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#### Abstract

"Fibonacci strings" were first defined by Knuth in his 1968 "The Art of Computer Programming," as being an infinite sequence of strings obtained from two initial letters $f_{1}=a$ and $f_{2}=b$, by the recursive definition $f_{n+2}=f_{n+1} \cdot f_{n}$, for all positive integers $n \geq 1$, where "." denotes word concatenation. Motivated by theoretical studies of DNA computing, several generalizations of Fibonnaci words have been proposed under the umbrella term involutive Fibonacci words. These include $\phi$-Fibonacci words and indexed $\phi$-Fibonacci words, where $\phi$ denotes either a morphic or an antimorphic involution. (In the particular case of the DNA alphabet $\Delta=\{A, C, G, T\}$, where $\phi$ is the Watson-Crick complementarity (antimorphic) involution on $\Delta^{*}$ that maps $A$ to $T, G$ to $C$, and vice versa, the $\phi$-Fibonacci words are termed atom Watson-Crick Fibonacci words.) In this paper, we investigate the properties of atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words over a four-letter alphabet, whereby "atom" indicates that the two initial words are singleton letters. The results are different from the case of the classical Fibonacci words over a two-letter alphabet, which are all primitive, in that for some (anti)morphic involutions, some initial letters, and some indices $n$, we have that the $n$-th atom $\phi$-Fibonacci word is primitive, while for some others it is not. In the particular case of the Watson-Crick complementarity antimorphic involution, regardless of the initial two letters in the Fibonacci recursion (different, or the same), for all $n>3$, the $n$-th atom Watson-Crick Fibonacci word is primitive.
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## 1. Introduction

Fibonacci words or Fibonacci strings were introduced as word counterparts of the Fibonacci numbers defined by $F_{0}=0, F_{1}=1$, and the recursion $F_{n}=F_{n-1}+F_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$. Fibonacci words form an infinite sequence of strings obtained from two initial letters $f_{1}=a$ and $f_{2}=b$, by the recursive definition $f_{n+2}=f_{n+1} \cdot f_{n}$, for all positive integers $n \geq 1$, where "." denotes word concatenation. A natural generalization is to replace the two initial letters $a$ and $b$ by non-empty words $u$ and $v$, and many other generalizations of Fibonacci words have also been proposed, see [3, 5, 6, 9, 11, 24, 25, 26, 29, 32], to name just a few. In particular, involutive Fibonacci words were introduced and investigated in [15], motivated by theoretical studies of DNA computing. Involutive Fibonacci words over an alphabet $\Sigma$ were formally defined as $\phi$-Fibonacci words, where $\phi$ is a morphic or an antimorphic involution on $\Sigma^{*}$. Their connection to DNA computing stems from the Watson-Crick DNA complementarity of DNA strands (words over the four-letter DNA alphabet $\Delta=\{A, C, G, T\}$ ) whereby two such Watson-Crick (W/C) complementary DNA single strands of opposite orientations bind to each other to form a helical DNA double strand. The Watson-Crick complementarity has been mathematically formalized as an antimorphic involution, see [13,22]. More precisely, the antimorphic involution $\theta_{D N A}$ that models Watson-Crick complementarity is defined by $\theta_{D N A}(A)=T$ and $\theta_{D N A}(C)=G$, and by the additional requirements that it models the biochemical properties of Watson-Crick complementarity by being both an involution on $\Delta$ (whereby $\theta_{D N A}\left(\theta_{D N A}(w)\right)=w$ for all $w \in \Delta^{*}$ ), and an antimorphism on $\Delta^{*}$ (whereby $\theta_{D N A}(u w)=\theta_{D N A}(w) \theta_{D N A}(u)$ for all $u, w \in \Delta^{*}$ ). In light of this formalization, when $\phi=\theta_{D N A}$, these particular $\theta_{D N A}$-Fibonacci words are termed Watson-Crick Fibonacci words.

Most of the bio-operations involved in DNA computations rely on the capability of controlling the bonds that can be formed between (single-stranded) DNA molecules, via the Watson-Crick complementarity, $\theta_{D N A}$. It is important to note that bonds can also form between complementary parts of two DNA molecules and that, moreover, a DNA molecule containing two complementary parts can even bind to itself. The success of a DNA bio-operation relies on the assumption that no undesired bonds form between DNA molecules in the test tube before the bio-operation is initiated. With this motivation, one of the foremost problems in DNA computing is to design DNA strands that are not (partially) W/C complementary to each other, and that have no W/C complementary parts within themselves, [10, 12, 14, 19, 20, 22, 23, 30]. This has led to the concept of $\phi$-primitivity, [7], whereby a word $w$ is called $\phi$-primitive if there is no shorter word $u$ such that $w$ can be written as repetitions of $u$ and $\phi(u)$. A word over the DNA alphabet that is suitable for computations should thus be $\theta_{D N A}$-primitive, and the need also arises for methods to generate sufficiently many, and sufficiently long, $\theta_{D N A}$-primitive DNA words. One such method is the simple iterative process that gives rise to Watson-Crick Fibonacci words and, for such words to be useful for DNA computations, they have to be $\theta_{D N A}$-primitive. The first step towards a comprehensive study of $\theta_{D N A}$-primitivity is the study of primitivity, and this paper studies primitivity properties of atom Watson-Crick Fibonacci words.

It is well known that for all $n \geq 1$, the $n$-th atom Fibonacci word is primitive [8].

The qualifier "atom" indicates that the two initial words of the Fibonacci recursion are singleton letters and, as a consequence, all atom Fibonacci words are over a two-letter alphabet. In this paper, we present an exhaustive study of the primitivity properties of atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words for all morphic and antimorphic involutions $\phi$ over a fourletter alphabet. Section 3 studies the primitivity of $\phi$-Fibonacci words when the two initial letters are different from each other, while Section 4 studies the primitivity of $\phi$-Fibonacci words when the two initial letters coincide. As it turns out, the situation is different from the classical case of atom Fibonacci words, in that for some morphic or antimorphic involutions $\phi$, some initial Fibonacci letters, and some indices $n$, we have that the $n$-th $\phi$-Fibonacci word is primitive, while in other situations it is not primitive (see Tables 8 and 12 for a summary). In the particular case of the Watson-Crick complementarity antimorphic involution $\theta_{D N A}$ over the DNA alphabet $\Delta$, our results imply that regardless of the two initial letters of the Fibonacci recursion (different, or the same), for all $n>3$, the $n$-th atom Watson-Crick Fibonacci word is primitive.

## 2. Preliminaries

An alphabet $\Sigma$ is a finite non-empty set of symbols or letters, and $\Sigma^{*}$ denotes the set of all words over $\Sigma$ including the empty word $\lambda$, while $\Sigma^{+}$is the set of all non-empty words over $\Sigma$. The length of a word $u \in \Sigma^{*}$ (i.e., the number of symbols in a word) is denoted by $|u|$. We denote by $|u|_{a}$, the number of occurrences of the letter $a$ in $u$ and by $\operatorname{Alph}(u)$, the set of all symbols occurring in $u$. Throughout the paper, we either use the convention that the set $\Sigma_{4}$ denotes an alphabet consisting of exactly 4 (distinct) letters, or use the DNA alphabet $\Delta=\{A, C, G, T\}$.

A word $w \in \Sigma^{+}$is said to be primitive if $w=u^{i}$ implies $w=u$ and $i=1$. Let $Q$ denote the set of all primitive words. For every word $w \in \Sigma^{+}$, there exists a unique word $\rho(w) \in \Sigma^{+}$, called the primitive root of $w$, such that $\rho(w) \in Q$ and $w=\rho(w)^{n}$ for some $n \geq 1$.

The left derivative of a language $L$ with respect to a word $w$ is defined as $\partial_{w}^{l} L=\{u \in$ $\left.\Sigma^{*} \mid w u \in L\right\}$, and the right derivative is defined analogously, see [4]. Since in this paper we only use the left derivative, the superscript $l$ will be omitted, and we will denote the left derivative of a language $L$ with respect to a word $w$ simply by $\partial_{w} L$.

A function $h: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma^{*}$ is called a morphism on $\Sigma^{*}$ if for all words $u, v \in \Sigma^{*}$ we have that $\phi(u v)=\phi(u) \phi(v)$, and an antimorphism on $\Sigma^{*}$ if $\phi(u v)=\phi(v) \phi(u)$. A function $f$ is called an involution if $f(f(x))=x$ for all $x$ in the domain of $f$. A function $\phi: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma^{*}$ is called a morphic involution on $\Sigma^{*}$ (respectively, an antimorphic involution on $\Sigma^{*}$ ) if it is an involution on $\Sigma$ extended to a morphism (respectively, to an antimorphism) on $\Sigma^{*}$. For convenience, in the remainder of this paper, we use the convention that the letter $\phi$ denotes an involution that is either morphic or antimorphic (such a function will be termed an (anti)morphic involution), that the letter $\theta$ denotes an antimorphic involution, and that the letter $\mu$ denotes a morphic involution.

A word $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ is called a palindrome if $w=w^{R}$, where the reverse, or mirror image operator is defined as $\lambda=\lambda^{R}$ and $\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{R}=a_{n} \ldots a_{2} a_{1}$, when $a_{i} \in \Sigma$ for all $1 \leq i \leq$ $n$. A word $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ is called a $\phi$-palindrome if $w=\phi(w)$, and the set of all $\phi$-palindromes is denoted by $P_{\phi}$. If $\phi=\mu$ is a morphic involution on $\Sigma^{*}$ then the only $\mu$-palindromes
are the words over $\Sigma^{\prime}$, where $\Sigma^{\prime} \subseteq \Sigma$, and $\mu$ is the identity on $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Lastly, if $\phi=\theta$ is the identity function on $\Sigma$ extended to an antimorphism on $\Sigma^{*}$, then a $\theta$-palindrome is a classical palindrome, while if $\phi=\mu$ is the identity function on $\Sigma$ extended to a morphism on $\Sigma^{*}$, then every word is a $\mu$-palindrome.

The standard Fibonacci words $f_{n}(u, v)$, for the particular case when $u, v \in \Sigma$, were first introduced in [16, 17] and studied in, e.g. [1,2,8, 21, 27, 28].

Definition 1. Let $\Sigma$ be an alphabet with $|\Sigma| \geq 2$ and let $u, v \in \Sigma^{+}$with $u \neq v$. The $n$-th standard Fibonacci words are defined recursively as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}(u, v)=u, f_{2}(u, v)=v, \\
f_{n}(u, v)=f_{n-1}(u, v) \cdot f_{n-2}(u, v), n \geq 3 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The sequence of standard Fibonacci words is defined as $F(u, v)=\left\{f_{n}(u, v)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$, i.e., $F(u, v)=\{u, v, v u, v u v, v u v v u, v u v v u v u v, v u v v u v u v v u v v u, \ldots\}$. Similarly, the $n$-th reverse Fibonacci words are defined recursively as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}^{\prime}(u, v)=u, f_{2}^{\prime}(u, v)=v, \\
f_{n}^{\prime}(u, v)=f_{n-2}^{\prime}(u, v) \cdot f_{n-1}^{\prime}(u, v), n \geq 3,
\end{gathered}
$$

and the sequence of the reverse Fibonacci words is defined as $F^{\prime}(u, v)=\left\{f_{n}^{\prime}(u, v)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$, that is, $F^{\prime}(u, v)=\{u, v, u v, v u v, u v v u v, v u v u v v u v, u v v u v v u v u v v u v, \ldots\}$.

If the initial words $u$ and $v$ are singleton letters, the resulting words are called atom standard Fibonacci words and, respectively, atom reverse Fibonacci words.

Note that the length of the $n$-th atom Fibonacci word $f_{n}$ is in fact the Fibonacci number $F_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$. The following observations will be used in the remainder of the paper.

Lemma 2. For $n, m \geq 1$, the following hold.
(I) $\operatorname{gcd}(n, n+1)=1$.
(II) For $m$ even, if $\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)=1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(n, \frac{m}{2}\right)=1$.
(III) $\operatorname{gcd}(n, n+2)$ is 1 if $n$ is odd and 2 if $n$ is even.

We will also make use of the following identities on Fibonacci number $F_{n}$, that can be proved using Lemma 2 and induction.

Lemma 3. For all $n \geq 1$, the following identities hold.
(I) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{n+1}\right)=1$.
(II) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, \frac{F_{n+1}}{2}\right)=1$ for $F_{n+1}$ even.
(III) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{F_{n}}{2}, F_{n+1}\right)=1$ for $F_{n}$ even.
(Iv) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}-1, F_{n}+1\right)$ is 1 if $F_{n}$ is even and 2 if $F_{n}$ is odd.
(v) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{F_{n}-1}{2}, \frac{F_{n}+1}{2}\right)=1$ if $F_{n}$ is odd.

We recall the definition of involutive Fibonacci words, recently introduced in [15].

Definition 4. [15] Let $\Sigma$ be an alphabet with $|\Sigma| \geq 2$, let $\phi$ be an (anti)morphic involution on $\Sigma^{*}$, and $u, v \in \Sigma^{+}$. If the first two $\phi$-Fibonacci words are $u$, respectively, $v$, the three types of $n$-th standard $\phi$-Fibonacci words, $g_{n}^{\phi}(u, v), w_{n}^{\phi}(u, v), z_{n}^{\phi}(u, v), n \geq 3$, are defined recursively as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{n}^{\phi}(u, v) & =\phi\left(g_{n-1}^{\phi}(u, v)\right) \cdot g_{n-2}^{\phi}(u, v) \\
w_{n}^{\phi}(u, v) & =\phi\left(w_{n-1}^{\phi}(u, v)\right) \cdot \phi\left(w_{n-2}^{\phi}(u, v)\right) \\
z_{n}^{\phi}(u, v) & =z_{n-1}^{\phi}(u, v) \cdot \phi\left(z_{n-2}^{\phi}(u, v)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Similarly, the three types of $n$-th reverse $\phi$-Fibonacci words, $\left[g_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime},\left[w_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime}$, $\left[z_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime}, n \geq 3$, are defined recursively as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
{\left[g_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime}} & =\left[g_{n-2}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime} \cdot \phi\left(\left[g_{n-1}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime}\right) & \text { (reverse alternating } \phi \text {-Fibonacci words), } \\
{\left[w_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime}} & =\phi\left(\left[w_{n-2}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime}\right) \cdot \phi\left(\left[w_{n-1}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime}\right) & (\text { reverse palindromic } \phi \text {-Fibonacci words), } \\
{\left[z_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime}} & =\phi\left(\left[z_{n-2}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left[z_{n-1}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime} & & \text { (reverse hairpin } \phi \text {-Fibonacci words). }
\end{array}
$$

If the first two words of the sequence are singleton letters in $\Sigma$, the $\phi$-Fibonacci words will be called atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words.

In the remainder of this paper, when the particular (anti)morphic involution $\phi$ involved in the Fibonacci recursion needs to be emphasized, we will use the notation $g_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)$, or $w_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)$, or $z_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)$ to denote the corresponding $\phi$-Fibonacci words for $n \geq 1$. However, if either the initial words $u$ and $v$ or the mapping $\phi$ are clear from the context (as is the case in Definition 4), they will sometimes be omitted.

In the sequel, we will often have to make statements that hold for several types of $\phi$-Fibonacci words. For brevity, we will use the notational convention that a statement of the type " $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$ " means either we have that $\alpha_{n}=g_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, or that $\alpha_{n}=w_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, or that $\alpha_{n}=z_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Similar to the case of the atom Fibonacci words defined in Definition 1, the length of the $n$-th atom $\phi$-Fibonacci word $\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(a, b)$ is the Fibonacci number $F_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$, where $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Given a set $\Sigma_{4}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$, out of all possible permutations of $\Sigma_{4}$ of the form

$$
\phi=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} \\
\phi\left(x_{1}\right) & \phi\left(x_{2}\right) & \phi\left(x_{3}\right) & \phi\left(x_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right),
$$

there are 10 mappings that are involutions on $\Sigma_{4}$. We denote them by $\phi_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 10$, and they are listed in Table 1 .

Note that the mapping $\phi_{1}$ is the involution whereby all letters are mapped to themselves (the identity on $\Sigma_{4}$ ). The mappings $\phi_{i}, 2 \leq i \leq 7$, are the involutions whereby two of the letters are mapped to each other, and the other two are mapped to themselves. The mappings $\phi_{8}, \phi_{9}, \phi_{10}$, are the only involutions whereby two of the letters are mapped to each other, and the other two letters are also mapped to each other.

Throughout this paper, we use the convention that for a sequence ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ ) of letters from $\Sigma_{4}$, we have $x_{i} \neq x_{j}$ whenever $i \neq j$. In the particular case of the DNA

|  | $\phi_{1}$ | $\phi_{2}$ | $\phi_{3}$ | $\phi_{4}$ | $\phi_{5}$ | $\phi_{6}$ | $\phi_{7}$ | $\phi_{8}$ | $\phi_{9}$ | $\phi_{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{3}$ |
| $x_{3}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ |
| $x_{4}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{1}$ |

Table 1: List of all possible involutions over the set $\Sigma_{4}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$. If the letter in the cell of the column of $\phi_{i}$ and row of $x_{j}$ is $x_{k}$, where $1 \leq i \leq 10$ and $1 \leq j, k \leq 4$, this denotes that $\phi_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)=x_{k}$. For example, $\phi_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)=x_{1}$.
alphabet, that is, where $\Sigma_{4}=\Delta=\{A, C, G, T\}$, there are a total of 4 ! $=24$ possibilities for the choice of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ for $x_{i} \neq x_{j}, i \neq j$. For each such choice of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$, the Watson-Crick involution $\theta_{D N A}$ will coincide, on $\Delta^{*}$, with one of $\phi_{8}, \phi_{9}, \phi_{10}$. For example, if we fix $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=(A, C, G, T)$, then $\phi_{10}$ coincides with $\theta_{D N A}$ on $\Delta^{*}$, whereas if we fix $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=(C, G, A, T)$, then $\phi_{8}$ coincides with $\theta_{D N A}$ on $\Delta^{*}$, and if we fix $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=(A, C, T, G)$, then $\phi_{9}$ coincides with $\theta_{D N A}$ on $\Delta^{*}$.

Table 2 illustrates a particular case of Table 1 where $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=(A, C, G, T)$, and for this example we list all possible mappings $\phi$ on $\Delta$ that can be extended to an (anti)morphic involution on $\Delta^{*}$.

|  | $\phi_{1}$ | $\phi_{2}$ | $\phi_{3}$ | $\phi_{4}$ | $\phi_{5}$ | $\phi_{6}$ | $\phi_{7}$ | $\phi_{8}$ | $\phi_{9}$ | $\phi_{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A | C | G | T | A | A | A | C | G | T |
| C | C | A | C | C | G | T | C | A | T | G |
| G | G | G | A | G | C | G | T | T | A | C |
| T | T | T | T | A | T | C | G | G | C | A |

Table 2: List of all possible involutions over the DNA alphabet $\Delta=\{A, C, G, T\}$.

In the remainder of the paper, the mapping $\phi_{i}$ on $\Delta$ extended to a morphic involution on $\Delta^{*}$ will be denoted by $\mu_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 10$, and similarly, the mapping $\phi_{i}$ on $\Delta$ extended to an antimorphic involution on $\Delta^{*}$ will be denoted by $\theta_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 10$. Note that for $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=(A, C, G, T)$, the morphic involution $\mu_{1}$ is the identity on $\Delta^{*}$, the antimorphic involution $\theta_{1}$ is the mirror image, and the antimorphic involution $\theta_{10}=$ $\theta_{D N A}$ formalizes the Watson-Crick complementarity of DNA strings in $\Delta^{*}$, see [13, 22].

It is well known that atom Fibonacci words are primitive [8]. In this paper, we study the primitivity of $n$-th atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words for all possible involution mappings $\phi$ on a four-letter alphabet (see Table 1), and all $n \geq 1$. Note that the first and second atom $\phi$ Fibonacci words are singleton letters and hence primitive, and therefore we only need to prove primitivity results for $n \geq 3$. We recall the following from [8, 15, 18, 31].

Theorem 5. [8] For $n \geq 1$, the atom Fibonacci word $f_{n}$ is primitive.
Lemma 6. 18 Let $x, y \in \Sigma^{+}$be two non-empty words.
(I) If $x y=p^{i}, p \in Q, i \geq 1$, then, $y x=q^{i}$ for some $q \in Q$.
(II) If $x y=y x$, then $\rho(x)=\rho(y)$.

Proposition 7. [31] Let $p$ and $q$ be primitive and $d=\operatorname{gcd}(|p|,|q|)$. If $p^{m}=q x$, for $m \geq 2$ with $q=x y$, for $y \in \Sigma^{+}$and $|x| \geq|p|-d$, then $p=q$.

Proposition 8. [15] Let $u, v \in \Sigma^{+}$and $\phi$ be an (anti)morphic involution on $\Sigma^{*}$ such that $\phi(u)=v$. Then, for all $n \geq 3$, we have:
(I) If $n$ is odd, $g_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)=u^{F_{n}}$, and if $n$ is even, $g_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)=v^{F_{n}}$.
(II) If $\phi=\theta$ is an antimorphic involution and $u$ and $v$ are palindromes, then we have $w_{n}^{\theta}(u, v)=(u v)^{i}$, where $n \bmod 3=0, i=\frac{\left|F_{n}\right|}{2}$.

Theorem 9. [15] Let $\phi=\mu$ be a morphic involution on $\Sigma^{*}, u, v \in \Sigma^{+}$, and $\left(\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right) \in$ $\left\{\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right),\left(g_{n}, f_{n}\right),\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right),\left(w_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right\}$, for all $n \geq 1$. Then, for all $n \geq 1$, we have $\alpha_{n}^{\mu}(u, v)=$ $\beta_{n}^{\mu}(u, \mu(v))$ if $n$ is odd, and $\alpha_{n}^{\mu}(u, v)=\beta_{n}^{\mu}(\mu(u), v)$ otherwise.
Theorem 10. [15] Let $\phi$ be an (anti)morphic involution on $\Sigma^{*}, \alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$, and $u, v \in \Sigma^{+}$be two palindromes. Then, for all $n \geq 1$, we have $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime}=$ $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{R}$.

From Theorem 10 and the fact that words of length 1 are palindromes, we have the following observation.

Lemma 11. Let $\phi$ be an (anti)morphic involution on $\Sigma^{*}, \alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$, and $a, b \in \Sigma$. For $n \geq 1$, the atom standard $\phi$-Fibonacci word $\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(a, b)$ is primitive iff the atom reverse $\phi$-Fibonacci word $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(a, b)\right]^{\prime}$ is primitive.

By Lemma 11 it is sufficient to discuss the primitivity of atom standard $\phi$-Fibonacci words.

Lastly, in the remainder of this paper, we will make an extensive use of the following result.

Lemma 12. For $a$ word $x$ over an alphabet $\Sigma$, we have that if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(|x|_{a},|x|_{b}\right)=1$ for any two letters $a, b \in \Sigma$, then $x$ is primitive.

Proof. We prove the contrapositive. By definition, if $x$ is not primitive, then it can be written as $x=p^{i}$, where $p \in Q$ and $i>1$. For all pairs of letters $a, b \in \operatorname{Alph}(x)$, we have $|x|_{a}=i \cdot|p|_{a}$ and $|x|_{b}=i \cdot|p|_{b}$. Therefore, we have, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(|x|_{a},|x|_{b}\right)$ is a multiple of $i$, thus, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(|x|_{a},|x|_{b}\right) \neq 1$. For all pairs of letters $a, b \in \Sigma$, if one of the letters is not in $\operatorname{Alph}(x)$, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(|x|_{a},|x|_{b}\right) \neq 1$.

## 3. Primitivity of atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words with different initial letters

In this section, we discuss the primitivity of atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(a, b)$ with different initial letters $a, b \in \Sigma_{4}$, for all $n \geq 1$, where $\phi$ is an (anti)morphic involution on $\Sigma_{4}^{*}$, and $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. We first show that if we have an alphabet $\Sigma_{4}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ and a sequence ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ ), if we choose $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ as the two
initial letters of the $\phi$-Fibonacci sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}^{\phi}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$, it is enough to discuss the primitivity properties of atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words for the mappings $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}, \phi_{10}$.

Note that if $\phi=\mu_{1}$, the identity function on $\Sigma_{4}^{*}$, and $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$, we have that the atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\mu_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ coincide with the classical Fibonacci words $f_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, which are primitive when $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \Sigma_{4}$, for all $n \geq 1$, see [8].

Lastly, note that the proofs for the results of this section hold for any choice of ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ ) and with $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ as the initial letters of the $\phi$-Fibonacci sequence: This justifies stating/proving the subsequent results for only one of the cases (usually the sequence ( $A, C, G, T$ ) with $A$ and $C$ as the two initial letters).

In the sequel, we denote by $[x]_{a \rightarrow b}$ the word obtained from $x$ by replacing all occurrences of $a$ in $x$ by $b$, and denote by $[x]_{a \rightleftarrows b}$ the word obtained from $x$ by replacing all occurrences of $a$ by $b$ and all occurrences of $b$ by $a$. For example, if $x=a b b a b$ then $[x]_{a \rightarrow b}=[a b b a b]_{a \rightarrow b}=b b b b b$ and $[x]_{a \rightleftarrows b}=[a b b a b]_{a \rightleftarrows b}=b a a b a$. We first observe the following.

Lemma 13. Let $a, b \in \Sigma$ and $x \in \Sigma^{*}$. We have that:
(I) $[x]_{a \rightleftarrows b}=[x]_{b \rightleftarrows a}$.
(II) If $b \notin \operatorname{Alph}(x)$, we have that $[x]_{a \rightarrow b}=[x]_{a \rightleftarrows b}$.
(III) If $b \notin \operatorname{Alph}(x)$, we have $\left[[x]_{a \rightarrow b}\right]_{b \rightarrow a}=x$.

Lemma 14. Let $a, b \in \Sigma, x \in \Sigma^{*}$ and $i \geq 0$. We have that $\left[x^{i}\right]_{a \rightarrow b}=\left([x]_{a \rightarrow b}\right)^{i}$ and $\left[x^{i}\right]_{a \rightleftarrows b}=\left([x]_{a \rightleftarrows b}\right)^{i}$.

Lemma 15. Let $a, b \in \Sigma$ and $x \in \Sigma^{*}$. We have that:
(I) If $b \notin \operatorname{Alph}(x)$, then $x$ is primitive iff $[x]_{a \rightarrow b}$ is primitive.
(II) If $a, b \in \operatorname{Alph}(x)$, then $x$ is primitive iff $[x]_{a \rightleftarrows b}$ is primitive.

Proof. For statement (I), if $a \notin \operatorname{Alph}(x)$, then $x=[x]_{a \rightarrow b}$ and hence $x$ is primitive iff $[x]_{a \rightarrow b}$ is primitive. Let, $a \in \operatorname{Alph}(x)$. Assume $x$ is not primitive but $[x]_{a \rightarrow b}$ is primitive. Since $x$ is not primitive, we have $x=q^{i}$, where $q \in Q$ and $i \geq 2$. By Lemma 14, we have $[x]_{a \rightarrow b}=\left[q^{i}\right]_{a \rightarrow b}=\left([q]_{a \rightarrow b}\right)^{i}$, which is a contradiction. The case where $x$ is primitive but $[x]_{a \rightarrow b}$ is not primitive can be proved similarly. Therefore, $x$ is primitive iff $[x]_{a \rightarrow b}$ is primitive. The statement (II) can be proved similarly using Lemma 14 .

Theorem 16. Let $\phi_{i} \in\left\{\mu_{i}, \theta_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq 10$, be an (anti)morphic involution on $\Sigma_{4}^{*}$ and $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for $n \geq 1$. For $n \geq 1$, the following statements hold for $\phi_{i}$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{i}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ :
(I) $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{4} \rightarrow x_{3}}$ is primitive iff $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is primitive.
(II) $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{5}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{3} \rightarrow x_{4}}$ is primitive iff $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{5}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is primitive.
(III) $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{4} \rightleftarrows x_{3}}$ is primitive iff $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is primitive.

Proof.
(I) Let $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. One can easily prove by induction that, for all $n \geq 1$, we have $x_{3} \notin \operatorname{Alph}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$. Hence by Lemma 15, the statement holds.
(II) Let $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. One can prove by induction that $x_{4} \notin$ $\operatorname{Alph}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{5}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence by Lemma 15, the statement holds.
(III) We have two cases. The first one is when $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. In this case, if $1 \leq n \leq 4$, the statement can be easily verified. If $n \geq 5$, then one can easily prove by induction that $x_{3}, x_{4} \in \operatorname{Alph}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$. Thus, the statement holds by Lemma 15

The second case is when $\alpha_{n}=g_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. In this case, one can first prove by induction that, for all $n \geq 1$, we have $x_{3} \notin \operatorname{Alph}\left(g_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ if $n$ is even, and $x_{4} \notin \operatorname{Alph}\left(g_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ if $n$ is odd. Using this fact, if $n$ is even then by Lemma 13. we have $\left[g_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{4} \rightleftarrows x_{3}}=\left[g_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{3} \rightleftarrows x_{4}}=\left[g_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{3} \rightarrow x_{4}}$. Also if $n$ is even, then by Lemma 15, we have that $\left[g_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{4} \rightleftarrows x_{3}}=\left[g_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{3} \rightarrow x_{4}}$ is primitive iff $g_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is primitive. The case where $n$ is odd can be proved similarly.

We now show the equivalence of various $\phi$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ for certain values of $\phi \in\left\{\phi_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 10\right\}$. We use the following lemma, which can be proved easily by induction on $n$.

Lemma 17. Let $\phi_{i} \in\left\{\mu_{i}, \theta_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq 10$, be an (anti)morphic involution on $\Sigma_{4}^{*}$ and $\alpha_{n} \in$ $\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for $n \geq 1$. The following equalities hold, for $\phi_{i}$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{i}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and for all $n \geq 1$ :
(I) $\phi_{1}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\phi_{7}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ iff $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
(II) $\phi_{2}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\phi_{8}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{8}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ iff $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{8}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
(III) $\left[\phi_{4}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\right]_{x_{4} \rightarrow x_{3}}=\phi_{3}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ iff $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{4} \rightarrow x_{3}}=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
(IV) $\left[\phi_{5}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{5}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\right]_{x_{3} \rightarrow x_{4}}=\phi_{6}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{6}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ iff $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{5}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{3} \rightarrow x_{4}}=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{6}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
(v) $\left[\phi_{10}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\right]_{x_{4} \rightleftarrows x_{3}}=\phi_{9}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{9}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ iff $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{4} \rightleftarrows x_{3}}=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{9}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

Proof.
We only prove statement (I), by induction, and it is sufficient to prove it for one of $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$ since the other cases are similar. Let $\phi_{1}$ be a morphic involution, and, without loss of generality, let $\alpha_{n}=z_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. By Definition 4 and Table 1, the result holds for $n=1$ and $n=2$. For the inductive step, assume that $\phi_{1}\left(z_{i}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\phi_{7}\left(z_{i}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ iff $z_{i}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=z_{i}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ for all $3 \leq i<k$. We now have to prove that the equivalence holds for $k$.
For the direct implication, assume $\phi_{1}\left(z_{k}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\phi_{7}\left(z_{k}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$. Therefore, we have $\phi_{1}\left(z_{k}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\phi_{1}\left(z_{k-1}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \phi_{1}\left(z_{k-2}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\right)=\phi_{1}\left(z_{k-1}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) z_{k-2}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, and similarly we have $\phi_{7}\left(z_{k}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\phi_{7}\left(z_{k-1}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) z_{k-2}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. By $\phi_{1}\left(z_{k}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\phi_{7}\left(z_{k}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$, and the fact that $\left|z_{k-2}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|=\left|z_{k-2}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|$, we have $z_{k-2}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=z_{k-2}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $\phi_{7}\left(z_{k-1}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\phi_{1}\left(z_{k-1}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$. Therefore, we have $z_{k}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=z_{k-1}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \phi_{1}\left(z_{k-2}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=z_{k-1}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \phi_{7}\left(z_{k-2}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=z_{k}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ by inductive hypothesis.

For the converse implication, assume $z_{k}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=z_{k}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. Therefore, we have $z_{k}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=z_{k-1}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \phi_{1}\left(z_{k-2}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$, and similarly we have $z_{k}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=z_{k-1}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \phi_{7}\left(z_{k-2}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) . \quad$ By $z_{k}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=z_{k}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, and the fact that $\left|z_{k-1}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|=\left|z_{k-1}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|$, we have $z_{k-1}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=$ $z_{k-1}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \quad$ and $\phi_{7}\left(z_{k-2}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\phi_{1}\left(z_{k-2}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) . \quad$ Therefore, we have $\phi_{1}\left(z_{k}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\phi_{1}\left(z_{k-1}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \phi_{1}\left(z_{k-2}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\right)=\phi_{1}\left(z_{k-1}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) z_{k-2}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=$ $\phi_{7}\left(z_{k-1}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) z_{k-2}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\phi_{7}\left(z_{k-1}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \phi_{7}\left(z_{k-2}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\right)=\phi_{7}\left(z_{k}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ by inductive hypothesis. The case when $\phi_{1}$ is an antimorphic involution is similar.

We now prove the following.
Lemma 18. Let $\phi_{i} \in\left\{\mu_{i}, \theta_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq 10$, be an (anti)morphic involution on $\Sigma_{4}^{*}$ and $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for $n \geq 1$. For all $n \geq 1$, the following equalities hold for $\phi_{i}$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{i}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ :
(I) $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
(II) $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{8}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
(III) $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{4} \rightarrow x_{3}}=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
(iv) $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{5}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{3} \rightarrow x_{4}}=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{6}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
(v) $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{4} \rightleftarrows x_{3}}=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{9}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

Proof. We only prove statement (I), by induction, as the other cases are similar. By Definition 4, the result holds for $n=1$ and $n=2$. We assume $\alpha_{i}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\alpha_{i}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ holds for $3 \leq i<k$. It is enough to prove for one of $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Without loss of generality, let $\alpha_{n}=z_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then, $\alpha_{k}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=z_{k}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=$ $z_{k-1}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \phi_{1}\left(z_{k-2}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$. By inductive hypothesis and by Lemma 17 we have,

$$
z_{k}^{\phi_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=z_{k-1}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \phi_{7}\left(z_{k-2}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=z_{k}^{\phi_{7}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) .
$$

Hence, the result.
As a consequence of Theorem 16 and Lemma 18 , we only need to study the primitivity of atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ for all $n \geq 1$, when $\phi \in$ $\left\{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}\right\}$, and $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Note that the results obtained above hold for any choice of ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ ) and with $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ as the initial letters of the $\phi$-Fibonacci sequence. Therefore, in the remainder of this section, we will only prove primitivity results about one of the cases, namely the sequence $(A, C, G, T)$ over the DNA alphabet $\Delta$, with $A$ and $C$ as the two initial letters.

### 3.1. Atom alternating $\phi$-Fibonacci words

We first discuss the primitivity of atom alternating $\phi$-Fibonacci words $g_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$.
In Table 3, we give the first few values of the sequences $\left\{g_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ for (anti)morphic involutions $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}\right\}$. We recall the following lemma from [15].

| $\phi$ | $g_{3}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $g_{4}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $g_{5}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $g_{6}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $g_{7}^{\phi}(A, C)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{1}$ | $C A$ | $C A C$ | $C A C C A$ | CACCACAC | CACCACACCACCA |
| $\mu_{2}$ | $A A$ | $C C C$ | $A A A A A$ | CCCCCCCC | AAAAAAAAAAAAA |
| $\mu_{4}$ | $C A$ | $C T C$ | $C A C C A$ | CTCCTCTC | CACCACACCACCA |
| $\mu_{5}$ | $G A$ | $C A C$ | $G A G G A$ | CACCACAC | GAGGAGAGGAGGA |
| $\mu_{10}$ | $G A$ | $C T C$ | $G A G G A$ | CTCCTCTC | GAGGAGAGGAGGA |
| $\theta_{1}$ | $C A$ | $A C C$ | $C C A C A$ | ACACCACC | CCACCACACCACA |
| $\theta_{2}$ | $A A$ | $C C C$ | AAAAA | CCCCCCCC | AAAAAAAAAAAAA |
| $\theta_{4}$ | $C A$ | $T C C$ | $C C A C A$ | $T C T C C T C C$ | CCACCACACCACA |
| $\theta_{5}$ | $G A$ | $A C C$ | $G G A G A$ | ACACCACC | GGAGGAGAGGAGA |
| $\theta_{10}$ | $G A$ | $T C C$ | $G G A G A$ | TCTCCTCC | GGAGGAGAGGAGA |

Table 3: List of words $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$, where $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}\right\}$.

Lemma 19. 15] Let $\Sigma=\{A, C\}$, and $f_{1}=A$ and $f_{2}=C$. Then, for $n \geq 3$, we have that $f_{n}=s_{n} d_{n}$ and $f_{n}^{\prime}=d_{n}^{\prime} s_{n}$ where $d_{n}^{\prime}=d_{n}^{R}$ such that $s_{n}$ is a palindrome, and $d_{n}=A C$ if $n$ is even, while $d_{n}=C A$ if $n$ is odd.

Using Lemma 19, we prove the following theorem. Note that the cyclic shift by 1 position from the front of a word $x \in \Sigma^{*}$ to the end of it, can be represented by a composition of (left) derivative, concatenation and finite union, that is, by $\bigcup_{a \in \Sigma}\left(\partial_{a} x\right) a$.

Theorem 20. Let $\phi=\theta_{1}$. For all $n \geq 1$, the atom $\phi$-Fibonacci word $g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, C)$ is a conjugate of $f_{n}^{\prime}(A, C)$. More precisely,

$$
g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\partial_{C} f_{n}^{\prime}\right) C: n \bmod 2=0, \\
\left(\partial_{A} f_{n}^{\prime}\right) A: n \bmod 2=1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. For $1 \leq n \leq 7$, this can be easily checked from Table 3. Assume the statement holds for $g_{i}^{\theta_{1}}$, where $7 \leq i<k$. We now prove this for $g_{k}$. Without loss of generality, let $k$ be even. Then, by definition and Lemma 19 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{k}^{\theta_{1}} & =\theta_{1}\left(g_{k-1}^{\theta_{1}}\right) g_{k-2}^{\theta_{1}}=\left(g_{k-1}^{\theta_{1}}\right)^{R} g_{k-2}^{\theta_{1}}=\left(\left(\partial_{A} f_{k-1}^{\prime}\right) A\right)^{R} \cdot\left(\left(\partial_{C} f_{k-2}^{\prime}\right) C\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\left(\partial_{A} f_{k-3}^{\prime} f_{k-2}^{\prime}\right)\right) A\right)^{R} \cdot\left(\left(\partial_{C} f_{k-2}^{\prime}\right) C\right)=A\left(C s_{k-3} C A s_{k-2}\right)^{R} A s_{k-2} C \\
& =A s_{k-2} A C s_{k-3} C A s_{k-2} C=A s_{k-2}\left(f_{k-3}^{\prime} f_{k-2}^{\prime}\right) C=A s_{k-2} f_{k-1}^{\prime} C \\
& =\left(\partial_{C} C A s_{k-2} f_{k-1}^{\prime}\right) C=\left(\partial_{C} f_{k-2}^{\prime} f_{k-1}^{\prime}\right) C=\left(\partial_{C} f_{k}^{\prime}\right) C .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 5] and Lemma 6, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 21. Let $\phi=\theta_{1}$. For all $n \geq 1$, the atom $\phi$-Fibonacci word $g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, C)$ is primitive.

We now use the following lemma which is a generalized version of a result proved in [15]. The result was proved in [15] when $\phi$ is a morphic involution. We show that the result also holds when $\phi$ is an antimorphic involution.

Lemma 22. Let $\phi$ be an (anti)-morphic involution on $\Sigma^{*}$, let $\mu_{1}$ be a morphic involution on $\Sigma^{*}$ such that $\mu_{1} \phi=\phi \mu_{1}$, and let $u, v \in \Sigma^{+}$. If $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{f_{n}, g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$, then for all $n \geq 1$, we have $\mu_{1}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)\right)=\alpha_{n}^{\phi}\left(\mu_{1}(u), \mu_{1}(v)\right)$, and $\mu_{1}\left(\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(u, v)\right]^{\prime}\right)=\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi}\left(\mu_{1}(u), \mu_{1}(v)\right)\right]^{\prime}$.

Proof. We consider the standard $\phi$-Fibonacci words $g_{n}$. The proof is by induction on $n$. By definition of $g_{n}$ (Definition 4), we have $\mu_{1}\left(g_{1}(u, v)\right)=\mu_{1}(u)=g_{1}\left(\mu_{1}(u), \mu_{1}(v)\right)$, and $\mu_{1}\left(g_{2}(u, v)\right)=\mu_{1}(v)=g_{2}\left(\mu_{1}(u), \mu_{1}(v)\right)$, so the base case holds. Assume that $\mu_{1}\left(g_{i}(u, v)\right)=g_{i}\left(\mu_{1}(u), \mu_{1}(v)\right)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Using the definition of $g_{n}$ (Definition 4), the fact that $\mu_{1}$ is a morphism, and the induction hypothesis, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{1}\left(g_{k+1}(u, v)\right) & =\mu_{1}\left(\phi\left(g_{k}(u, v)\right) \cdot g_{k-1}(u, v)\right) \\
& =\phi\left(\mu_{1}\left(g_{k}(u, v)\right)\right) \cdot \mu_{1}\left(g_{k-1}(u, v)\right) \\
& =\phi\left(g_{k}\left(\mu_{1}(u), \mu_{1}(v)\right)\right) \cdot g_{k-1}\left(\mu_{1}(u), \mu_{1}(v)\right) \\
& =g_{k+1}\left(\mu_{1}(u), \mu_{1}(v)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proofs for other $\phi$-Fibonacci words are similar.
We have the following result which can be proved by induction and Lemma 22 . We first observe that $\theta_{i}=\theta_{1} \mu_{i}=\mu_{i} \theta_{1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 10$.

Lemma 23. For $i \in\{4,5,10\}$ and for all $n \geq 1$, the following relations between the atom alternating $\phi$-Fibonacci words $g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}$ and the atom alternating $\phi$-Fibonacci words $g_{n}^{\theta_{i}}(A, C)$ hold.
(I) If $i=10$, for $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$
g_{n}^{\theta_{10}}(A, C)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(T, C): n \bmod 2=0 \\
g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, G): n \bmod 2=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

(II) If $i=5$, for $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$
g_{n}^{\theta_{5}}(A, C)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, C): n \bmod 2=0 \\
g_{n}^{\theta_{10}}(A, C): n \bmod 2=1
\end{array}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, C): n \bmod 2=0 \\
g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, G): n \bmod 2=1
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

(III) If $i=4$, for $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$
g_{n}^{\theta_{4}}(A, C)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g_{n}^{\theta_{10}}(A, C): n \bmod 2=0 \\
g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, C): n \bmod 2=1
\end{array}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(T, C): n \bmod 2=0 \\
g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, C): n \bmod 2=1
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Proof. We only prove statement (I), by induction on $n$, as the other cases are similar. By definition of $g_{n}$ (Definition 44, the result holds for $n=1$ and $n=2$. Assume the statement to be true for $g_{i}^{\theta_{10}}(A, C)$, where $3 \leq i<k$. If $k$ is even, then $k \bmod 2=0$ and by inductive hypothesis,

$$
g_{k}^{\theta_{10}}(A, C)=\theta_{10}\left(g_{k-1}^{\theta_{10}}(A, C)\right) g_{k-2}^{\theta_{10}}(A, C)=\theta_{10}\left(g_{k-1}^{\theta_{1}}(A, G)\right) g_{k-2}^{\theta_{1}}(T, C)
$$

$$
=\theta_{1}\left(\mu_{10}\left(g_{k-1}^{\theta_{1}}(A, G)\right)\right) g_{k-2}^{\theta_{1}}(T, C)
$$

Then by Lemma 22, we have,
$g_{k}^{\theta_{10}}(A, C)=\theta_{1}\left(g_{k-1}^{\theta_{1}}\left(\mu_{10}(A), \mu_{10}(G)\right)\right) g_{k-2}^{\theta_{1}}(T, C)=\theta_{1}\left(g_{k-1}^{\theta_{1}}(T, C)\right) g_{k-2}^{\theta_{1}}(T, C)=g_{k}^{\theta_{1}}(T, C)$.
The case when $k$ is odd is similar.
Hence, we conclude the following.
Theorem 24. For all $n \geq 1$ and $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}\right\}$, the atom $\phi$-Fibonacci word $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$ is primitive.
Proof. It is clear from Corollary 21, that the atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words $g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(T, C)$, $g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, G)$ and $g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, C)$ are primitive for $n \geq 1$. Hence, from Lemma 23, we conclude that $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$ are primitive for all $n \geq 1$ and $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}\right\}$.

We now show (Theorem 25), that for all $\mu=\mu_{i}, i \in\{4,5,10\}$, the atom $\mu$-Fibonacci words $g_{n}$ are primitive for all $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 25. Let $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}\right\}$. For all $n \geq 1$, the atom $\phi$-Fibonacci word $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$ is primitive.

Proof. By Theorem 9, we have $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)=f_{n}(A, \phi(C))$ if $n$ is odd, and $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)=$ $f_{n}(\phi(A), C)$, otherwise. Note that $\phi(A) \neq C$ and $\phi(C) \neq A$ for $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}\right\}$. Then, by Theorem 5, the word $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$ is primitive for $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}\right\}$ and $n \geq 1$.

We have the following theorem.
Theorem 26. Let $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}$. For all $n \geq 3$, the atom $\phi$-Fibonacci word $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$ is not primitive.

Proof. Note that for $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}, \phi$ maps $A$ to $C$ and vice versa, and hence, by Proposition 8, we have, $g_{n}^{\phi}=A^{F_{n}}$ when $n$ is odd and $g_{n}^{\phi}=C^{F_{n}}$ when $n$ is even. Thus, $g_{n}^{\phi}$ is not primitive for $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}$ for $n \geq 3$.

### 3.2. Atom palindromic $\phi$-Fibonacci words

In this subsection, we discuss the primitivity of atom palindromic $\phi$-Fibonacci words. In Table 4, we give the first few values of the sequences $\left\{w_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ for (anti)morphic involutions $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}\right\}$.

By definition of $\phi$ and $w_{n}^{\phi}$ and using induction, we calculate the number of occurrences of letters in the words $w_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$, for $n \geq 3$ and an (anti)morphic involution $\phi \in\left\{\phi_{1}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}, \phi_{10}\right\}$, and these values are summarized in Table 5 .

We now discuss the primitivity of $w_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, C)$ for all $n \geq 1$, and we use the following lemma.

| $\phi$ | $w_{3}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $w_{4}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $w_{5}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $w_{6}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $w_{7}^{\phi}(A, C)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{1}$ | $A C$ | $C A C$ | $C A C C A$ | CACCACAC | CACCACACCACCA |
| $\mu_{2}$ | $A C$ | $C A A$ | $A C C C A$ | CAAACACC | ACCCACAACAAAC |
| $\mu_{4}$ | $C T$ | $C A C$ | $C T C C A$ | CACCTCTC | CTCCACACCACCT |
| $\mu_{5}$ | $G A$ | $C A G$ | $G A C C A$ | CAGGAGAC | GACCACAGCAGGA |
| $\mu_{10}$ | $G T$ | $C A G$ | $G T C C A$ | CAGGTGTC | GTCCACAGCAGGT |
| $\theta_{1}$ | $C A$ | $A C C$ | $C C A A C$ | CAACCCCA | ACCCCAACCAACC |
| $\theta_{2}$ | $A C$ | $A C A$ | $C A C A C$ | ACACACAC | ACACACACACACA |
| $\theta_{4}$ | $C T$ | $A C C$ | $C C T A C$ | CTACCCCT | ACCCCTACCTACC |
| $\theta_{5}$ | $G A$ | $A C G$ | $C G A A C$ | GAACGCGA | ACGCGAACGAACG |
| $\theta_{10}$ | $G T$ | $A C G$ | $C G T A C$ | GTACGCGT | ACGCGTACGTACG |

Table 4: List of words $w_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$, where $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}\right\}$.

|  | $i=1$ | $i=2$ |  |  | $i=5$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n \bmod 3$ | $\geq 0$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| $\left\|w_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{A}$ | $F_{n-2}$ | $\frac{F_{n}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n}+1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n}-1}{2}$ | $F_{n-2}$ |  |  |
| $\left\|w_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{C}$ | $F_{n-1}$ |  | $\frac{F_{n}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n}+1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-1}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-1}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-1}+1}{2}$ |
| $\left\|w_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{G}$ | - | - |  |  | $\frac{F_{n-1+1}^{2}}{2}$ |  | $\frac{F_{n-1}-1}{2}$ |


|  | $i=4$ |  |  | $i=10$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n \bmod 3$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| $\left\|w_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{A}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}+1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}+1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}}{2}$ |
| $\left\|w_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{C}$ | $F_{n-1}$ |  |  | $\frac{F_{n-1}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-1}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-1}+1}{2}$ |
|  | $\left.\frac{w_{n}}{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{G}$ | - |  |  | $\frac{F_{n-1}-1}{2}$ |  |
| $\left\|w_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{T}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}+1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}+1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}}{2}$ |

Table 5: The numbers of occurrences of letters in the atom palindromic $\phi_{i}$-Fibonacci words $w_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)$ for $\phi_{i} \in\left\{\theta_{i}, \mu_{i}\right\}, i \in\{1,2,4,5,10\}$ and $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 27. Let $\Sigma$ be an alphabet and $a, b \in \Sigma$ be letters. The following hold.
(I) For all $n \geq 1, F_{n} \bmod 2=0$ iff $n \bmod 3=0$.
(II) For $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \mu_{8}\right\}$ and for all $n \geq 2, w_{3 n}^{\phi}(a, b) \neq x \phi(x)$ where $x \in \Sigma^{+}$.

Proof. Statement (I) can be proved easily by induction and using properties of modulo operation. We now prove statement (II) for the case $\phi=\mu_{2}$ (the case when $\phi=\mu_{8}$ is similar). One can easily verify from Table 4 that the statement holds for $n=2$. Assume now that $w_{3 i} \neq x \mu_{2}(x)$, with $x \in \Sigma^{+}$, for all $3 \leq i<k$. Then, by definition of $w_{n}$ (Definition 4) and by the inductive hypothesis, we have $w_{3 k}=\mu_{2}\left(w_{3 k-1}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{3 k-2}\right)=$ $w_{3 k-2} w_{3 k-3} \mu_{2}\left(w_{3 k-2}\right) \neq w_{3 k-2} x \mu_{2}(x) \mu_{2}\left(w_{3 k-2}\right)$. Hence, the result holds.

Proposition 28. Let $\phi=\mu_{2}$. For all $n \geq 1$ such that $n \bmod 3=0$, the atom palindromic $\phi$-Fibonacci word $w_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, C)$ is primitive.

Proof. By Table 4, $w_{n}$ is primitive for $1 \leq n \leq 7$. Suppose $w_{n}$ is not primitive for $n>7$ and $n \bmod 3=0$, then we have $w_{n}=p^{j}$, where $j \geq 2$ and $p \in Q$. By definition of $w_{n}$ (Definition 4), the word $w_{n}$ can be decomposed as $w_{n}=\mu_{2}\left(w_{n-1}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-2}\right)=w_{n-2} w_{n-3} w_{n-3} w_{n-4}=w_{n-4} w_{n-5} \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) w_{n-3} w_{n-3} w_{n-4}$. Let $y=w_{n-4} w_{n-5} \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) w_{n-3} w_{n-3}$ such that $w_{n}=y x$ and $x=w_{n-4}$. Note that $(n-4) \bmod 3=2$ and by Table 5. we have $\left|w_{n}\right|_{A}=\left|w_{n}\right|_{C}$, and $|x|_{A}+1=|x|_{C}$, so $|y|_{A}=|y|_{C}+1$. Hence, by Lemma 3 and Lemma 12, both $y$ and $x$ are primitive. Since $x=w_{n-4}$, we have $|x|=F_{n-4} \geq \frac{7 F_{n-4}-F_{n-8}}{7}-1=\frac{F_{n}}{7}-1$ and for $j \geq 7$ we get, $|x| \geq \frac{F_{n}}{j}-1 \geq \frac{F_{n}}{j}-\operatorname{gcd}(|p|,|y|)=|p|-\operatorname{gcd}(|p|,|y|)$, as $\operatorname{gcd}(|p|,|y|) \geq 1$. Then, by Proposition 7. we have, $p=y$, which is impossible. Hence, $w_{n} \neq p^{j}$ for $j \geq 7$ and $p \in \boldsymbol{Q}$.

We now consider the cases when $2 \leq j \leq 6$. We split it into three cases when $j$ is even, $j=3$ and $j=5$.
(I) If $j$ is even, then $w_{n}$ can be written as $w_{n}=p p$, where $|p|=\frac{F_{n}}{2}>4$. Since by Lemma 27, $\left|w_{n-3}\right|$ is even, there exist $x, y \in \Delta^{+}$such that $|x|=|y|$ and $w_{n-3}=$ $x y$. Then, by definition $w_{n}=w_{n-2} w_{n-3} \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-2}\right)$, we have $p=w_{n-2} x=y \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-2}\right)$ for $w_{n-3}=x y$. Thus, $p=w_{n-2} x=\mu_{2}\left(w_{n-3}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) x=\mu_{2}(x) \mu_{2}(y) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) x=$ $y \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-2}\right)$ implies $y=\mu_{2}(x)$ and $w_{n-3}=x \mu_{2}(x)$ which is a contradiction to Lemma 27, and hence, $j$ cannot be even.
(II) If $j=3$, then by induction, we have $F_{n} \bmod 3=0$ iff $n \bmod 4=0$. Therefore, if $n \bmod 4 \neq 0$, then $w_{n}$ cannot be written as $w_{n}=q^{3}$, where $q \in$ $Q$, so we only need to consider the case where $n \bmod 12=0$. Note that the word $w_{n}$ can be written as $w_{n}=\mu_{2}\left(w_{n-3}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) w_{n-3} w_{n-3} w_{n-4}=$ $w_{n-4} w_{n-5} \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-5}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-5}\right) w_{n-4}=q^{3}$ and $\left|F_{n-4}\right|$ is divisible by 3 . Then, there exist $x, y, r \in \Delta^{+}$such that $|x|=|y|=|r|$ and $w_{n-4}=x y r$ and since $w_{n}=q^{3}$, we have, $q=x y r w_{n-5} \mu_{2}(x y)=\mu_{2}(r) \mu_{2}(x y r) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-5}\right) \mu_{2}(x)=$ $\mu_{2}(y r) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-5}\right) x y r$. Thus, we have, $x=\mu_{2}(y)=\mu_{2}(r)=y$ which is a contradiction as $\mu_{2}$ is not the identity mapping. Hence, $j \neq 3$.
(III) If $j=5$, by induction, we have $F_{n} \bmod 5=0$ iff $n \bmod 5=0$. Therefore, if $n \bmod 5 \neq 0, w_{n}$ cannot be written as $w_{n}=q^{5}$, where $q \in Q$, so we only need to consider the case where $n \bmod 15=0$. Note that the word $w_{n}$ can be written as $w_{n}=w_{n-4} w_{n-5} \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-5}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-5}\right) w_{n-4}=q^{5}$ and $\left|F_{n-5}\right|$ is divisible by 5 . Then, there exist $x, y, r, s, t \in \Delta^{+}$such that $|x|=|y|=|r|=|s|=|t|$ and $w_{n-5}=x y r s t$ and since $w_{n}=q^{5}$, we have, $q=w_{n-4} x y r=s t \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) s^{\prime}=$ $t^{\prime} \mu_{2}(x y r s)=\mu_{2}(t) \mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right) \mu_{2}(x y)=\mu_{2}(r s t) w_{n-4}$ where $\mu_{2}\left(w_{n-4}\right)=s^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ for some $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in \Delta^{+}$. Then, we have, $r=\mu_{2}(r)$ which is a contradiction as $\mu_{2}$ is not the identity mapping. Hence, $j \neq 5$.

Theorem 29. Let $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}\right\}$. The primitivity properties of the atom palindromic $\phi$-Fibonacci words $w_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$, for $n \geq 3$, are as follows:
(I) For $\phi=\theta_{2}$, the atom $\phi$-Fibonacci word $w_{n}^{\theta_{2}}(A, C)$ is primitive iff $n \bmod 3 \neq 0$.
(II) If $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{1}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}\right\}$, the atom $\phi$-Fibonacci word $w_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$ is primitive.

Proof.
(I) Given that $\phi=\theta_{2}$. From Table 4 , we have $w_{3}^{\theta_{2}}=A C$, which is primitive. By Proposition 8, the words $w_{n}^{\theta_{2}}$ are not primitive for $n>3$ and $n \bmod 3=0$. Conversely, if $n \bmod 3 \neq 0$, then by Table $5 \operatorname{gcd}\left(\left|w_{n}^{\theta_{2}}\right|_{A},\left|w_{n}^{\theta_{2}}\right|_{C}\right)=1$, for all $n \geq 3$. Therefore, by Lemma 12 the words $w_{n}^{\theta_{2}}$ are primitive for $n \geq 3$ and $n \bmod 3 \neq 0$.
(II) For $\phi=\mu_{2}$, by Proposition 28, the words $w_{n}^{\mu_{2}}$ are primitive for $n \bmod 3=0$. By Table 5, for the converse and for all other cases of $\phi$, there exist two letters $a, b \in$ $\Delta$, where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left|w_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{a},\left|w_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{b}\right)=1$, for all $n \geq 3$. Therefore, by Lemma 3 and Lemma 12 , the words $w_{n}^{\phi}$ are primitive for all $n \geq 3$.

### 3.3. Atom hairpin $\phi$-Fibonacci words

In Table 6, we begin by giving the first few values of the sequences $\left\{z_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ for (anti)morphic involutions $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}\right\}$.

| $\phi$ | $z_{3}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $z_{4}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $z_{5}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $z_{6}^{\phi}(A, C)$ | $z_{7}^{\phi}(A, C)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{1}$ | $C A$ | $C A C$ | $C A C C A$ | $C A C C A C A C$ | $C A C C A C A C C A C C A$ |
| $\mu_{2}$ | $C C$ | $C C A$ | $C C A A A$ | $C C A A A A A C$ | $C C A A A A A C A A C C C$ |
| $\mu_{4}$ | $C T$ | $C T C$ | $C T C C A$ | $C T C C A C A C$ | $C T C C A C A C C A C C T$ |
| $\mu_{5}$ | $C A$ | $C A G$ | $C A G G A$ | $C A G G A G A C$ | $C A G G A G A C G A C C A$ |
| $\mu_{10}$ | $C T$ | $C T G$ | $C T G G A$ | $C T G G A G A C$ | $C T G G A G A C G A C C T$ |
| $\theta_{1}$ | $C A$ | $C A C$ | $C A C A C$ | $C A C A C C A C$ | $C A C A C C A C C A C A C$ |
| $\theta_{2}$ | $C C$ | $C C A$ | $C C A A A$ | $C C A A A C A A$ | $C C A A A C A A C C C A A$ |
| $\theta_{4}$ | $C T$ | $C T C$ | $C T C A C$ | $C T C A C C A C$ | $C T C A C C A C C T C A C$ |
| $\theta_{5}$ | $C A$ | $C A G$ | $C A G A G$ | $C A G A G C A G$ | $C A G A G C A G C A C A G$ |
| $\theta_{10}$ | $C T$ | $C T G$ | $C T G A G$ | $C T G A G C A G$ | $C T G A G C A G C T C A G$ |

Table 6: List of words $z_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$, where $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}\right\}$.
Similar to that of Table 5, we calculate the number of occurrences of letters in the words $z_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$, for all $n \geq 3$ and $\phi \in\left\{\phi_{1}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}, \phi_{10}\right\}$, as summarized in Table 7

Lemma 30. Let $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{2}, \mu_{2}\right\}$. For all $n>3$, the atom hairpin $\phi$-Fibonacci word $z_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$ cannot be a square.

|  | $i=1$ | $i=2$ |  |  |  |  | $i=5$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n \bmod 6$ | $\geq 0$ | 0 | 1,5 | 2,4 | 3 | 0,5 | 1,4 | 2,3 |  |
| $\left\|z_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{A}$ | $F_{n-2}$ | $\frac{F_{n}}{2}+1$ | $\frac{F_{n}+1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n}}{2}-1$ | $F_{n-2}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left\|z_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{C}$ | $F_{n-1}$ | $\frac{F_{n}}{2}-1$ | $\frac{F_{n}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n}+1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n}}{2}+1$ | $\frac{F_{n-1}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-1}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-1}+1}{2}$ |  |
| $\left\|z_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{G}$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $i=4$ |  |  | $i=10$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n \bmod 6$ | 0,1 | 2,5 | 3,4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| $\left\|z_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{A}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}+1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}-1}{2}$ |  |  | $\frac{F_{n-2}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}}{2}$ |
| $\left\|z_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{C}$ | $F_{n-1}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{F_{n-1}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-1}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-1}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-1-1}}{2}$ |
| $\left\|z_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{G}$ | - |  |  | $\frac{F_{n-1+1}}{2}$ |  | ${ }^{\frac{n_{n-1-1}}{2}}{ }^{2}$ |  | $\frac{F_{n-1+1}^{2}}{2}$ |
| $\left\|z_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)\right\|_{T}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}-1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}+1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}-1}{2}$ |  | $\frac{F_{n-2}}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}+1}{2}$ | $\frac{F_{n-2}}{2}$ |

Table 7: The numbers of occurrences of letters in the atom hairpin $\phi_{i}$-Fibonacci words $z_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, C)$ for $\phi_{i} \in\left\{\theta_{i}, \mu_{i}\right\}, i \in\{1,2,4,5,10\}$ and $n \geq 3$.

Proof. First, we consider the case where $\phi=\theta_{2}$. By Table 6, the statement is true for $4 \leq n \leq 7$. Assuming that the statement holds for $z_{i}^{\phi}(A, C)$, where $7 \leq i<k$, we now prove it for $z_{k}^{\phi}(A, C)$. We only need to consider the condition where $k \bmod 3=0$, since by induction, we have $F_{k} \bmod 2=0$ iff $k \bmod 3=0$. By definition of $z_{n}$ (Definition 4), we have $z_{k}=z_{k-2} \theta_{2}\left(z_{k-3}\right) \theta_{2}\left(z_{k-2}\right)=p p$. As, $(k-3) \bmod 3=F_{k-3} \bmod 2=0$ and hence, there exist $x, y \in \Delta^{+}$such that $z_{k-3}=x y$ and $|x|=|y|$. We have, $p=z_{k-2} \theta_{2}(y)$, and $p=\theta_{2}(x) \theta_{2}\left(z_{k-2}\right)=\theta_{2}(x) z_{k-4} \theta_{2}\left(z_{k-3}\right)=\theta_{2}(x) z_{k-4} \theta_{2}(y) \theta_{2}(x)$. Therefore, $\theta_{2}(x)=\theta_{2}(y)$, so $z_{k-3}=x^{2}$, which contradicts the inductive hypothesis.

Next, we consider the case where $\phi=\mu_{2}$. By Table 6, the statement is true for $4 \leq n \leq 7$. Assuming the statement holds for $z_{i}^{\phi}(A, C)$, where $7 \leq i<k$, we now prove it for $z_{k}^{\phi}(A, C)$. We only need to consider the condition where $k \bmod 3=0$, since by induction, we have $F_{k} \bmod 2=0$ iff $k \bmod 3=0$. By definition of $z_{n}$ (Definition 4), we have $z_{k}=z_{k-2} \mu_{2}\left(z_{k-3}\right) \mu_{2}\left(z_{k-2}\right)=p p$. As, $(k-3) \bmod 3=F_{k-3} \bmod 2=$ 0 and hence, there exist $x, y \in \Delta^{+}$such that $z_{k-3}=x y$ and $|x|=|y|$. We now have $p=z_{k-2} \mu_{2}(x)=z_{k-3} \mu_{2}\left(z_{k-4}\right) \mu_{2}(x)=x y \mu_{2}\left(z_{k-4}\right) \mu_{2}(x)$, and $p=\mu_{2}(y) \mu_{2}\left(z_{k-2}\right)=$ $\mu_{2}(y) \mu_{2}\left(z_{k-3}\right) z_{k-4}=\mu_{2}(y x y) z_{k-4}$. This implies, $x=\mu_{2}(y)$ and $\mu_{2}\left(z_{k-4}\right) \mu_{2}(x)=x z_{k-4}$. Note that, $z_{k-3}=z_{k-4} \mu_{2}\left(z_{k-5}\right)=x y$, and hence, $z_{k-4}=x \mu_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)$ for some $y=y_{1} y_{2}$. Thus, $x z_{k-4}=x x \mu_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)=\mu_{2}\left(z_{k-4}\right) \mu_{2}(x)=\mu_{2}\left(x y_{1}\right) \mu_{2}(x)$, which implies $x=\mu_{2}(x)$, and hence, $z_{k-3}=x^{2}$, a contradiction.

Theorem 31. Let $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}\right\}$. For all $n \geq 1$, we have:
(I) If $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{2}, \mu_{2}\right\}$ and $n \neq 3$, then the atom hairpin $\phi$-Fibonacci word $z_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$ is primitive.
(II) If $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{1}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}\right\}$, then the atom hairpin $\phi$-Fibonacci word $z_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$ is primitive.

Proof.
(I) Let $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{2}, \mu_{2}\right\}$. Note that $z_{3}=C C$ is not primitive. By Table 7, for $n \bmod 6 \in$ $\{1,2,4,5\}$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left|z_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{A},\left|z_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{C}\right)=1$, so by Lemma 12 , the word $z_{n}^{\phi}$ is primitive in these cases. For $n \bmod 6 \in\{0,3\}$, the number of occurrences of $A$ and $C$ are consecutive positive even or odd integers. If they are consecutive positive odd integers, by Lemma 12, the word $z_{n}^{\phi}$ is primitive in this case. If they are consecutive positive even integers, the only non-trivial common divisor is 2 , and we can prove that $z_{n}^{\phi}$ is primitive by contradiction. Assume $z_{n}^{\phi}$ is not primitive in this case, which means that $z_{n}^{\phi}=p^{i}$ (refer to proof of Lemma 12 to show that $i=2$ ) where $p \in Q$, and this contradicts Lemma 30
(iI) By Table 7, for all other cases of $\phi$, there exist two letters $a, b \in \Delta$, where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left|z_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{a},\left|z_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{b}\right)=1$, for all $n \geq 3$. Therefore, by Lemma 12 , the word $z_{n}^{\phi}$ is primitive for all $n \geq 3$.

Let $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and let $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{4}, \theta_{5}, \theta_{10}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}, \mu_{10}\right\}$. Based on Corollary 21. Theorems 24, 25, 26, 29 and 31 , we conclude that the words $g_{n}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $g_{n}^{\mu_{2}}$ are not primitive for all $n \geq 3$, the word $w_{n}^{\mu_{2}}$ is primitive if $n \bmod 3 \neq 0$ and $n \geq 3$, and for all other cases, the word $\alpha_{n}^{\phi}$ is primitive. These are summarized in Table 8

|  | $i \in\{1,7\}$ | $i \in\{2,8\}$ | $i \in\{3,4\}$ | $i \in\{5,6\}$ | $i \in\{9,10\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g_{n}^{\theta_{i}}(A, C)$ | $\checkmark$ | $X($ except $n=1,2)$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $g_{n}^{\mu_{i}}(A, C)$ | $\checkmark$ | $X($ except $n=1,2)$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $w_{n}^{\theta_{i}}(A, C)$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark($ except $n \bmod 3=0, n>3)$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $w_{n}^{\mu_{i}}(A, C)$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $z_{n}^{\theta_{i}}(A, C)$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark($ except $n=3)$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $z_{n}^{\mu_{i}}(A, C)$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark($ except $n=3)$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

Table 8: Primitivity of atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(A, C)$ for all $n \geq 1$, with different initial letters $A, C \in \Delta$, where $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$, and $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{i}, \mu_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 10\right\}$
(here, $\checkmark$ means that the words are primitive, and $\boldsymbol{X}$ means that they are not primitive).

## 4. Primitivity of atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words with identical initial letters

In this section, we discuss the primitivity of atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(a, a)$ with identical initial letters, for all $n \geq 1$, where $a \in \Sigma_{4}, \phi \in\left\{\theta_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 10\right\} \cup\left\{\mu_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 10\right\}$, and $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. The primitivity results of this section hold for any choice of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ and with $x_{1}$ and $x_{1}$ as the initial letters of the $\phi$-Fibonacci sequence. Therefore, we only prove primitivity results for one of the cases, the sequence ( $A, C, G, T$ ) over the DNA alphabet $\Delta$, with $A$ and $A$ as the first two initial letters.

We can classify $\phi$ into two categories, where $\phi(a)=a$ and $\phi(\alpha) \neq a$. If $\phi(a)=a$, then for all $n \geq 1$ we have that $\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(a, a)=a^{F_{n}}$, where $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence,
$\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(a, a)$ is not primitive for all $n \geq 3$. Therefore, we only need to consider the case where $\phi(a) \neq a$. The set of all (anti)morphic involutions $\phi$ for the sequence ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ ) with $x_{1}=a$ and $\phi_{i}(a) \neq a$ is $\left\{\phi_{i} \mid i=2,3,4,8,9,10\right\}$. It is enough if we discuss the primitivity for one of such $\phi_{i}$, say $\phi_{2}$. We first show that it is sufficient to study the primitivity only for $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}(a, a)$, where $n \geq 1$.

We use the following lemma.
Lemma 32. Let $\phi_{i} \in\left\{\mu_{i}, \theta_{i}\right\}, i \in\{2,3,4,8,9,10\}$, be an (anti)morphic involution on $\Sigma_{4}^{*}$ and $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. For all $n \geq 1$, the following equalities hold regarding $\phi_{i}$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{i}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ :
(I) $\phi_{2}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)\right)=\phi_{8}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{8}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)\right)$ iff $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{8}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)$.
(II) $\phi_{3}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)\right)=\phi_{9}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{9}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)\right)$ iff $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{9}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)$.
(III) $\phi_{4}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)\right)=\phi_{10}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)\right)$ iff $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)$.
(Iv) $\left[\phi_{2}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\right]_{x_{2} \rightarrow x_{3}}=\phi_{3}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ iff $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{2} \rightarrow x_{3}}=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
(v) $\left[\phi_{2}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\right]_{x_{2} \rightarrow x_{4}}=\phi_{4}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ iff $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{x_{2} \rightarrow x_{4}}=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

Proof. The proof, by induction on $n$, is similar to that of Lemma 17
Based on Lemma 32, we have the following result.
Lemma 33. Let $\phi_{i} \in\left\{\theta_{i}, \mu_{i}\right\}, i \in\{2,3,4,8,9,10\}$ be an (anti)morphic involution on $\Sigma_{4}^{*}$, and let $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. For all $n \geq 1$, the following equalities regarding $\phi_{i}$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{i}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ hold:
(I) $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{8}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)$.
(II) $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)\right]_{x_{2} \rightarrow x_{3}}=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{9}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)$.
(III) $\left[\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)\right]_{x_{2} \rightarrow x_{4}}=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)=\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{10}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)$.

Proof. The proof, by induction on $n$ and using Lemma 32, is similar to that of Lemma 18

One can easily observe that for $\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(a, a)$, we have $\left|\operatorname{Alph}\left(\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(a, a)\right)\right| \leq 2$, for all $n \geq 3, \phi$ an (anti)morphic involution, and $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 3$. Thus, by Lemma 33, it is enough to discuss the primitivity for $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right), n \geq 3$. As the choice of initial letter does not matter, we choose for convenience, $A$ and $A$ to be the two initial letters. We now study the primitivity of the words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi_{2}}(A, A)$ for all $n \geq 3$, where $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 3$.

### 4.1. Atom alternating $\phi$-Fibonacci words

The first few values of the sequence $\left\{g_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ for $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}$ are given in Table 9 .
We first show that for a morphic involution $\mu_{2}$, the $\mu_{2}$-Fibonacci words $g_{n}$ with identical initial letters are primitive. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 25

Theorem 34. Let $\phi=\mu_{2}$. For all $n \geq 1$, the atom alternating $\phi$-Fibonacci word $g_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, A)$ is primitive.

| $\phi$ | $g_{3}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $g_{4}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $g_{5}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $g_{6}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $g_{7}^{\phi}(A, A)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{2}$ | $C A$ | $A C A$ | $C A C C A$ | $A C A A C A C A$ | CACCACACCACCA |
| $\theta_{2}$ | $C A$ | $C A A$ | $C C A C A$ | $C A C A A C A A$ | $C C A C C A C A C C A C A$ |

Table 9: List of words $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)$, where $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}$.
Proof. By Theorem 9, we have $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)=f_{n}(A, \phi(A))$ if $n$ is odd, and $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)=$ $f_{n}(\phi(A), A)$, otherwise. Note that, $\phi(A) \neq A$ for $\phi=\mu_{2}$. Then, by Theorem 5 the word $g_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)$ is primitive for $\phi=\mu_{2}$ and $n \geq 1$.

We now discuss the primitivity of $\theta_{2}$-Fibonacci words $g_{n}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A), n \geq 3$. The following result can be proved by induction and we omit the proof.

Theorem 35. Let $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right\}$. For all $n \geq 1$, the atom alternating $\phi$-Fibonacci word $g_{n}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A)$ can be represented by atom alternating $\phi$-Fibonacci words $g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, C)$ and $g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(C, A)$ as follows:

$$
g_{n}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(C, A): n \bmod 2=0 \\
g_{n}^{\theta_{1}}(A, C): n \bmod 2=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. The proof, by induction on $n$ and using Lemma 22, is similar to that of Lemma 23

Using Theorem 35 and Corollary 21, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 36. Let $\phi=\theta_{2}$. For all $n \geq 1$, the atom alternating $\phi$-Fibonacci word $g_{n}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A)$ is primitive.

### 4.2. Atom palindromic $\phi$-Fibonacci words

The first few values of the sequences $\left\{w_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ for $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}$ are given in Table 10

| $\phi$ | $w_{3}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $w_{4}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $w_{5}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $w_{6}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $w_{7}^{\phi}(A, A)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{2}$ | $C C$ | $A A C$ | $C C A A A$ | $A A C C C C C A$ | CCAAAAACAACCC |
| $\theta_{2}$ | $C C$ | $A A C$ | $A C C A A$ | $C C A A C A C C$ | AACACCAACCAAC |

Table 10: List of words $w_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)$, where $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}$.

Therefore, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 37. Let $\phi=\mu_{2}$. For all $n \geq 1$, the atom palindromic $\phi$-Fibonacci word $w_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, A)$ is primitive.

Proof. We have by Theorem 9, $w_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, A)=z_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, C)$ if $n$ is odd, and $w_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, A)=$ $z_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(C, A)$, otherwise, and hence, by Theorem 31, $w_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, A)$ is primitive for $n \geq 1$.

We now count the number of occurrences of the letters $A$ and $C$ in the atom palindromic $\phi_{2}$-Fibonacci words.

Lemma 38. Let $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}$. For all $n \geq 1$, the numbers of occurrences of letters in the atom palindromic $\phi$-Fibonacci word $w_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)$ satisfy:

$$
\left|w_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{A}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{F_{n}}{2}-1: n \bmod 3=0, \\
\frac{F_{n}+1}{2}: n \bmod 3=1,2,
\end{array} \quad\left|w_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{C}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{F_{n}}{2}+1: n \bmod 3=0 \\
\frac{F_{n}-1}{2}: n \bmod 3=1,2
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Proof. The proof uses the fact that $\left|w_{n+2}^{\phi}\right|_{A}=\left|w_{n+1}^{\phi}\right|_{C}+\left|w_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{C},\left|w_{n+2}^{\phi}\right|_{C}=\left|w_{n+1}^{\phi}\right|_{A}+\left|w_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{A}$ and is by induction on $n$.

By induction on $n$, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 39. Let $\phi=\theta_{2}$. For all $n>3$, the atom palindromic $\phi$-Fibonacci word $w_{n}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A)$ cannot be a square.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $n$. One can easily check that the statement is true for $4 \leq n \leq 10$. We now assume that $w_{i}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A)$ is not a square for all $10 \leq i<k$. We prove that this is true for $w_{k}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A)$. We only need to consider the condition where $k \bmod 3=0$, since by induction, we have $F_{k} \bmod 2=0$ iff $k \bmod 3=0$. By definition of $w_{n}$ (Definition 4), we have $w_{k}=\theta_{2}\left(w_{k-1}\right) \theta_{2}\left(w_{k-2}\right)=w_{k-3} w_{k-2} w_{k-4} w_{k-3}=$ $w_{k-3} \theta_{2}\left(w_{k-3}\right) \theta_{2}\left(w_{k-4}\right) w_{k-4} w_{k-3}=w_{k-3} w_{k-5} w_{k-4} w_{k-6} w_{k-5} w_{k-4} w_{k-3}=p p . \quad A s,(k-$ 6) $\bmod 3=F_{k-6} \bmod 2=0$, and hence, there exist $x, y \in \Delta^{+}$such that $w_{k-6}=x y$ and $|x|=|y|$. We now have $p=w_{k-3} w_{k-5} w_{k-4} x=\theta_{2}\left(w_{k-4}\right) \theta_{2}\left(w_{k-5}\right) w_{k-5} w_{k-4} x=$ $w_{k-6} w_{k-5} \theta_{2}\left(w_{k-5}\right) w_{k-5} w_{k-4} x=x y w_{k-5} \theta_{2}\left(w_{k-5}\right) w_{k-5} w_{k-4} x$, and $p=y w_{k-5} w_{k-4} w_{k-3}$. Therefore, we have $x=y$, so $w_{k-6}=x^{2}$, which contradicts the inductive hypothesis.

Theorem 40. Let $\phi=\theta_{2}$. For $n \geq 1$, the atom palindromic $\phi$-Fibonacci word $w_{n}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A)$ is primitive iff $n \neq 3$.

Proof. The proof is similar to part (I) of the proof of Theorem 31, and uses Lemma 39 ,

### 4.3. Atom hairpin $\phi$-Fibonacci words

In Table 11, we give the first few values of the sequences $\left\{z_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ for $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}$.

| $\phi$ | $z_{3}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $z_{4}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $z_{5}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $z_{6}^{\phi}(A, A)$ | $z_{7}^{\phi}(A, A)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{2}$ | $A C$ | $A C C$ | $A C C C A$ | $A C C C A C A A$ | $A C C C A C A A C A A A C$ |
| $\theta_{2}$ | $A C$ | $A C C$ | $A C C A C$ | $A C C A C A A C$ | $A C C A C A A C A C A A C$ |

Table 11: List of words $z_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)$, where $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}$.

Theorem 41. Let $\phi=\mu_{2}$. For all $n \geq 1$, the atom hairpin $\phi$-Fibonacci word $z_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, A)$ is primitive.

Proof. We have by Theorem 9, $z_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, A)=w_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, C)$ if $n$ is odd, and $z_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, A)=$ $w_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(C, A)$, otherwise, and hence, by Theorem 29, $z_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, A)$ is primitive for all $n \geq 1$.

We now count the number of occurrences of the letters $A$ and $C$ in the atom hairpin $\phi_{2}$-Fibonacci words. The proof is by induction, and we omit it.

Lemma 42. Let $\phi \in\left\{\mu_{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}$. For all $n \geq 1$, the numbers of occurrences of letters in the atom hairpin $\phi$-Fibonacci word $z_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)$ satisfy:

$$
\left|z_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{A}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{F_{n}}{2}: n \bmod 6=0,3, \\
\frac{F_{n}+1}{2}: n \bmod 6=1,2, \\
\frac{F_{n}-1}{2}: n \bmod 6=4,5,
\end{array} \quad\left|z_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{C}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{F_{n}}{2}: n \bmod 6=0,3, \\
\frac{F_{n}-1}{2}: n \bmod 6=1,2, \\
\frac{F_{n}+1}{2}: n \bmod 6=4,5 .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $n$ and uses the fact that for all $n \geq 1,\left|z_{n+2}^{\phi}\right|_{A}=$ $\left|z_{n+1}^{\phi}\right|_{A}+\left|z_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{C},\left|z_{n+2}^{\phi}\right|_{C}=\left|z_{n+1}^{\phi}\right|_{C}+\left|z_{n}^{\phi}\right|_{A}$, where $\left|z_{1}^{\phi}\right|_{A}=\left|z_{2}^{\phi}\right|_{A}=1$ and $\left|z_{1}^{\phi}\right|_{C}=\left|z_{2}^{\phi}\right|_{C}=0$. Hence, using inductive hypothesis, one can obtain the result for $\left|z_{k}^{\phi}\right|_{A}$ and $\left|z_{k}^{\phi}\right|_{C}$.

We next show that the atom hairpin $\mu_{2}$-Fibonacci words $z_{n}^{\mu_{2}}(A, A)$ are primitive for $n \geq 3$. We need the following lemma which can be proved by induction on $n$.

Lemma 43. Let $\phi=\theta_{2}$. The following hold for all $n \geq 1$ :
(I) If $n \bmod 3=0$, then $z_{n}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A) \neq q^{2}$ for any $\theta_{2}$-palindrome $q$.
(II) If $n \bmod 4=0$, then $z_{n}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A) \neq q^{3}$ for any $\theta_{2}$-palindrome $q$.
(III) If $n \bmod 5=0$, then $z_{n}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A) \neq q^{5}$ for any $\theta_{2}$-palindrome $q$.

Proof. We only prove statement (I). Given that $n \bmod 3=0$. Then, $F_{n} \bmod 2=0$, and there exist $x, y \in \Delta^{+}$such that $\left|z_{n}\right|=x y$ and $|x|=|y|$. One can easily verify the statement for $n=3$ and $n=6$. Assume the statement to be true for $z_{i}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A)$, where $i \bmod 3=0$ and $3 \leq i<k$. Let $k$ be a number such that $k \bmod 3=0$ and $k>n$. Suppose, $z_{k}=z_{k-1} \theta_{2}\left(z_{k-2}\right)=p^{2}$ where $p$ is a $\theta_{2}$-palindrome, then, $z_{k}=z_{k-1} \theta_{2}\left(z_{k-2}\right)=$ $z_{k-2} \theta_{2}\left(z_{k-3}\right) \theta_{2}\left(z_{k-2}\right)$ and $p=z_{k-2} x=y \theta_{2}\left(z_{k-2}\right)$ for $\theta_{2}\left(z_{k-3}\right)=x y$. Since, $p$ is a $\theta_{2^{-}}$ palindrome, $x=\theta_{2}(y)$ and $z_{k-2}=z_{k-3} \theta_{2}\left(z_{k-4}\right)=x \theta_{2}(x) \theta_{2}\left(z_{k-4}\right)$. This implies that $x=y=\theta_{2}(x)$ and hence $z_{k-3}=x^{2}$, a contradiction to our induction hypothesis. Hence, the result.
The following result uses Lemma 43 and has a proof similar to that of Proposition 28
Theorem 44. Let $\phi=\theta_{2}$. For all $n \geq 1$, the atom hairpin $\phi$-Fibonacci word $z_{n}^{\theta_{2}}(A, A)$ is primitive.

Based on Corollary 36 and Theorems 34, 37, 40, 41 and 44 , the results can be generalized to non-trivial $\phi$-Fibonacci words with the same initial letters. The primitivity properties of the $n$-th atom $\phi$-Fibonacci word with the same two initial letters, for all $n \geq 1$, are summarized in Table 12 ,

|  | $i \in\{2,3,4,8,9,10\}$ | $i \in\{1,5,6,7\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, A)$ | $\checkmark$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ |
| $w_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, A)$ | $\checkmark($ except $n=3)$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ |
| $z_{n}^{\phi_{i}}(A, A)$ | $\checkmark$ | $X$ |

Table 12: Primitivity of atom $\phi$-Fibonacci words $\alpha_{n}^{\phi}(A, A)$ for all $n \geq 1$, with identical initial letters $A \in \Delta$, where $\alpha_{n} \in\left\{g_{n}, w_{n}, z_{n}\right\}$ for all $n \geq 1$, and $\phi \in\left\{\theta_{i}, \mu_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 10\right\}$
(here, $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ means that the words are primitive, and $\boldsymbol{X}$ means that they are not primitive).

## 5. Conclusions and future work

This paper analyzed the primitivity properties of atom involutive Fibonacci words over a four-letter alphabet and concluded that, for some (anti)morphic involutions, some initial letters, and some indices $n$, we have that the $n$-th $\phi$-Fibonacci word is primitive, while for some others, it is not. In the particular case of the Watson-Crick complementarity involution $\theta_{D N A}$ over the DNA alphabet $\Delta=\{A, C, G, T\}$, our results imply that regardless of the initial two letters in the Fibonacci recursion (different, or the same), the $n$-th atom Watson-Crick Fibonacci word is primitive for all $n>3$.

Future topics of research include studying the $\phi$-primitivity of $\phi$-Fibonacci words, as well combinatorial properties of $\phi$-Fibonacci words (counting their distinct factors, squares, $\phi$-squares, cubes, $\phi$-cubes, palindromes, $\phi$-palindromes, etc.).
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