# Introduction to Multiagent Learning

Kate Larson

Cheriton School of Computer Science

University of Waterloo



#### Multiagent Reinforcement Learning



#### Stochastic Games (think of this as an n-agent MDP)



### Stochastic Game

- Normally represented by a tuple SG=< N,S,A,R,T, $\gamma$  >
  - N: set of agents
  - S: state space
  - A=A<sub>1</sub> x ...x A<sub>n</sub>: joint action space
  - R=R<sub>1</sub>x... xR<sub>n</sub>: joint reward function
    - R<sub>i</sub>(s,a) for a=(a<sub>1</sub>,...,a<sub>n</sub>) in A
  - T: transition function T(s',a, s) = P(s' | s, a) for a=(a<sub>1</sub>,...,a<sub>n</sub>)
  - $\gamma$ : discount factor 0<  $\gamma \leq 1$

#### Stochastic Games (think of this as an n-agent MDP)



#### Stochastic Game

- Normally represented by a tuple SG=< N,S,A,R,T, $\gamma$  >
  - N: set of agents
  - S: state space
  - A=A<sub>1</sub> x ...x A<sub>n</sub>: joint action space
  - R=R<sub>1</sub>x... xR<sub>n</sub>: joint reward function
    - $R_i(s,a)$  for  $a=(a_1,...,a_n)$  in A
  - T: transition function T(s',a, s) = P(s' | s, a) for a=(a<sub>1</sub>,...,a<sub>n</sub>)
  - $\gamma$ : discount factor 0<  $\gamma \leq 1$

**Policy**:  $\pi_i: S \to \Delta Ai$ 

**Goal**: Find a policy  $\pi^* = (\pi_1^*, ..., \pi_n^*)$  such that  $\pi_i^* = \arg \max \sum \gamma^t \sum E[r(s, a)]$  where expectation is conditioned on joint policy  $\pi$ 

# Playing a Stochastic Game

- Players choose their actions at the same time
  - No communication
  - No observation of the other agents' actions at that time step
- At each stage, players are facing a normal form game
  - Q-values of the current state and joint action are the payoffs for the agents
- Stochastic game is a generalization of a repeated game

# **Optimal Policies**

- Recall, agents are learning in a multi-agent setting
  - Optimal policies should correspond to some equilibrium of the stochastic game
- Nash equilibrium is one example
  - Value function

$$V_i^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[r_{i,t} | s_o = s, \pi]$$

• Nash Equilibrium

$$V_i^{(\pi_i^*, \pi_{-i}^*)}(s) \ge V_i^{(\pi_i, \pi_{-i}^*)}(s), \forall s \in S, \forall i \in N, \forall \pi_i \neq \pi_i^*$$

#### Independent Learners

• Naïve approach: Each agent uses Q-learning directly, assuming the other agents' are part of the environment

$$Q_i(s, a_i) \leftarrow Q_i(s, a_i) + \alpha(r_i + \gamma \max_{a'_i} Q_i(s', a'_i) - Q_i(s, a_i))$$

- Pro: Simple, easy to apply
- Cons:
  - Non-stationary transition and reward models
  - Does not work well against opponents playing complex strategies
  - No convergence guarantees

# **Opponent Modelling**

- We need to have some idea what other agents are doing
  - (but this is not directly observable at time t)
- Agents maintain a **belief** over over the actions taken by other agents
  - Opponent modelling
- Types of opponent modelling
  - Fictitious play
  - Solving unique equilibrium in the stage game
  - Gradient based methods
  - Bayesian approaches

# Fictitious Play

- Each agent assumes all others are playing a stationary strategy
- Agents maintain a count of the number of times another agent has taken action a<sub>i</sub> in state s

$$n_i^t(s, a_j) \leftarrow 1 + n_i^{t-1}(s, a_j), \forall j, \forall i \in N$$

 Agents update and sample from their belief about this strategy at each stage

$$\mu_i^{j,t}(s) \sim \frac{n_i^t(s,a_j)}{\sum_{a'_j} n_i^t(s,a'_j)}$$

• Agents best-respond according to this belief

## **Cooperative Stochastic Games**

- Normally represented by a tuple SG=< N,S,A,R,T, $\gamma$  >
  - N: set of agents
  - S: state space
  - A=A<sub>1</sub> x ...x A<sub>n</sub>: joint action space
  - R=R<sub>1</sub>x... xR<sub>n</sub>: joint reward function
    - R<sub>i</sub>(s,a)=R(s,a) for a=(a<sub>1</sub>,...,a<sub>n</sub>) in A
  - T: transition function T(s',a, s) = P(s' | s, a) for a=(a<sub>1</sub>,...,a<sub>n</sub>)
  - $\gamma$ : discount factor 0<  $\gamma \leq 1$

## **Optimal Policies for Cooperative Games**

- Pareto dominating (Nash) equilibrium
- Even though rewards/payoffs of agents are aligned, there is still a coordination problem

## Learning in Cooperative Stochastic Games

- Joint Action Learner (JAL) or Joint Q Learning (JQL)
- Must respond to the environment as well as the other agents.
- Similar to Q-learning by agents also include other agents' actions in the update

$$Q_i(s, a_i, a_{-i}) \leftarrow Q_i(s, a_i, a_{-i}) + \alpha(r_i + \gamma \max_{a'_i} Q_i(s', a'_i, a'_{-i}) - Q_i(s, a_i, a_{-i}))$$

- Two objectives:
  - Agent: find the optimal policy for best response
  - System: Find the NE of the stochastic game (or Nash Q-function of the game)
- Nash Q-function: agent's discounted future rewards when all agents follow the NE policy

# Joint Q-Learning

Initialize Q-values

Repeat until convergence of Q values

Repeat for each agent i

- Select and execute a<sub>i</sub>
- Observe s', r<sub>i</sub>, a<sub>-i</sub>
- Update counts for states/joint actions:  $n(s, a) \leftarrow 1 + n(s, a)$  note that a is the joint action
- Update learning rate:  $\alpha \leftarrow 1/n(s,a)$
- Update counts for states/individual agent actions:  $n_i(s, a_j) \leftarrow 1 + n_i(s, a_j)$
- Update beliefs:

$$\mu_i^j(s) \sim \frac{n_i(s, a_j)}{\sum_{a'_j} n_i(s, a'_j)}$$

• Update Q-value:

$$Q_i(s, a_i, a_{-i}) \leftarrow Q_i(s, a_i, a_{-i}) + \alpha(r_i + \gamma \max_{a'_i} Q_i(s', a'_i, \mu_i^{-i}(s')) - Q_i(s, a_i, a_{-i}))$$

# Convergence of Joint Q-Learning

- If the game is finite, then play will converge to true response to other agents in self-play
  - Self-play: all agents use the same algorithm
- Joint Q-learning converges to Nash Q-values in cooperative stochastic games
  - Every state is visited infinitely often (due to exploration)
  - Learning rate is decreased fast enough but not too fast (same conditions as for Q-learning)
- In cooperative stochastic games, Nash-Q values are unique (unique equilibrium point in terms of utilities)

# Joint Q-Learning

Initialize Q-values

Repeat until convergence of Q values

Repeat for each agent i

- Select and execute a<sub>i</sub>
- Observe s', r<sub>i</sub>, a<sub>-i</sub>
- Update counts for states/joint actions:  $n(s, a) \leftarrow 1 + n(s, a)$  note that a is the joint action
- Update learning rate:  $\alpha \leftarrow 1/n(s,a)$
- Update counts for states/individual agent actions:  $n_i(s, a_j) \leftarrow 1 + n_i(s, a_j)$
- Update beliefs:

$$\mu_i^j(s) \sim \frac{n_i(s, a_j)}{\sum_{a'_j} n_i(s, a'_j)}$$

• Update Q-value:

$$Q_i(s, a_i, a_{-i}) \leftarrow Q_i(s, a_i, a_{-i}) + \alpha(r_i + \gamma \max_{a'_i} Q_i(s', a'_i, \mu_i^{-i}(s')) - Q_i(s, a_i, a_{-i}))$$

## Exploration-Exploitation Tradeoff

- Epsilon-greedy
  - Like in the single case, but now you are taking the best-response action given your beliefs
- Boltzmann exploration
  - "Temperature" parameter T (high T increases randomness, low T is less random)

$$P(a) = \frac{e^{\frac{Q_i(s,a_i,\mu_i^{-i}(s))}{T}}}{\sum_{a'} e^{\frac{Q_i(s,a'_i,\mu_i^{-i}(s))}{T}}}}$$



- Stochastic Games
- Fictitious Play
- How to learn in Cooperative Stochastic Games