

Efficient Rational Creative Telescoping

Hui Huang

School of Mathematical Sciences
Dalian University of Technology

Joint work with Mark Giesbrecht, George Labahn and Eugene Zima

Outline

- ▶ Technique of creative telescoping
- ▶ New approach for rational functions

The creative telescoping problem

GIVEN $f(n, k)$, FIND $g(n, k)$ s.t.

$$f(n, k) = g(n, k + 1) - g(n, k).$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(n, k)$ satisfies

$$F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n (g(n, k + 1) - g(n, k)).$$

The creative telescoping problem

GIVEN $f(n, k)$, FIND $g(n, k)$ s.t.

$$f(n, k) = g(n, k + 1) - g(n, k).$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(n, k)$ satisfies

$$F(n) = g(n, n + 1) - g(n, 0).$$

The creative telescoping problem

GIVEN $k \cdot k!$, FIND $k!$ s.t.

$$k \cdot k! = (k + 1)! - k!.$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n k \cdot k!$ satisfies

$$F(n) = (n + 1)! - 1.$$

The creative telescoping problem

GIVEN $\binom{n}{k}$, FIND $g(n, k)$ s.t.

$$\binom{n}{k} = g(n, k+1) - g(n, k).$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}$ satisfies

$$F(n) = g(n, n+1) - g(n, 0).$$

The creative telescoping problem

GIVEN $\binom{n}{k}$, FIND $g(n, k)$ s.t.

FAIL!

$$\binom{n}{k} = g(n, k+1) - g(n, k).$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}$ satisfies

$$F(n) = g(n, n+1) - g(n, 0).$$

The creative telescoping problem

GIVEN $\binom{n}{k}$, FIND $-2, 1$ and $-\binom{n}{k-1}$ s.t.

$$-2\binom{n}{k} + \binom{n+1}{k} = -\binom{n}{k} - \left(-\binom{n}{k-1}\right).$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}$ satisfies

$$-2F(n) + F(n+1) = 0.$$

The creative telescoping problem

GIVEN $f(n, k)$, FIND $c_0(n), \dots, c_\rho(n)$ and $g(n, k)$ s.t.

$$c_0(n)f(n, k) + \dots + c_\rho(n)f(n + \rho, k) = g(n, k + 1) - g(n, k).$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(n, k)$ satisfies

$$c_0(n)F(n) + \dots + c_\rho(n)F(n + \rho) = \text{explicit}(n).$$

The creative telescoping problem

GIVEN $f(n, k)$, FIND $c_0(n), \dots, c_\rho(n)$ and $g(n, k)$ s.t.

$$(c_0(n) + \dots + c_\rho(n)S_n^\rho)(f(n, k)) = (S_k - 1)(g(n, k))$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(n, k)$ satisfies

$$c_0(n)F(n) + \dots + c_\rho(n)F(n + \rho) = \text{explicit}(n).$$

Notation. $S_n(f(n, k)) = f(n + 1, k)$ and $S_k(f(n, k)) = f(n, k + 1)$.

The creative telescoping problem

GIVEN $f(n, k)$, FIND $c_0(n), \dots, c_\rho(n)$ and $g(n, k)$ s.t.

$$\underbrace{(c_0(n) + \dots + c_\rho(n)S_n^\rho)}_{\text{telescoper}}(f(n, k)) = (S_k - 1)\underbrace{g(n, k)}_{\text{certificate}}$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(n, k)$ satisfies

$$c_0(n)F(n) + \dots + c_\rho(n)F(n + \rho) = \text{explicit}(n).$$

Notation. $S_n(f(n, k)) = f(n + 1, k)$ and $S_k(f(n, k)) = f(n, k + 1)$.

Generations of creative telescoping algorithms

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm (since ≈ 1947)
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since ≈ 1990)
- 3 The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since ≈ 2005)
- 4 The reduction-based approach (since ≈ 2010)

Generations of creative telescoping algorithms

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm (since ≈ 1947)
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since ≈ 1990)
- 3 The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since ≈ 2005)
- 4 The reduction-based approach (since ≈ 2010)

Integer-linear decompositions

Definition.

Integer-linear decompositions

Definition. $p \in \mathbb{C}[n, k]$ is **integer-linear** over \mathbb{C} if

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^m P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k)^{e_i}$$

- ▶ $P_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ irreducible;
- ▶ $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$;
- ▶ $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Integer-linear decompositions

Definition. $p \in \mathbb{C}[n, k]$ is **integer-linear** over \mathbb{C} if

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^m P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k)^{e_i}$$

- ▶ $P_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ irreducible;
- ▶ $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu_i \geq 0$;
- ▶ $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Integer-linear decompositions

Definition. $p \in \mathbb{C}[n, k]$ is **integer-linear** over \mathbb{C} if

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^m P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k)^{e_i}$$

- ▶ $P_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ irreducible;
- ▶ $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu_i \geq 0$;
- ▶ $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. **integer-linear type**

Integer-linear decompositions

Definition. $p \in \mathbb{C}[n, k]$ is **integer-linear** over \mathbb{C} if

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^m P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k)^{e_i}$$

- ▶ $P_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ irreducible;
- ▶ $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu_i \geq 0$;
- ▶ $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Abramov-Le's criterion. $f \in \mathbb{C}(n, k)$ with $f = (S_k - 1)(\dots) + \frac{a}{b}$.

f has a telescoper $\iff b$ is integer-linear.

Integer-linear decompositions

Definition. $p \in \mathbb{C}[n, k]$ is **integer-linear** over \mathbb{C} if

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^m P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k)^{e_i}$$

- ▶ $P_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ irreducible;
- ▶ $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu_i \geq 0$;
- ▶ $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

$$P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k) \sim_{n,k} P_j(\lambda_j n + \mu_j k), \quad i \neq j$$



$$(\lambda_i, \mu_i) = (\lambda_j, \mu_j) \ \& \ P_i(z) = P_j(z + \nu), \ \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Integer-linear decompositions

Definition. $p \in \mathbb{C}[n, k]$ is **integer-linear** over \mathbb{C} if

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k + \nu_{ij})^{e_{ij}}$$

- ▶ $P_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ irreducible;
- ▶ $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu_i \geq 0$;
- ▶ $e_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; $0 = \nu_{i1} < \dots < \nu_{in_i}$ in \mathbb{Z} ;
- ▶ $P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k) \approx_{n,k} P_j(\lambda_j n + \mu_j k)$, $i \neq j$.

Integer-linear decompositions

Definition. $p \in \mathbb{C}[n, k]$ is **integer-linear** over \mathbb{C} if

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k + \nu_{ij})^{e_{ij}}$$

- ▶ $P_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ **irreducible**;
- ▶ $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu_i \geq 0$;
- ▶ $e_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; $0 = \nu_{i1} < \dots < \nu_{in_i}$ in \mathbb{Z} ;
- ▶ **$P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k) \approx_{n,k} P_j(\lambda_j n + \mu_j k)$, $i \neq j$.**

Integer-linear decompositions

Definition. $p \in \mathbb{C}[n, k]$ is **integer-linear** over \mathbb{C} if

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k + \nu_{ij})^{e_{ij}}$$

- ▶ $P_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ squarefree, $\gcd(P_i, P_i(z + \ell)) = 1, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$;
- ▶ $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu_i \geq 0$;
- ▶ $e_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; $0 = \nu_{i1} < \dots < \nu_{in_i}$ in \mathbb{Z} ;
- ▶ $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \neq (\lambda_j, \mu_j)$ or $\gcd(P_i(z), P_j(z + \ell)) = 1, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, i \neq j$.

Integer-linear decompositions

Definition. $p \in \mathbb{C}[n, k]$ admits the **integer-linear decomposition**

$$p = P_0(n, k) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} P_i(\lambda_i n + \mu_i k + \nu_{ij})^{e_{ij}}$$

- ▶ $P_0 \in \mathbb{C}[n, k]$ merely having non-integer-linear factors except for constants;
- ▶ $P_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] \setminus \mathbb{C}$ squarefree, $\gcd(P_i, P_i(z + \ell)) = 1, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$;
- ▶ $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu_i \geq 0$;
- ▶ $e_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; $0 = \nu_{i1} < \dots < \nu_{in_i}$ in \mathbb{Z} ;
- ▶ $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \neq (\lambda_j, \mu_j)$ or $\gcd(P_i(z), P_j(z + \ell)) = 1, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, i \neq j$.

Integer-linear operators

Given $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu > 0$.

Integer-linear operators

Given $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu > 0$. Then $\alpha\lambda + \beta\mu = 1$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Integer-linear operators

Given $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu > 0$. Then $\alpha\lambda + \beta\mu = 1$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $S_{\lambda, \mu} = S_n^\alpha S_k^\beta$.

Integer-linear operators

Given $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu > 0$. Then $\alpha\lambda + \beta\mu = 1$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $S_{\lambda, \mu} = S_n^\alpha S_k^\beta$.

$$S_{\lambda, \mu}(P(\lambda n + \mu k)) = P(\lambda n + \mu k + 1)$$

Integer-linear operators

Given $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu > 0$. Then $\alpha\lambda + \beta\mu = 1$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $S_{\lambda, \mu} = S_n^\alpha S_k^\beta$.

$$\mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_n, S_k, S_n^{-1}, S_k^{-1}] \supset \mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_{\lambda, \mu}, S_{\lambda, \mu}^{-1}]$$

Integer-linear operators

Given $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu > 0$. Then $\alpha\lambda + \beta\mu = 1$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $S_{\lambda, \mu} = S_n^\alpha S_k^\beta$.

$$\mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_n, S_k, S_n^{-1}, S_k^{-1}] \supset \mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_{\lambda, \mu}, S_{\lambda, \mu}^{-1}]$$

Integer-linear operators of type (λ, μ)

Integer-linear operators

Given $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu > 0$. Then $\alpha\lambda + \beta\mu = 1$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $S_{\lambda, \mu} = S_n^\alpha S_k^\beta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\lambda, \mu} : \underbrace{\mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_n, S_k, S_n^{-1}, S_k^{-1}]}_{\mathcal{A}} &\rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_{\lambda, \mu}, S_{\lambda, \mu}^{-1}]}_{\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}} \\ \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} S_n^i S_k^j &\mapsto \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} S_{\lambda, \mu}^{i\lambda + j\mu} \end{aligned}$$

Integer-linear operators

Given $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu > 0$. Then $\alpha\lambda + \beta\mu = 1$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $S_{\lambda, \mu} = S_n^\alpha S_k^\beta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\lambda, \mu} : \underbrace{\mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_n, S_k, S_n^{-1}, S_k^{-1}]}_{\mathcal{A}} &\rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_{\lambda, \mu}, S_{\lambda, \mu}^{-1}]}_{\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}} \\ \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} S_n^i S_k^j &\mapsto \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} S_{\lambda, \mu}^{i\lambda + j\mu} \end{aligned}$$

► \mathcal{A} -module: $\odot : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}$, $L \odot M = \phi_{\lambda, \mu}(LM)$.

Integer-linear operators

Given $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu > 0$. Then $\alpha\lambda + \beta\mu = 1$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $S_{\lambda, \mu} = S_n^\alpha S_k^\beta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\lambda, \mu} : \underbrace{\mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_n, S_k, S_n^{-1}, S_k^{-1}]}_{\mathcal{A}} &\rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_{\lambda, \mu}, S_{\lambda, \mu}^{-1}]}_{\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}} \\ \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} S_n^i S_k^j &\mapsto \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} S_{\lambda, \mu}^{i\lambda + j\mu} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ \mathcal{A} -module: $\odot : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}$, $L \odot M = \phi_{\lambda, \mu}(LM)$.
- ▶ Division with remainder: $\forall M \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}, \exists! Q, R \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}$ s.t.

$$M = (S_k - 1) \odot Q + R,$$

and either $R = 0$ or $0 \leq \text{ldeg}_{S_{\lambda, \mu}}(R) \leq \text{deg}_{S_{\lambda, \mu}}(R) < \mu - 1$.

Integer-linear operators

Given $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ coprime, $\mu > 0$. Then $\alpha\lambda + \beta\mu = 1$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $S_{\lambda, \mu} = S_n^\alpha S_k^\beta$.

$$\phi_{\lambda, \mu} : \underbrace{\mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_n, S_k, S_n^{-1}, S_k^{-1}]}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{C}(n, k)[S_{\lambda, \mu}, S_{\lambda, \mu}^{-1}]}_{\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}}$$

$$\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} S_n^i S_k^j \mapsto \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} S_{\lambda, \mu}^{i\lambda + j\mu}$$

- ▶ \mathcal{A} -module: $\odot : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}$, $L \odot M = \phi_{\lambda, \mu}(LM)$.
- ▶ Division with remainder: $\forall M \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}, \exists! Q, R \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}$ s.t.

$$M = (S_k - 1) \odot \boxed{Q} + R, \quad \text{with } R = LQ(M, S_k - 1)$$

and either $R = 0$ or $0 \leq \text{ldeg}_{S_{\lambda, \mu}}(R) \leq \text{deg}_{S_{\lambda, \mu}}(R) < \mu - 1$.

Our new approach

Example.
$$\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$f = \frac{*}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)((n+2k)^2+2)((n+2k+2)^2+2)((n+2k+2)^2+2)}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+11)}{(n+2k+22)^2+2}}_f$$

$$f = \frac{\overbrace{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)}^{P_0(n,k)} \overbrace{((n+2k)^2+2)}^{P_1(n+2k)} \overbrace{((n+2k+2)^2+2)}^{P_1(n+2k+2)} \overbrace{((n+2k+22)^2+2)}^{P_1(n+2k+22)}}^*{}{P_0(n,k) P_1(n+2k) P_1(n+2k+2) P_1(n+2k+22)}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+11)}{(n+2k+22)^2+2}}_f$$

$$f = \frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+11)}{(n+2k+22)^2+2}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+11)}{(n+2k+22)^2+2}}_f$$

$$f = \frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+11)}{(n+2k+22)^2+2}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$f = (S_k - 1) \left(\frac{1}{nk+1} \right) + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$f = (S_k - 1) \left(\frac{1}{nk+1} \right) + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+11)}{(n+2k+22)^2+2}}_f$$

$$f = (S_k - 1) \left(\frac{1}{nk+1} \right) + \mathbb{M} \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$
$$nk - n(k+1)S_{1,2}^2 + n(k+11)S_{1,2}^{22}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$L(f) = L \cdot (S_k - 1) \left(\frac{1}{nk+1} \right) + L \cdot M \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$

$$L = c_0(n) + c_1(n)S_n + c_2(n)S_n^2 + c_3(n)S_n^3 + c_4(n)S_n^4$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$L(f) = (S_k - 1) \left(L\left(\frac{1}{nk+1}\right) \right) + L \cdot M \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$L(f) = (S_k - 1) \left(L\left(\frac{1}{nk+1}\right) \right) + (L \odot M) \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$L(f) = (S_k - 1) \left(L\left(\frac{1}{nk+1}\right) \right) + (L \odot M) \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$

$$= (S_k - 1) \left(L\left(\frac{1}{nk+1}\right) \right) + ((S_k - 1) \odot Q + R) \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$

$$R = (c_0(n)nk + c_2(n)(n+2)(k-1) + c_4(n)(n+4)(k-2)) \\ + (c_1(n)(n+1)k + c_3(n)(n+3)(k-1))S_n$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$\mathbf{L}(f) = (\mathbf{S}_k - 1) \left(\mathbf{L} \left(\frac{1}{nk+1} \right) \right) + (\mathbf{L} \odot \mathbf{M}) \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$

$$= (\mathbf{S}_k - 1) \left(\mathbf{L} \left(\frac{1}{nk+1} \right) + \mathbf{Q} \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right) \right) + \mathbf{R} \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} = & (c_0(\mathbf{n})nk + c_2(\mathbf{n})(n+2)(k-1) + c_4(\mathbf{n})(n+4)(k-2)) \\ & + (c_1(\mathbf{n})(n+1)k + c_3(\mathbf{n})(n+3)(k-1))S_n \end{aligned}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$\mathbf{L}(f) = (\mathbf{S}_k - 1) \left(\mathbf{L} \left(\frac{1}{nk+1} \right) \right) + (\mathbf{L} \odot \mathbf{M}) \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$

$$= (\mathbf{S}_k - 1) \left(\mathbf{L} \left(\frac{1}{nk+1} \right) + \mathbf{Q} \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right) \right) + \mathbf{R} \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} &= (c_0(n)nk + c_2(n)(n+2)(k-1) + c_4(n)(n+4)(k-2)) \\ &\quad + (c_1(n)(n+1)k + c_3(n)(n+3)(k-1))S_n \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \end{aligned}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$\mathbf{L}(f) = (\mathbf{S}_k - 1) \left(\mathbf{L} \left(\frac{1}{nk+1} \right) \right) + (\mathbf{L} \odot \mathbf{M}) \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$

$$= (\mathbf{S}_k - 1) \left(\mathbf{L} \left(\frac{1}{nk+1} \right) + \mathbf{Q} \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right) \right) + \mathbf{R} \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{c}_0(\mathbf{n})nk + \mathbf{c}_2(\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{n} + 2)(k - 1) + \mathbf{c}_4(\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{n} + 4)(k - 2) = 0 \\ \mathbf{c}_1(\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{n} + 1)k + \mathbf{c}_3(\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{n} + 3)(k - 1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -n-2 & 0 & -2n-8 \\ n & 0 & n+2 & 0 & n+4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -n-3 & 0 \\ 0 & n+1 & 0 & n+3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(n) \\ c_1(n) \\ c_2(n) \\ c_3(n) \\ c_4(n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+11)}{(n+2k+22)^2+2}}_f$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -n-2 & 0 & -2n-8 \\ n & 0 & n+2 & 0 & n+4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -n-3 & 0 \\ 0 & n+1 & 0 & n+3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n+4}{n} \\ 0 \\ \frac{-2(n+4)}{n+2} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+11)}{(n+2k+22)^2+2}}_f$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -n-2 & 0 & -2n-8 \\ n & 0 & n+2 & 0 & n+4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -n-3 & 0 \\ 0 & n+1 & 0 & n+3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n+4}{n} \\ 0 \\ \frac{-2(n+4)}{n+2} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

► A telescoper: $L = \frac{n+4}{n} + \frac{-2(n+4)}{n+2} \cdot S_n^2 + 1 \cdot S_n^4$

Our new approach

Example.
$$\underbrace{\frac{-n}{(nk+1)(nk+n+1)} + \frac{nk}{(n+2k)^2+2} - \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2} + \frac{n(k+1)}{(n+2k+2)^2+2}}_f$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -n-2 & 0 & -2n-8 \\ n & 0 & n+2 & 0 & n+4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -n-3 & 0 \\ 0 & n+1 & 0 & n+3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n+4}{n} \\ 0 \\ \frac{-2(n+4)}{n+2} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

▶ A telescoper: $L = \frac{n+4}{n} + \frac{-2(n+4)}{n+2} \cdot S_n^2 + 1 \cdot S_n^4$

▶ A certificate: $g = L \left(\frac{1}{nk+1} \right) + LQ(L \odot M, S_k - 1) \left(\frac{1}{(n+2k)^2+2} \right)$

Worst-case complexity (field operations)

Given $f \in \mathbb{C}(n, k)$ with $\deg_n(f) \leq d_n$ and $\deg_k(f) \leq d_k$.

RCT	NCT
$O^{\sim}(\mu^{\omega+2} d_n d_k^{\omega+3})$	$O^{\sim}(\mu^{\omega+1} d_n d_k^{\omega+2})$

- ▶ $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^+, 2 \leq \omega \leq 3$
- ▶ Without expanding the certificate
- ▶ Order of a minimal telescoper: $O(\mu d_k)$
- ▶ Size of a minimal telescoper: $O(\mu^2 d_n d_k^3)$

Worst-case complexity (field operations)

Given $f \in \mathbb{C}(n, k)$ with $\deg_n(f) \leq d_n$ and $\deg_k(f) \leq d_k$.

RCT	NCT
$O^{\sim}(\mu^{\omega+2} d_n d_k^{\omega+3})$	$O^{\sim}(\mu^{\omega+1} d_n d_k^{\omega+2})$

- ▶ $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^+, 2 \leq \omega \leq 3$
- ▶ Without expanding the certificate
- ▶ Order of a minimal telescoper: $O(\mu d_k)$
- ▶ Size of a minimal telescoper: $O(\mu^2 d_n d_k^3)$

Timings (in seconds)

Test suite: $f(n, k) = (S_k - 1) \left(\frac{f_0(n, k)}{P_0(n, k)} \right) + \frac{a(n, k)}{P_1(2n + \mu k) \cdot P_2(4n + \mu k)}$.

- ▶ $P_i(z) = p_i(z) \cdot p_i(z + 2^i) \cdot p_i(z + \mu) \cdot p_i(z + 2^i + \mu)$,
- ▶ $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\deg_{n, k}(a) = d_1$, $\deg_{n, k}(P_0) = \deg_z(p_i) = d_2$.

(d_1, d_2, μ)	RCT	NCT	Order
(1, 1, 1)	0.28	0.19	3
(1, 2, 1)	5.86	2.15	7
(1, 3, 1)	283.84	30.94	11
(1, 4, 1)	5734.80	448.09	15
(10, 2, 1)	7.79	3.18	7
(20, 2, 1)	9.49	4.21	7
(30, 2, 1)	16.57	10.17	8
(30, 2, 3)	807.31	41.16	12
(30, 2, 5)	4875.63	344.81	20
(30, 2, 7)	34430.03	1240.54	28

Timings (in seconds)

Test suite: $f(n, k) = (S_k - 1) \left(\frac{f_0(n, k)}{P_0(n, k)} \right) + \frac{a(n, k)}{P_1(2n + \mu k) \cdot P_2(4n + \mu k)}$.

- ▶ $P_i(z) = p_i(z) \cdot p_i(z + 2^i) \cdot p_i(z + \mu) \cdot p_i(z + 2^i + \mu)$,
- ▶ $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\deg_{n, k}(a) = d_1$, $\deg_{n, k}(P_0) = \deg_z(p_i) = d_2$.

(d_1, d_2, μ)	RCT	NCT	Order
(1, 1, 1)	0.28	0.19	3
(1, 2, 1)	5.86	2.15	7
(1, 3, 1)	283.84	30.94	11
(1, 4, 1)	5734.80	448.09	15
(10, 2, 1)	7.79	3.18	7
(20, 2, 1)	9.49	4.21	7
(30, 2, 1)	16.57	10.17	8
(30, 2, 3)	807.31	41.16	12
(30, 2, 5)	4875.63	344.81	20
(30, 2, 7)	34430.03	1240.54	28

Summary

- ▶ Result.
 - ▶ A new approach to rational creative telescoping
 - ⊕ Avoids need to construct certificates
 - ⊕ Expresses certificates in precise and manipulable forms

Summary

- ▶ Result.
 - ▶ A new approach to rational creative telescoping
 - ⊕ Avoids need to construct certificates
 - ⊕ Expresses certificates in precise and manipulable forms
- ▶ Future work.
 - ▶ Creative telescoping in extensive classes