Input Performance

Recall Butlers-Based Design

- Respect physical and mental effort
- Physical
 - Treat clicks as sacred
 - Remember where they put things
 - Remember what they told you
 - Stick with a mode
- Mental also important, but input performance is about physical effort, primarily clicks and motion

In this lecture

- Models of input performance
 - KLM, Fitts's Law, Steering Law
- UI Techniques to "Beat" Fitts's Law/Steering Law
 - Bubble Cursor
 - Expect-K
 - Enhanced menus

 Problem: You're designing an interface and would like to model how long it takes to perform different tasks

– Why?

- Keystroke Level Model
 - Describes a time cost for each action
 - A task is a sequence of actions, so simply sum the time costs involved.

 Describe each task with a sequence of the following operators. Sum up times to estimate how long the task takes

- -P = Pointing = 1.10s
- -H = Homing = 0.4s
- D = Drawing = variable time
- M = Mental operator = 1.35s
- -R = Response by System = 1.2s

• Benefits?

- Drawbacks?
 - Some time estimates are out of date
 - Some time estimates are inherently variable
 - Doesn't model:
 - Errors
 - Learning time
 - etc.

- Drawbacks (cont):
 - Equipment differs (eg: Trackpoint vs. touchpad)
 - All pointing takes 1.10s? Really?





Better Estimates

- **Fitts' Law**: Pointing time without path constraints
 - Paul Fitts (1954)
 - Psychologist at Ohio State University
 - Theory based on rapid, aimed movement
 - Most robust and highly adopted model of human hand movement
- **Steering Law**: Pointing time with path constraints
 - Independently discovered three times:
 - Rashevsky (1959)
 - Drury (1971)
 - Zhai and Acott (1997)
 - The last one was in the HCI community; most general mathematical result



Fitts' Law
$$T = a + b \log_2 \left(\frac{A}{W} + 1\right)$$

- T = time
- A = Amplitude of movement (distance between the starting point and the centre of the target)
- W = Constraining size of the target
- a and b are empirically determined based on the device and user

Fitts' Law Examples (1)

- Assume a = 1030 and b = 96.
- What are the values for A and W?



Fitts' Law Example (1)

$$T = 1030 + 96 \log_{2} \left(\frac{156 + 24/2}{24} + 1 \right)$$

$$T = 1030 + 96 \log_{2} \left(\frac{168}{24} + 1 \right)$$

$$T = 1030 + 96 \log_{2} \left(8 \right)$$

$$T = 1030 + 96 \times 3$$

$$T = 1318ms$$

Fitts' Law Example (2)

• Assume a = 1030 and b = 96.



Fitts' Law: Mac vs. Windows



Fitts' Law: Index of Difficulty

- ID = "Index of Difficulty"
- IP = "Index of Performance" = 1/b



$$T = a + b \log_2 \left(\frac{A}{W} + 1\right)$$

$$ID$$

ID versus IP



Physical Interpretation

- Larger objects are acquired faster than smaller objects; closer objects are acquired faster than more distant objects
- Gives mathematical rigor to what we already know intuitively:
 - the faster we move, the less precise our movements are

- Assumptions:
 - User is free to choose any path to the target.
 - Ignores the time to locate the target.

Pop-up Menus; Pie Menus; Marking Menus

- Pop-up Menus
 - Customized for action
 - Near mouse, but some items are still far away
- Pie Menus
 - All items are close
- Marking Menus are a special variant of pie • menus that work well for expert use





)-up Merzi Cut ЖX Copy #C Paste жv Bullets and Numbering ... Decrease Indent Increase Indent Insert Table... Delete Cells... Draw Table Borders and Shading ... Hyperlink... ЖK

Font... жD Paragraph... ∖сжм Text Direction...

http://instruct.uwo.ca/english/234e/site/secondlife 2.html

Bubble Cursors

• <u>Change the size of the cursor to enclose</u> <u>exactly one nearby target.</u>



Expect-K

- Video of Expect-K
- Atomik keyboard



Motor vs. Screen Space

- How the cursor moves in response to mouse motion is under our control.
 - Making the cursor move more slowly when over the save button makes it larger in "motor space" even though it looks the same size in "screen space".
 - LOOKS the same on screen, but "Save" button is "sticky".
 - Faster to click "Save" than Fitts' Law (in pixels) would indicate.





Steering Law

- Steering Law is an adaptation of Fitts' Law
- Developed by Zhai and Acott
- Choose a paradigm which focuses on steering between boundaries
- Applicability?



Steering Law

Tracking a constrained path takes longer



Steering Law: Goal Passing



- Subjects passed a stylus from one end to the other
 - As fast as possible
 - Between each goal
 - Several trials with different amplitudes (A) and widths (W)
- Result: Same law as Fitts' tapping task

Steering Law: Goal Passing

• With only goals at the endpoints:

- When N approaches infinity, the task approaches steering through a tunnel (hierarchical menu).
- Index of Difficulty:

$$T = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} b \log_2 \left(\frac{A/N}{W} + 1 \right)$$
$$T = b \frac{A}{W}$$

 So difficulty is not related to log(A/W) but just A/W

Hierarchical Menus

- Sum the parts of the path:
 - Wide path (but short stopping distance)
 - Narrow path (but wide stopping distance)
 - Wide path (with short stopping distance)



Improving Menus



Variants on Steering Law

- Crossing-based interfaces
 - Uses goal crossing instead of clicking
 - Useful for some paradigms (e.g. stylus, maybe finger, etc.)
 - Mathematical behaviour is same as Fitts's Law, but you can cross two targets in same gesture
 - Avoids down, up, down, up.
 - Becomes almost marking menu style behaviour

Summary

 We have mathematical models for acquiring a target, both when the path is unconstrained and constrained

Larger/closer is faster

• Gives some ideas for speeding things up