CS 486/686: Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Reinforcement Learning



- Computer Go: 3^{361} states 3^{361}
- Inverted pendulum:
 - 4 dimensional, continuous state space
- Atari: 210 x 160 x 3 dimensions (pixel values)







Value-Function Approximation

- So far we have represented value functions by a look-up table (tabular RL)
 - Every state s has an entry V(S)
 - Every state-action pair s,a has an entry Q(s,a)
- Issue
 - There are too many states or actions to store in memory
 - It is too slow to learn the value of each state individually

Value-Function Approximation

• Estimate value functions with function approximation

$$V^{\pi}(s) \sim \hat{V}(s, \mathbf{w})$$
$$Q^{\pi}(s, a) \sim \hat{Q}(s, a, \mathbf{w})$$

- Let $s = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T$ or $(s,a) = (x_1(s,a), ..., x_n(s,a))^T$
 - Linear: V(s, w) = $\sum_i w_i x_i(s)$, Q(s,a,w) = $\sum_i w_i x_i(s,a)$
 - Non-linear (e.g. neural networks): V(s,w) (Q(s,a,w))= g(x;w)

Recall: Neural Networks

• Network of units linked by weighted edges

W

- Each unit computes: $z=h(\sqrt[3]{w} \sqrt[4]{x} + b)$
 - Inputs: x x
 - Outputs: z z
 - Weights: w
 - Bias: b
 - Activation^{*l*}function: h



 Neural networks with at least one "large enough" hidden layer consisting of sigmoid/tanh/Gaussian units can approximate any function arbitrarily closely

h

Gradient Q-Learning

- Minimize the error between Q-value estimate and a target
 - Estimate: Q(s,a,w)
 - Target: r+γmax_a, Q(s',a',w')
- Squared Error:

$$\operatorname{err}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} [Q(s, a, \mathbf{w}) - r - \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a', \mathbf{w}')]^2$$

• Gradient:

$$\frac{\partial \text{Err}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = [Q(s, a, \mathbf{w}) - r - \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a', \mathbf{w}')] \frac{\partial Q(s, a, \mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

Gradient Q-Learning

Initialize weights *w* at random in [-1,1]

Observe current state *s*

Loop

Select action *a* and execute it

Receive immediate reward r

Observe new state *s'*

Gradient:
$$\frac{\partial Err}{\partial w} = [Q_w(s, a) - r - \gamma \max_{a'} Q_w(s', a')] \frac{\partial Q_w(s, a)}{\partial w}$$

Update weights: $w \leftarrow w - \alpha \frac{\partial Err}{\partial w}$
Update state: $s \leftarrow s'$

Convergence?

• Tabular Q-learning converges when

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \alpha_t = \infty \text{ and } \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \alpha_t^2 < \infty$$

We typically set ^{t=0}/_a(s,a)=1/n(s,a) where n(s,a) is the number of times (s,a) is visited or 1/t.

- Linear function approximation
 - Same convergence guarantees
- Non-linear function approximation
 - No convergence guarantee

Non-linear function approximation

Handling divergence:

- Experience replay
- Use two networks:
 - Q-network
 - Target network

Experience Replay

- Store previous experiences (s,a,,s',r) into a buffer and sample a mini-batch of previous experiences at each step to learn by Q-learning
 - Break correlations between successive updates (more stable learning)
 - Less interactions with environment needed (better data efficiency)

Target Network

- Use a target network that is updated only occasionally
- Repeat for each (s,a,s',r) in a mini-batch:

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \alpha_t [Q(s, a, \mathbf{w}) - r - \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a', \mathbf{w}')] \frac{\partial Q(s, a, \mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{r}}$$
$$\mathbf{w}' \leftarrow \mathbf{w}$$

• Observe: similar in spirit to value iteration

•3 Gradient Q-learning with

- Deep neural networks
- Experience replay
 Target network

Playing Atari with Deep Reinforcement Learning

Volodymyr Mnih Koray Kavukcuoglu David Silver Alex Graves Ioannis Antonoglou

Daan Wierstra Martin Riedmiller

DeepMind Technologies

{vlad,koray,david,alex.graves,ioannis,daan,martin.riedmiller} @ deepmind.com

0008

Abstract

We present the first deep learning model to successfully learn control policies directly from high-dimensional sensory input using reinforcement learning. The model is a convolutional neural network, trained with a variant of Q-learning, whose input is raw pixels and whose output is a value function estimating future rewards. We apply our method to seven Atari 2600 games from the Arcade Learning Environment, with no adjustment of the architecture or learning algorithm. We find that it outperforms all previous approaches on six of the games and surpasses a human expert on three of them.

DQN

Initialize weights *w* and \overline{w} at random in [-1,1] Observe current state *s* Loop Select action *a* and execute it Receive immediate reward r Observe new state s' Add $\langle s, a, s', r \rangle$ to experience buffer Sample mini-batch of experiences from buffer For each experience $\langle \hat{s}, \hat{a}, \hat{s'}, \hat{r} \rangle$ in mini-batch Gradient: $\frac{\partial Err}{\partial w} = [Q_w(\hat{s}, \hat{a}) - \hat{r} - \gamma \max_{\hat{a}'} Q_{\overline{w}}(\hat{s}', \hat{a}')] \frac{\partial Q_w(\hat{s}, \hat{a})}{\partial w}$ Update weights: $w \leftarrow w - \alpha \frac{\partial Err}{\partial w}$ Update state: $s \leftarrow s'$ Every *c* steps, update target: $\overline{w} \leftarrow w$

DQN for Atari



