Timeline:

- Brief project choice e-mail due May 27
- Project proposal due June 5
- Progress report e-mail due July 3
- Presentations approximately July 17, 24
- Final report due July 28

Overview

The project is an important part of the course, and makes up the bulk of your final grade. The project gives you a chance to explore a topic of your interest in depth. In particular, you will be expected to become familiar with existing research on your topic, implement some ideas (either suggested by existing work or devised on your own), and experimentally evaluate them. You may do your implementation within any freely-available compiler infrastructure (e.g. Soot, JikesRVM, Wala, LLVM, Scala, gcc, Eclipse, abc, SUIF, McLab. . . ). When you have selected a project topic, send me an e-mail describing the proposed project or discuss it with me to make sure that it is appropriate and that no other student has chosen the same project. This informal project choice should be done by May 27. Then write a more formal project proposal, which should address the following:

- Motivation (why is this interesting?)
- Related Work (what has already been done? include references to specific papers)
- Specific Proposal (what exactly do you plan to implement, and how will you do it?)
- Experimental Evaluation (how will you evaluate your implementation?)
• Expected Results (what do you hope your experiment will show?)
• Timeline (is the project feasible in the time available?)

You may send me e-mail about your progress at any time, but you must e-mail me at least one progress report no later than July 3rd. If you find you are falling behind schedule, we may have to discuss changes to your project. Near the end of the term, you will report on your project in a paper in the style of a PLDI research paper (approx. 10 pages), and give an overview of your project in a 20-minute presentation to the class.

Suggested Projects

You may choose a project topic from the following list, or one of your own choice. Many of these suggested topics are vague; it is up to you to study the relevant systems and literature to fill in the details.

1. Optimization of Scala code:
   Scala is a statically typed object-oriented language with many features that promote writing abstract, reusable code. Such abstract code requires a good optimizing compiler to match the efficiency of code written in a more concrete style. The Scala compiler compiles Scala source code into Java bytecode that executes on any Java Virtual Machine. Compare the efficiency of some Scala programs and their Java equivalents.

   (a) Suggest and implement an optimization in the Scala compiler to improve the performance of these programs.

   (b) Suggest and implement an optimization in a Java Virtual Machine that improves the performance of the Java bytecode typically generated by the Scala compiler.

2. Call graph construction [8]:
   A call graph is a pre-requisite for almost every interprocedural analysis for an object-oriented language such as Java. Many open problems remain:

   (a) Integrated development environments (IDEs) are one context in which call graphs are useful. However, precise call graph construction algorithms that construct a call graph from scratch are too
slow to be executed after every change made to the program being edited. Design and implement an incremental call graph construction algorithm that could execute continuously in an IDE while the program is being modified.

(b) Even when using precise analyses, call graphs for Java programs constructed by static analysis tend to contain many more methods than are actually executed when a benchmark is run. This project involves comparing dynamic (run-time) and static call graphs, finding causes of the differences, and suggesting improvements to either the test suite (to increase the dynamic call graph size) or to the static analysis (to reduce the static call graph size) to reduce the discrepancy.

3. Summarizing method side-effects for interprocedural analysis:
Interprocedural analysis of Java programs generally requires knowledge of the effects of all methods in the whole program. This requirement is impractical: some methods (such as native methods) may be unavailable for analysis, or there may be too many methods to efficiently analyze all of them. One solution is to define a language for summarizing or simulating the effects of these methods, so that the simulations can be substituted in the analysis in place of the original methods.

(a) Define such a framework, and use it to create summaries of the native methods in a recent version of the Java Standard Library. For inspiration, Soot already includes such a framework for version 1.3 of the Java Standard Library.

(b) Define such a framework, and write an analysis that automatically summarizes the externally visible effects of a set of classes. For example, the analysis could be applied to the Java Standard Library to create a small set of methods that have the over-approximate the effect that the whole library has on an application.

4. Improvements to Wala/LLVM/JikesRVM/Pin/etc.:
Wala is an open source Java analysis framework led by IBM Research. There was a request on the Wala mailing list for small projects that could be done to improve Wala. The reply from Stephen Fink, one of the key Wala developers, can be found at: http://tinyurl.com/3o3b6y
You could also contribute to some other project, such as LLVM, JikesRVM, Pin, etc.

5. Applications of SAT and SMT solvers:
SAT and SMT solvers (such as Z3: http://research.microsoft.com/en- us/um/redmond/projects/z3/) have found many applications in pro-
gram analysis (for example [3], among many others), verification, speci-
fication, testing, and symbolic execution (for example [2]). Study some
interesting application of these solvers.

6. Assessment of the benefits of flow-sensitive points-to analysis of C:
Traditionally, most practical points-to analysis algorithms have been
flow-insensitive because of the high cost of flow sensitivity. Recently,
several efficient flow sensitive points-to analysis algorithms have been
proposed, for example [4]. It remains unknown how much of an im-
provement in precision flow sensitivity actually provides. Evaluate this
benefit by measuring the effect on analyses and optimizations that make
use of points-to information, and by qualitatively inspecting the parts
of programs on which the results of flow-insensitive and flow-sensitive
analysis differ.

7. Points-to analysis in gcc:
Begin by studying and thoroughly understanding the points-to analysis
algorithms that are implemented in gcc. Identify ways in which they
could be improved and implement them. A good article to get started
is [7].

8. Pure method annotations for Scala:
Pure methods are those that do not modify any objects that existed
before the method was called. Design a system of automatically check-
able annotations that is sound (i.e. every method annotated as pure
is actually pure) and as precise as possible (i.e. many methods that
are actually pure can be correctly annotated as pure according to the
rules of the system). As inspiration, a relatively simple such annotation
system has recently been proposed for Java [6].
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