# CS 486/686: Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Constraint Satisfaction

## Outline

- What are Constraint Satisfaction Problems (CSPs)
- Standard Search and CSPs
- Leveraging problem structure Improvements

## Introduction

#### **Standard search**

**State** is a "black box": arbitrary data structure

**Goal test**: any function over states

### Successor function:

anything that lets you move from one state to another

# Constraint satisfaction problems (CSPs)

A special subset of search problems

**States** are defined by *variables* X<sub>i</sub> with values from *domains* D<sub>i</sub>

Goal test is a *set of constraints* specifying allowable combinations of values for subsets of variables

# Example: Map Colouring

#### Variables

V={T, V, NSW, Q, NT, WA, SA}

### Domains

D={red, blue, green}

**Constraints**: adjacent regions must have different colours

```
Implicit: WA≠NT
```

```
Explicit: (WA, NT)∈ {(red, blue), (red, green), (blue, red)...}
```

Solution is an assignment satisfying all constraints

{WA=red, NT=green, Q=red, NSW=green, V=red, SA=blue, T=green}



## N Queens Problem

Variables: Xi,j

**Domains**: {0,1}

**Constraints**:

VOU	5				
				N.O.	
		8	NOV9		

 $\forall i, j, k \ (X_{ij}, X_{ik}) \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)\}$   $\forall i, j, k \ (X_{ij}, X_{kj}) \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)\}$   $\forall i, j, k \ (X_{ij}, X_{i+k,j+k}) \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)\}$  $\forall i, j, k \ (X_{ij}, X_{i+k,j-k}) \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ 

## N Queens Problem

Variables: Qi

**Domains**: {1,2,...,N}

### **Constraints**:



Implicit:

 $\forall i, j \text{ non-threatening}(Q_i, Q_j)$ 

Explict:  $(Q_1, Q_2) \in \{(1, 3), (1, 4), \ldots\}$ 

. . .

3 Sat

### Variables: V<sub>1</sub>,..., V<sub>n</sub> Domains: {0,1} Constraints:

K constraints of the form  $V_i^* V V_j^* V V_k^* V_i^*$  where  $V_i^*$  is either  $V_i$  or  $\neg V_i$ 

```
A \neg B \lor \neg C\neg A \lor B \lor DD \lor B \lor E\neg A \lor \neg B \lor C
```

A canonical NP-complete problem



#### **Discrete Variables**

#### **Finite domains**

If domain has size d, then there are O(d<sup>n</sup>) complete assignments Boolean CSPs (including 3-SAT)

#### Infinite domains (e.g. integers)

**Constraint languages** 

Linear constraints are solvable but non-linear are undecidable

#### **Continuous Variables**

Linear programming (linear constraints solvable in polynomial time)

# Types of CSPs

#### **Varieties of Constraints**

Unary constraints: involve a single variable NSW≠red
Binary constraints: involve a pair of variables NSW≠Q
Higher-order constraints: involve more than two variables AllDiff(V<sub>1</sub>,...,V<sub>n</sub>)

#### Soft Constraints (preferences)

red "is better than" green Constrained optimization problems

## Constraint Graphs

You can represent binary constraints with a constraint graph

Nodes are variables

Edges are constraints





# CSPs and Search

We can use standard search to solve CSPs

States:

Initial State:

Successor Function:

Goal Test:



## CSPs and Search

States:

Initial State:

Successor Function:

Goal Test:

# What happens if we run something like BFS?



## Commutativity

# Key Insight: CSPS are commutative

- Order of actions does not effect outcome
- Can assign variables in any order

# CSP algorithms take advantage of this

• Consider assignment of a single variable at each node in the tree



{WA=red, NT=blue} is equivalent to {NT=blue, WA=red}

# Backtracking Search

Backtracking search is the basic algorithm for CSPs

















# Backtracking and Efficiency

Note that backtracking search is basically DFS with some small improvements. Can we improve on it further?

#### **Ordering**:

- Which variables should be tried first?
- In what order should a variable's values be tried?

#### Filtering:

• Can we detect failure early?

#### Structure:

• Can we exploit the problem structure?

## Ordering: Most Constrained Variable

Choose the variable which has the fewest "legal" moves AKA minimum remaining values (MRV)



## Ordering: Most Constraining Variable

Most constraining variable:

Choose variable with most constraints on remaining variables

Tie-breaker among most constrained variables



## Ordering: Least-Constraining Value

Given a variable, choose the least constraining value:

The one that rules out the fewest values in the remaining variables



# Filtering: Forward Checking

Forward checking:

Keep track of remaining legal values for unassigned variables Terminate search when any variable has no legal values



WA	NT	Q	NSW	V	SA	Т
RGB						



WA	NT	Q	NSW	V	SA	Т
RGB	RGB	RGB	RGB	RGB	RGB	RGB
R	KGB	RGB	RGB	RGB	RGB	RGB
Forward checking removes the value Red of NI and of SA						



WA	NT	Q	NSW	V	SA	Т
RGB						
R	GB	RGB	RGB	RGB	GB	RGB
R	B	G	RGB	RGB	GB	RGB



WA	NT	Q	NSW	V	SA	Т
RGB						
R	GB	RGB	RGB	RGB	GB	RGB
R	В	G	RB	RGB	В	RGB
R	В	G	RB	В		RGB

Empty set: the current assignment  $\{(WA \in R), (Q \in G), (V \in B)\}$ does not lead to a solution

WA	NT	Q	NSW	V	SA	Т
RGB						
R	GB	RGB	RGB	RGB	GB	RGB
R	В	G	RB	RGB	В	RGB
R	В	G	R	В	8	RGB

# Filtering: Arc Consistency

Forward checking propagates information from assigned to unassigned variables, but it can not detect all future failures early



WA	NT	Q	NSW	V	SA	Т
RGB						
R	GB	RGB	RGB	RGB	GB	RGB
R	В	G	RB	RGB	В	RGB

NT and SA can not both be blue!

Need to reason about constraints

# Filtering: Arc Consistency

Given domains  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , an arc is consistent if for all x in  $D_1$  there is a y in  $D_2$  such that x and y are consistent.



Is the arc from SA to NSW consistent? Is the arc from NSW to SA consistent?

# Structure: Independent Subproblems

Tasmania does not interact with the rest of the problem

Idea: Break down the graph into its connected components. Solve each component separately.

#### Significant potential savings:

- Assume n variables with domain size d: O(d<sup>n</sup>)
- Assume each component involves c variables (n/c components) for some constant c: O(d<sup>c</sup> n/c)



# Structure: Tree Structures

CSPs can be solved in O(nd<sup>2</sup>) if there are no loops in the constraint graph



Step 1: For i=n to 1, make-consistent(Xi, parent(Xi))

Step 2: For i=1 to n, assign value to X<sub>i</sub> consistent with parent(X<sub>i</sub>) [Note: No backtracking!]

# Structure: Non-Trees?



If we assign SA a colour and then remove that colour from the domains all other variables, then we have a tree

**Step 1**: Choose a subset S of variables such that the constraint graph becomes a tree when S is removed (S is the cycle cutset)

Step 2: For each possible valid assignment to the variables in S

- 1. Remove from the domains of remaining variables, all values that are inconsistent with S
- 2. If the remaining CSP has a solution, return it

## Structure: Cutsets



Running time:

- Let c be the size of the cutset then
  - d<sup>c</sup> combinations of variables in S
  - For each combination must solve a tree problem of size n-c (O(n-c)d<sup>2</sup>)
  - Therefore, running time is O(d<sup>c</sup>(n-c)d<sup>2</sup>)
- Finding smallest cutset is NP-hard but efficient approximations exist

# Structure: Non-Trees?



- 1. Each variable appears in at least one subproblem
- 2. If two variables are connected by a constraint, then they (and the constraint) must appear together in at least one subproblem
- 3. If a variable appears in two subproblems in the tree, it must appear in every subproblem along the path connecting those subproblems

# Structure: Tree Decompositions

Solve each subproblem independently

e.g {(WA=r,NT=g,SA=b),(WA=b, NT=g,SA=r),...}

Solve constraints connecting the subproblems using tree-based algorithm (to make sure that subproblems with shared variables agree)

Want to make the subproblems as small as possible! Tree width: w= Size of largest subproblem-1 Running time O(nd<sup>w+1</sup>)



Finding tree decomposition with min treewidth is NP-hard, but good heuristics exist

## Summary

Formalize problems as CSPs Backtracking search Improvements using Ordering Filtering Structure