CS 860 Spectral graph theory. Spring 2019, Waterloo.

Lecture 7: Local graph partitioning

We show that random walks can be used to find Small sparse cuts, with performance guarantee similar to that of the spectral partitioning algorithm, while the running time could be sublinear.

Small sparse cuts

We are interested in finding a small sparse cut, i.e. a set S with φ(s) small and IsI small.

Given a target size on (where of could be a small constant, or could depend on n say tin) and a vertex v, we would like to find a set S with IsI on which contains v and φ(s) small. This problem is natural and has applications say in finding a small community in a social network.

Often the graph is very big, and it would be useful to have an algorithm with running time only depends on the output size (more precisely, depends on IsI and polylog(IVI)), so that its running time is sublinear when IsI is small. We call such algorithms "local" algorithms.

The spectral partitioning algorithm can be implemented in near linear time , but there is no control over the size of the output set.

We will show a random walk algorithm with similar performance guarantee as spectral partitioning, with some control over the size of the output set, and may run in sublinear time.

Intuition

Suppose we want to distinguish between the following two cases:

- \bigcirc There is a set S with $\phi(s) \leq \epsilon$, and $|s| \leq \delta n$.
- (2) Every set S with $|S| \le 28n$ has $\phi(S) \ge 82 \gg 81$.
- In the first case, if we start a random walk from a vertex in S, then we expect that the random walk will stay in S with high probability, while in the second case. we expect the random walk to mix quickly, at least for sets up to size 26n.
- To distinguish the two cases, we compute $W^{T}X_{i}$ for an appropriately chosen t, where W is the laze walk matrix and $X_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the vector with one in i-th position and zero otherwise.
- We look at the sum of the 8n largest entries in WTX, call this sum Csn.

- In the first case, if $i \in S$, then we expect that C_{Sn} is close to one, as most probabilities stayed in S.
- In the second case, for every vertex i in the graph, we expect that C_{Sn} is at most $\frac{1}{2}$, because the probability would have spread evenly in at least 25n vertices.
- Spielman and Teng [STO4] designed the first local graph partitioning algorithm using random walks, and their proof is based on the work of Lovász and Simonovits, who developed a Combinatorial approach to study mixing time using (small-set) expansion, which can make the above intuition rigorous. Lovász and Simonovits method is interesting and can be used to give an alternative proof of Cheeper's inequality. But we will not discuss it in this course; refer to the project page for references.

Spectral approach

We will present a more spectral approach for local graph partitioning, closer to what we have seen so far.

The idea is based on the work of Arora, Barak and Steurer [ABS(0], which we will discuss later.

We assume the graph is d-regular, again.

- By the analysis of Cheeger's inequality, we know that if we are given a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then we can find a sparse cut $S \in \text{supp}(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & |x| \neq 0 \end{cases}$ with $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2R(x)}$ where $R(x) = \frac{x^T R x}{x^T x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_i x_j)^2}{\alpha \sum_{i \in V} x_i^2}$.
- So, if we can find a vector \times with $|\sup(x)| \le \delta n$ and R(x) small, then we can use it to find a small sparse cut.

We call a vector X with $|\sup_{x \in X} |x| \le \delta n$ a $\frac{\text{combinatorially } \delta - \text{sparse vector}}{\delta - \delta - \delta}$.

This combinatorial condition is not easy to work with directly.

One idea in [ABS10] is to relax this condition, so that it is easier to work with and has essentially the Same effect.

By Cauchy-Schwarz, a combinatorially S-sparse vector X satisfies $\|X\|_1 \le \sqrt{5n} \|X\|_2$.

We call a vector x analytically 8-space if IIxIly < John IIxIlz.

It will turn out that if we find an analytically sparse vector with small Rayleigh quotient.

then we can find a combinatorically sparse vector with small Rayleigh quotient.

And we will see that it is much easier to reason about analytical sparsity.

Algorithm outline

The algorithm is very simple. So let's state it informally first, without specifying the parameters. Let $W:=\frac{1}{2}I+\frac{1}{2}A$ be the lazy random walk matrix.

- \bigcirc For each vertex ieV, compute $\bigvee x_i$ for some appropriate t.
- 2 "Truncate" wtx; to a vector with "small" support.
- 3 Apply Cheeper rounding to the truncated vector to obtain a small sparse cut.

Analysis outline

- For $\mathbb O$, we will prove that the vectors $\mathbb W^t \mathcal X_i$ would have small Rayleigh quotient, for all $i \in V$. The analysis in this step is very similar to the analysis of the power method in computing eigenvectors.
- For O, we will prove that if there is a small sparse cut S, there exists some vertex $\oeq S$ such that $\oed V$ is analytically sparse. Furthermore, an analytically S-sparse vector can be truncated to a combinatorially $\oed (S)$ -sparse vector with similar Rayleigh quotient.
- For 3- Once we have a vector with small Rayleigh quotient and small support. Then Cheeger rounding would produce a small sparse cut, and this part should be clear by now.

Power method

- Now we carry out the analysis of the first step, to show that the Rayleigh quotient of $W^T x_i$ is small when t is large enough.
- This should not be surprising, because we know that $w^{\dagger}x_i \Rightarrow \pi = \frac{1}{n}$ (when G is d-regular), and so the Rayleigh quotient tends to zero when $t \Rightarrow \omega$.
- What is important is the precise convergence rate, as in the Second step we cannot afford to set t too large, and this is the tension for the correct choice of t.
- The analysis is Similar to the analysis of the power method, which is a way to compute the largest eigenvector of a matrix.

Lemma 1
$$R(W^{\dagger}x_i) \leq 2 - 2\|W^{\dagger}x_i\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
, where $R(x) = \frac{x^{T}Lx}{x^{T}x}$.

proof Let the eigenvalues of
$$W$$
 be $1=\alpha, >\alpha_2>...>\alpha_n>0$.

Note that
$$W = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{2}A = I - \frac{1}{2}(I - A) = I - \frac{1}{2}J$$
.

Therefore.
$$R(\boldsymbol{w}^t\boldsymbol{x}_i^*) := \frac{(\boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{w}^t)\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w}^t\boldsymbol{x}_i^*)}{\|\boldsymbol{w}^t\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2^2} = 2 - 2 \cdot \frac{(\boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{w}^t)\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{w}^t\boldsymbol{x}_i^*)}{\|\boldsymbol{w}^t\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2^2}.$$

Write $x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i v_i$, where v_1, \dots, v_n are the eigenvectors of W with eigenvalues $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$.

Then, $W^{\dagger} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \alpha_i^{\dagger} v_i$, and $\|W^{\dagger} x_i\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i^2 \alpha_i^2$.

Hence,
$$\frac{(x_i w^t) w (w^t x_i)}{\|w^t x_i\|^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2 \alpha_i^{2t+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2 \alpha_i^{2t}}$$

Now, we want to apply the power means inequality, which states that

if
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$$
 and $w_i \ge 0$ $\forall i$, then $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i y_i^P\right)^{\frac{1}{P}} \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i y_i^S\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ for $p \ge q$.

So, we can apply the power means inequality by setting $w_i = c_i^2$ and $y_i = \alpha_i$ to get $\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \alpha_i^{2t+i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2t+i}} \geq \left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \alpha_i^{2t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2t}}$.

This implies that
$$\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}^{2}\alpha_{i}^{2}}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}^{2}\alpha_{i}^{2}}\geqslant\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}^{2}\alpha_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2t}}=\left(\left\|\left\|\mathbf{w}^{t}\boldsymbol{\chi}_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2t}}=\left\|\left\|\mathbf{w}^{t}\boldsymbol{\chi}_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}$$

Therefore, we have $R(W^{\dagger}x_i) \leq 2-2\|W^{\dagger}x_i\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

To get a feeling of what it gives us, first observe that $\|\mathbf{w}^{\dagger}\mathbf{x}_i\|_2 \ge \frac{1}{\ln}$, which is minimized when $\mathbf{w}^{\dagger}\mathbf{x}_i = \frac{2}{\ln}$. So, $R(\mathbf{w}^{\dagger}\mathbf{x}_i) \le 2(1-\frac{1}{\ln}\frac{1}{\ln}) = 2(1-2\frac{1}{\ln}\frac{1}{\ln}) \approx \log_n/t$ (since $e^{-\mathbf{x}} \approx 1-\mathbf{x}$ for small \mathbf{x})

Therefore, if we set $t = \frac{\log n}{\mathbf{x}}$, then $R(\mathbf{w}^{\dagger}\mathbf{x}_i) \le \lambda$,

and if we set $t = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, then $R(w^t x_i) \leq \lambda \log n$.

We will eventually choose $\lambda=\phi(4)$ and apply the second bound, and potentially in the homework you will apply the first bound.

Combinatorically sparse vectors from analytically sparse vectors

In our problem, we consider random walk vectors of the form $W^{\dagger}x_i$, which is a probability distribution. So, if $W^{\dagger}x_i$ is δ -analytically sparse, then $1 = \|W^{\dagger}x_i\|_1 \leq |\nabla x_i||_2 = |\nabla x_i$

Lemma 2 Let XER be a non-negative vector with $\|x\|_1^2 \le Sn\|x\|_2^2$.

Then there exists a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\sup y| \le 48n$ and $R(y) \le 2R(x)$.

<u>proof</u> The proof is by a simple truncation argument.

By scaling, we can assume that $\|X\|_{2}^{2} = \delta n$ and $\|X\|_{1} \leq \delta n$.

Let yER" be the vector with yi= max {xi-4,0}.

Then, it is clear that |supply) | & 46n, as otherwise | ||x||1 > 8n.

We just need to compare
$$R(y) = \frac{y^T L y}{y^T y} = \frac{\sum_{i \in J} (y_i - y_j)^2}{d z_i y_i^2}$$
 with $R(x) = \frac{\sum_{i \in J} (x_i - x_j)^2}{d z_i x_i^2}$.

First, notice that for each $ij \in E$, we have $(y_i - y_j)^2 \le (x_i - x_j)^2$, as truncation won't make an edge longer. So, the numerator of y is not larger than the numerator, and it remains to compare the denominators. Note that $y_i^2 \ge x_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}x_i$, and so $x_i^2 y_i^2 \ge x_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}x_i^2 = 6n - \frac{1}{2}6n = 6n/2 = \frac{||x||_2^2}{2}$. Combining, we have $R(y) = \frac{1}{12} \frac{(y_i - y_j)^2}{d \ge y_i^2} \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{(y_i - y_j)^2}{d \ge x_i^2/2} = 2R(x)$.

With this truncation lemma, it suffices for us to find a vector with small Rayleigh quotient and large 2-norm, and then we can truncate it to obtain a vector with small Rayleigh quotient and small support, and then we can apply Cheeger rounding to finish the proof.

Henceforth. we focus on bounding the 2-norm of a random walk vector.

Analytically Sparse vector from staying probability

The idea is that if S is a small sparse cut, then when we start a random walk from a vertex ieS, the walk will stay within S with a reasonable probability, and so the entries in W^tX_i corresponding to the vertices in S will have large values, and thus $\|W^tX_i\|$ large. So, let's try to analyze the probability that the random walk Stays within S for t steps.

Claim Let $p_0 = \frac{\kappa_s}{|s|}$ and $p_i = w^i p_0$. Then $v \in S$ $p_t(v) \ge 1 - t \cdot \varphi(s)$.

proof We prove it by a Simple inductive argument.

We lower bound \overline{V}_{es} $P_{t}(v)$ by the probability that the random walk Stays within S in all t steps. Equivalently, we upper bound the probability that the random walk go outside of S in any of these steps. We start with P_{0} , the uniform distribution in S, where each vertex in S has probability \overline{V}_{S} . Since the graph is d-regular, each edge going out of S will carry \overline{V}_{S} probability out of S. So, the total probability escaping out of S is $|S(S)| \cdot \overline{V}_{S}| = \Phi(S)$ in the first step.

We would like to argue that the total escaping probability at each step is at most $\phi(s)$ and thus the total escaping probability is at most $t\cdot\phi(s)$, and thus the staying probability is at least $1-t\cdot\phi(s)$, and this would imply the claim.

To finish the proof, we just need to observe the invariant that the probability at each vertex in S at each time step is at most $\frac{1}{151}$, and thus the same calculation holds.

The observation follows from the equation $P_{i+1}(v) = \frac{1}{2}P_i(v) + \frac{1}{2}d\sum_{u:uv}P_i(u) \leq \frac{1}{151}$.

Corollary There exists a vertex $v \in S$ such that if $P_0 = Xv$ then $\sum_{i \in S} P_1(i) \ge 1 - t \cdot \phi(S)$.

Proof We use the fact that $\frac{X_S}{|S|}$ is a convex combination of $X_i : i \in S$.

Let $P_{t,i} = W^t X_i$ and $P_{t,i} = W^t \left(\frac{X_S}{|S|}\right)$. Note that $\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{i \in S} W^t X_i = W^t \left(\frac{X_S}{|S|}\right)$.

So, $\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S} P_{t,i}(j) = \sum_{i \in S} P_{t,i}(j) \ge 1 - t \cdot \phi(S)$ by the Claim.

Therefore, there exists a vertex V with jes Ptv(j) > 1-t·\psi(s). D

Corollary There exists $S' \subseteq S$ with $|S'| \ge |S|/2$ such that if $p_0 = x_V$ for $V \in S'$, then $\sum_{j \in S} p_{+}(j) \ge 1 - 2 + \varphi(S)$.

<u>Proof</u> The average escaping probability is $t-\phi(s)$. So, there are at most half the vertices with escaping probability at least $2t\phi(s)$, hence the corollary.

Now, we can bound the 2-norm of the random walk vectors.

Lemma 3 There exists $S' \subseteq S$ with $|S'| \ge |S|/2$ such that for $i \in S'$, then $||W^{\dagger} x_i||_2^2 \ge \frac{1}{|S|} (1 - 2t \phi(S))^2$

<u>proof</u> Choose a vertex ies' that is guaranteed by the second corollary.

Then $\|\mathbf{w}^{t}\mathbf{x}_{i}\|_{2}^{2} \geq \sum_{j \in S} (\mathbf{w}^{t}\mathbf{x}_{i})(j)^{2} \geq \frac{1}{|S|} (\sum_{j \in S} (\mathbf{w}^{t}\mathbf{x}_{i})(j))^{2}$ by Cauchy-Schwarz $\geq \frac{1}{|S|} (1-2t\phi(S))^{2}$

Approximation algorithm

We are ready to complete the analysis.

By Lemma 3, there exists vertex i with $\|\mathbf{w}^{t}\mathbf{x}_{i}\|_{2}^{2} \ge \frac{1}{4|s|}$.

By Lemma 1, $R(w^t x_i) \leq 2(1-\|w^t x_i\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq 2(1-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{|s|}}) = 2(1-e^{-\ln(2\sqrt{|s|})\cdot 4\phi(s)}) = 0(\phi(s)\ln(|s|))$

By Lemma 2, there exists y with $R(y) = O(\phi(S)Rn(ISI))$ and |Supp(y)| = O(|SI|). By cheeger rounding in Lo3, we find a set S' with $\phi(S') = \int \phi(S)Rn(ISI)$ and |S'| = O(|SI|). We prove the following bicriteria approximation result.

Theorem If there is a set S^* with $\phi(S^*) = \phi$ and $|S^*| = \delta n$, then we can find in polynomial time a set S with $\phi(S) = O(\int \phi \log |S^*|)$ and $|S| = O(\delta n)$.

Local algorithms

One advantage of the random walk algorithm is that it can be implemented locally without exploring the whole graph.

The idea is that we can truncate the random walk vector in every step, by setting very very small entries to zero.

By doing so, one can still prove that the resulting vector is a good approximation of the original vector, and the same analysis will go through.

By truncation, we can assume the vectors are of small support, and one can show that the running time is $O\left(d\cdot |S|\cdot polylog(|S|) \middle/ \varphi(S)\right)$, which is sublinear if d and |S| are Small. The details are straightforward but tedious and are omitted (See [KLL 16]).

There are other local graph partitioning algorithms using pagerank vectors and evolving sets (see project page).

In [kllob] - it is Shown that these local graph partitioning algorithms also perform better when

 λ_{K} or ϕ_{K} are large, with a similar performance quarantee as the improved Cheeger's inequality.

Higher eigenvalues and small sparse cuts

Finally, we see the original approach in [ABS10] which uses higher eigenvalues to show the existence of a small sparse cut.

Roughly speaking, they showed that for large enough k (e.g. k=n°), there exists a set S with size $\approx \frac{n}{k}$ with $\phi(s) \approx J\lambda_k$.

This result can be derived using the same framework.

The key is to prove a lower bound on $\|W^t x_i\|_2^2$ using higher eigenvalues.

Suppose the eigenvalues of L satisfy $0 = \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le ... \le \lambda_K \le \lambda$.

Recall that $W=\frac{1}{2}I+\frac{1}{2}A=\frac{1}{2}I+\frac{1}{2}(I-L)=I-\frac{1}{2}L$. Call the eigenvalues of $W=\frac{1}{2}A\geq\frac{1}{2}\dots\geq A_n$.

Our assumption that $\lambda_k \leq \lambda$ translates to $\alpha_k > 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}$.

Note that
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \| w^{t} x_{i} \|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}^{T} w^{2t} x_{i}|^{2} = \text{Tr}(w^{2t})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{2t} \qquad (\text{Since trace = sum of eigenvalues})$$

$$\geq k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2t} \qquad (\text{by our assumption} |x_{k}|^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}).$$

Therefore, there exists a vertex i with $\|\mathbf{w}^{t}\mathbf{x}_{i}\|_{2}^{2} \ge \frac{k}{n}(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})^{2t}$ by averaging.

If $\|\mathbf{W}^{t}\mathbf{X}_{i}\|_{2}^{2} \geqslant \frac{k}{n}$, then we can get a Set of size $O(\frac{n}{k})$ by Lemma 2 and 3, and that's ideal. We can't quite do that, but we will try to get something close, so that the set size will be $O(\frac{n}{k^{1-c}})$ for some absolute constant O(<<<|1|).

For simplicity, let's just aim for $c = \frac{1}{2}$.

To do this, we set $t = \frac{\ln K}{2\lambda}$.

Then,
$$\| w^t \chi_{\hat{i}} \|_2^2 \ge \frac{k}{n} (1 - \frac{\lambda}{2})^{2t} \ge \frac{k}{n} e^{-\lambda t} = \frac{k}{n} e^{-\frac{\ln k}{2}} = \frac{Jk}{n}$$
.
By Lemma 1, $R(w^t \chi_{\hat{i}}) \le 2 - 2 \| w^t \chi_{\hat{i}} \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \le 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \le 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 - 2 \left(\frac{Jk}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \| x \|_2^{\frac{1}$

Therefore, using this choice of t. We can apply Lemma 2 and 3 to get a set S with $|S| = O\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\right) \text{ and } \Phi(S) = O\left(\frac{\lambda \ell_{nn}}{\ell_{n\kappa}}\right).$

Theorem [ABS10] For $k \ge n^{2\beta}$, there is a set S with $|S| = O(n^{1-\beta})$ and $\phi(s) = O(\int_{\beta}^{\lambda})$.

This result is important in designing a subexponential time algorithm for the unique games problem.

References

[ABS10] Subexponential algorithms for unique games and related problems. by Arora, Barak, Steurer, 2010.

[STO4] A local clustering algorithm for massive graphs and its application to near linear time graph partitioning, by Spielman and Teng, 2004.

[ACLO6] Local graph partitioning using PageRank Vectors, by Andersen, Chung, Lang, 2006.

[AP 09] Finding sparse cuts locally using evolving sets, by Andersen and Peres, 2008.

[KLL 16] Improved Cheeper's inequality and analysis of local graph partitioning using vertex expansion and expansion profile, by Kwok, Lau, Lee, 2016.