

# Schema Refinement: Other Dependencies and Higher Normal Forms

Grant Weddell

Cheriton School of Computer Science  
University of Waterloo

CS 348  
Introduction to Database Management  
Winter 2017

## ① Multivalued Dependencies

Reasoning about MVDs

Lossless-Join Decompositions

Fourth Normal Form

## ② Other Dependencies

# Beyond Functional Dependencies

There exist anomalies/redundancies in relational schemas that cannot be captured by FDs.

**Example:** consider the following table:

Course	Teacher	Book
Math	Smith	Algebra
Math	Smith	Calculus
Math	Jones	Algebra
Math	Jones	Calculus
Advanced Math	Smith	Calculus
Physics	Black	Mechanics
Physics	Black	Optics

There are no (non-trivial) FDs that hold on this scheme; therefore the scheme (Course, Set-of-teachers, Set-of-books) is in BCNF.

# Multivalued Dependencies (MVD)

- *CTB* table contains redundant information because:

whenever  $(c, t_1, b_1) \in CTB$  and  $(c, t_2, b_2) \in CTB$

then also  $(c, t_1, b_2) \in CTB$

and, by symmetry,  $(c, t_2, b_1) \in CTB$

- we say that a **multivalued dependency (MVD)**

$C \twoheadrightarrow T$  (and  $C \twoheadrightarrow B$  as well)

holds on *CTB*.

given a course, the **set of teachers** and the **set of books** are uniquely determined and independent.

## Another Example

Course	Teacher	Hour	Room	Student	Grade
CS101	Jones	M-9	2222	Smith	A
CS101	Jones	W-9	3333	Smith	A
CS101	Jones	F-9	2222	Smith	A
CS101	Jones	M-9	2222	Black	B
CS101	Jones	W-9	3333	Black	B
CS101	Jones	F-9	2222	Black	B

- FDs:

$C \rightarrow T$ ,  $CS \rightarrow G$ ,  $HR \rightarrow C$ ,  $HT \rightarrow R$ , and  $HS \rightarrow R$

- MVDs:

$C \twoheadrightarrow HR$

# Axioms for MVDs

- 1  $Y \subset X \Rightarrow X \twoheadrightarrow Y$  (reflexivity)
- 2  $X \twoheadrightarrow Y \Rightarrow X \twoheadrightarrow (R - Y)$  (complementation)
- 3  $X \twoheadrightarrow Y \Rightarrow XZ \twoheadrightarrow YZ$  (augmentation)
- 4  $X \twoheadrightarrow Y, Y \twoheadrightarrow Z \Rightarrow X \twoheadrightarrow (Z - Y)$  (transitivity)
- 5  $X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow X \twoheadrightarrow Y$  (conversion)
- 6  $X \twoheadrightarrow Y, XY \rightarrow Z \Rightarrow X \rightarrow (Z - Y)$  (interaction)

## Theorem:

Axioms for FDs (1)-(6) are sound and complete for logical implication of FDs and MVDs.

# Example

In the *CTHRSG* schema,  $C \twoheadrightarrow SG$  can be derived as follows:

- 1  $C \twoheadrightarrow HR$
- 2  $C \twoheadrightarrow T$  (from  $C \rightarrow T$ )
- 3  $C \twoheadrightarrow CTSG$  (complementation of (1))
- 4  $C \twoheadrightarrow CT$  (augmentation of (2) by  $C$ )
- 5  $CT \twoheadrightarrow CTSG$  (augmentation of (3) by  $T$ )
- 6  $C \twoheadrightarrow SG$  (transitivity on (4) and (5))

## Definition:

A dependency basis for  $X$  with respect to a set of FDs and MVDs  $F$  is a partition of  $R - X$  to sets  $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  such that  $F \models X \twoheadrightarrow Z$  if and only if  $Z - X$  is a union of some of the  $Y_i$ s.

- unlike for FDs we can't split right-hand sides of MVDs to single attributes (cf. minimal cover).
- the dependency basis of  $X$  w.r.t.  $F$  can be computed in PTIME [Beeri80].
- The dependency basis of  $CTHRSG$  with respect to  $C$  is  $[T, HR, SG]$



# Lossless-Join Decomposition

- similarly to the FD case we want to decompose the schema to avoid anomalies  
⇒ a lossless-join decomposition  $(R_1, R_2)$  of  $R$   
with respect to a set of MVDs  $F$ :

$$F \models (R_1 \cap R_2) \twoheadrightarrow (R_1 - R_2)$$

or, by symmetry

$$F \models (R_1 \cap R_2) \twoheadrightarrow (R_2 - R_1)$$

- this condition implies the one for FDs (in only FDs appear in  $F$ ).

# Fourth Normal Form (4NF)

## Definition:

Let  $R$  be a relation schema and  $F$  a set of FDs and MVDs.

Schema  $R$  is in 4NF if and only if

whenever  $(X \twoheadrightarrow Y) \in F^+$  and  $XY \subseteq R$ , then either

- $(X \twoheadrightarrow Y)$  is trivial ( $Y \subseteq X$  or  $XY = R$ ), or
- $X$  is a superkey of  $R$

A database schema  $\{R_1, \dots, R_n\}$  is in 4NF if each relation schema  $R_i$  is in 4NF.

$\Rightarrow$  use BCNF-like decomposition procedure to obtain a lossless-join decomposition into 4NF.

# Example

The *CTB* schema can be decomposed to 4NF (using  $C \twoheadrightarrow T$ ) as follows:

Course	Teacher
Math	Smith
Math	Jones
Physics	Black
Advanced Math	Smith

Course	Book
Math	Algebra
Math	Calculus
Physics	Mechanics
Physics	Optics
Advanced Math	Calculus

⇒ no FDs here!

- **Join Dependency** on  $R$

$\Rightarrow \bowtie [R_1, \dots, R_k]$  holds if  $I = \pi_{R_1}(I) \bowtie \dots \bowtie \pi_{R_k}(I)$

$\Rightarrow$  generalization of an MVD

$X \twoheadrightarrow Y$  is the same as  $\bowtie [XY, X(R - Y)]$

$\Rightarrow$  **cannot** be simulated by MVDs

$\Rightarrow$  no axiomatization exists

$\Rightarrow$  Project-Join NF (5NF)

$\bowtie [R_1, \dots, R_k]$  implies  $R_i$  is a key.

- **Inclusion Dependency** on  $R$  and  $S$

$\Rightarrow R[X] \subseteq S[Y]$  holds if  $\pi_X(I_R) \subseteq \pi_Y(I_S)$

$\Rightarrow$  relates **two** relations

**foreign-key** relationships