

Competitive Auction

Andrew V. Goldberg, Jason D. Hartline,
Anna R. Karlin, Michael Saks, Andrew Wright

Presented by Derek Wang

Outline

- Introduction
- Preliminaries and notation
- Competitive analysis
- Deterministic auctions are not competitive
- A lower bound on the competitive ratio
- Competitive auctions via random sampling
- Limited supply
- Better than F ?
- Conclusions and future work

Introduction

- We study a class of single-round, sealed-bid auctions for an item in unlimited supply.
- Set optimal single price profit as a benchmark
- The approach is motivated by competitive analysis of on-line algorithms.

Preliminaries and notation

- *Single-round sealed-bid auction, A*

- **b** the vector of all submitted bids(maximum amount)

The i th component of **b** is b_i , the bid submitted by bidder i

- *allocation, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$*

price, $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$

- *profit of the auction $A(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_i p_i$*

We make the following assumptions about the bidders:

- Each bidder has a private *valuation*,
- Each bidder bids so as to maximize their *utility*,
- Bidders bid with full knowledge of the auctioneer's mechanism.
- Bidders do not collude.
- The bidders in the auction are indistinguishable from the perspective of the auctioneer.

Bid-independent Auction, $A_f(\mathbf{b})$

- $t_i \leftarrow f(b_{-i})$
- If $t_i \leq b_i$, set $x_i \leftarrow 1$ and $p_i \leftarrow t_i$
- Otherwise, set $x_i = p_i = 0$

A deterministic auction is truthful if and only if it is equivalent to a deterministic bid-independent auction.

**Same applied to randomized auction*

Optimal omniscient auctions

- *Optimal single price omniscient auction, F*

$$F(b) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} iz_i$$

- *Optimal Multiple price omniscient auction, T*

$$T(b) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} b_i$$

Competitive analysis

- Let \mathbf{b} be a vector of bids. Denote by $\text{opt}(\mathbf{b})$ the sale price for \mathbf{b} that gives the optimal profit

$$\text{opt}(\mathbf{b}) = \underset{z_i}{\text{argmax}} \, iz_i$$

- The *Deterministic Optimal Price* (DOP) auction is defined by the bid independent function f

$$f(b_{-i}) = \text{opt}(b_{-i})$$

Competitive analysis

- DOP is a profit maximizing auction for a large class of distributions over bid vectors.
- Easy to exhibit classes of bid vectors where DOP's profit is very far from optimal

Competitive auction framework

- *There exist bid vectors \mathbf{b} for which*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{b}) = \Theta(T(\mathbf{b})/\ln n).$$

Moreover, for all bid vectors \mathbf{b}

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{b}) \geq T(\mathbf{b})/\ln n.$$

- *For any truthful auction \mathcal{A}_f and any $\beta \geq 1$, there is a bid vector b such that the expected profit of \mathcal{A}_f on b is less than $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{b})/\beta$.*

- *Optimal single price omniscient auction that sells at least two units, $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}$*

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2)}(\mathbf{b}) = \max_{2 \leq k \leq n} kz_k.$$

- *m -optimal single price omniscient auction, $\mathcal{F}^{(m)}$*

$$\mathcal{F}^{(m)}(\mathbf{b}) = \max_{m \leq k \leq n} kz_k.$$

- We say that auction \mathcal{A} is β -competitive against $\mathcal{F}^{(m)}$ if for all bid vectors \mathbf{b} , the expected profit of \mathcal{A} on \mathbf{b} satisfies

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{b})] \geq \frac{\mathcal{F}^{(m)}(\mathbf{b})}{\beta}.$$

- β as the competitive ratio of \mathcal{A} .
- $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}$ is the strongest omniscient auction that we will be able to feasibly compete with.

Deterministic auctions are not competitive

Let \mathcal{A}_f be any symmetric deterministic auction defined by bid-independent function f . Then \mathcal{A}_f is not competitive: for any $1 \leq m \leq n$ there exists a bid vector b of length n such that the profit on b is at most $\mathcal{F}^{(m)}(\mathbf{b}) \frac{m}{n}$.

A lower bound on the competitive ratio

We show that for any randomized truthful auction \mathcal{A} , there exists an input bid vector \mathbf{b} on which

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{b})] \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}^{(2)}(\mathbf{b})}{2.42}.$$

Competitive auctions via random sampling

- The Random Sampling Optimal Price auction (RSOP) is:
 - (1) Partition bids b uniformly at random into two sets: b' , b''
 - (2) Let $p' = \text{opt}(b')$ and $p'' = \text{opt}(b'')$
 - (3) Use p' as a take-it-or-leave-it offer for all bids in b''
 - (4) Use p'' as a take-it-or-leave-it offer for all bids in b'

- The RSOP auction is truthful.
- RSOP is constant competitive against $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}$.
- Let \mathbf{b} be any bounded-range bid vector, i.e., any bid vector of n bids with $b_i \in [1, h]$ for all i . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\mathbf{b}} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{b})}{\text{RSOP}(\mathbf{b})} = 1.$$

- Random Sampling Profit Extraction auction (RSPE) is:
 - (1) Partition bids b uniformly at random into two sets: b' and b'' .
 - (2) Compute $F' = F(b')$ and $F'' = F(b'')$.
 - (3) Compute the auction results by running $ProfitExtract_{F''}$ on b' and $ProfitExtract_{F'}$ on b''
- * $ProfitExtract_R$, given target profit R , is defined:
 - (1) Find the largest k such that highest k bidders' bids are at least R/k
 - (2) Charge these k bidders R/k and reject all others.

- RSPE is truthful.
- RSPE is 4-competitive

Limited supply

- *The limited supply version of RSOP is constant competitive against $\mathcal{F}^{(2,k)}$.*
- *The limited supply version of RSPE is 4-competitive against $\mathcal{F}^{(2,k)}$.*

Better than F?

- An auction is monotone if for any pair of bidders i and j with $b_i \leq b_j$, we have:

$\forall x \leq b_i, \Pr[\text{bidder } i \text{ wins at price } \leq x] \leq \Pr[\text{bidder } j \text{ wins at price } \leq x].$

- Let \mathcal{A} be any monotone(truthful) randomized auction. For all bid vectors \mathbf{b} , the revenue $R = \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{b})$ of \mathcal{A} on input \mathbf{b} satisfies $\mathbf{E}[R] \leq \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{b})$.
- No monotone auction can achieve an expected profit higher than F on any input.

Conclusions and future works

- Lower bound $13/6$ -competitive three bidder auction.
- Best possible bound on RSOP's competitive ratio?
- Some of my thoughts about this paper:
 - All the proofs are beautiful
 - Are RSOP and RSPE good examples?

Discussion

Questions and Comments