i = 1: v_j^{(1)} = a_j - r_{1j} q_1$$

$$i = 2: v_j^{(2)} = v_j^{(1)} - r_{2j} q_2 = a_j - r_{1j} q_1 - r_{2j} q_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$i = k - 1: v_j^{(k-1)} = a_j - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_{ij} q_i$$
- At $i = k$, $r_{kj} = q_k^T a_j$

$$= q_k^T (a_j - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_{ij} q_i) \quad (q_k \perp \{q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}\})$$

$$= q_k^T v_j^{k-1}$$

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

6

Complexity of Gram-Schmidt

- Consider the i -loop:
 $r_{ij} = q_i^T a_j$ or $q_i^T v_j \rightarrow m$ mult, $m - 1$ adds
 $v_j = v_j - r_{ij} q_i \rightarrow m$ mult, m subs
 $\therefore \text{flops} \sim 4m$
- Total flops = $\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} 4m$
 $= \sum_{j=1}^n (j-1)4m \sim 4m \sum_{j=1}^n j$
 $= \frac{4mn(n+1)}{2}$
 $\sim 2mn^2$
- Note: when $m = n$, then $\text{flops}(QR) = 2n^3 + O(n^2)$
 $\approx 3 \times \text{flops}(LU)$

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

7

Example

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

8

Householder triangularization

- More stable than Gram-Schmidt
- Idea: $Q_n \dots Q_2 Q_1 A = R$
 $Q_k \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ orthogonal matrices
- Similar to GE, each Q_k will make the entries of col j become zero
- Picture:

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

9

Householder reflectors

- Define $Q_k = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{matrix} k-1 \\ m-(k-1) \end{matrix}$
- F is chosen to be a Householder reflector
- Picture

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

10

Householder Reflector

- Suppose $x = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x \\ \vdots \\ x \end{bmatrix}$ then $Fx = \begin{bmatrix} ||x|| \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = ||x||e_1$
- F “reflects” x across hyperplane H orthogonal to $v = ||x||e_1 - x$
- The orthogonal projector of x onto H :

$$Px = x - \left[\left(\frac{v}{||v||} \right)^T x \right] \frac{v}{||v||} = x - v \frac{v^T x}{v^T v}$$

- Since F is a reflector, it should go twice as far:

$$Fx = x - 2v \frac{v^T x}{v^T v}$$

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

11

Householder Reflectors

- Two possibilities:
- For stability reason, the further one is chosen
 - i.e., $v = -\text{sign}(x_1)||x||e_1 - x$
 - $v = \text{sign}(x_1)||x||e_1 + x$

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

12

Another Derivation

- Let $F = I - 2 \frac{vv^T}{v^T v}$. Find v s.t. $Fx \in \text{span}\{e_1\}$.
- $Fx = x - 2 \frac{v^T x}{v^T v} v$
 $\in \text{span}\{e_1\} \Leftrightarrow v \in \text{span}\{x, e_1\}$
- Let $v = x + \alpha e_1$
 $v^T x = x^T x + \alpha e_1^T x = x^T x + \alpha x_1$
 $v^T v = (x + \alpha e_1)^T (x + \alpha e_1)$
 $= x^T x + 2\alpha x_1 + \alpha^2$

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

13

Derivation Continued

- $\therefore Fx =$
- Hence $v = x \pm \|x\| e_1$
 and $Fx = \mp \|x\| e_1$

CS475/CM375 (c) 2011 P. Poupart & J. Wan

14

Example