

Unimodular Completion of Polynomial Matrices

Wei Zhou and George Labahn

Symbolic Computation Group
Cheriton School of Computer Science
University of Waterloo, Canada.

ISSAC 2014, Kobe, Japan

Unimodular Completion

Let

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x + 1 & -x^2 + 2x & -x & -x \\ 2x^3 & -x^3 + 2 & x^3 - 1 & x^3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]^{2 \times 4}$$

Unimodular Completion

Extend so

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2x+1 & -x^2+2x & -x & -x \\ 2x^3 & -x^3+2 & x^3-1 & x^3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]^{4 \times 4}$$

is unimodular, i.e. has determinant a constant

Unimodular Completion

Extend so

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2x+1 & -x^2+2x & -x & -x \\ 2x^3 & -x^3+2 & x^3-1 & x^3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]^{4 \times 4}$$

is unimodular, i.e. has determinant a constant

Program : Fast Polynomial Arithmetic

Fast order bases (roughly $\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{G} \approx 0$) and kernel bases ($\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{N} = 0$):

- W. Z and G. L, Efficient Computation of Order Bases, Proceedings of ISSAC'09 (2009)
- W. Z and G. L, Algorithms for Efficient Order Basis Computation, Journal of Symbolic Computation (2012)
- W. Z, G. L and A. Storjohann, Computing Minimal Nullspace Bases, Proceedings of ISSAC'12 (2012)

Gives fast block elimination : $\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{0}]$.

Used for fast comp. of Column Bases, Inversion, Determinant, etc

- W. Z and G. L, Computing Column Bases of Polynomial Matrices, Proceedings of ISSAC'13, (2013)
- W. Z, G. L and A. Storjohann, A deterministic algorithm for inverting a polynomial matrix, Submitted (2014)
- W. Z and G. L, This paper, ISSAC 2014 (2014)

Unimodular Completion for $m \times n$ problem.

Given $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m \times n}$ ($m < n$), full rank.

Goal: $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{(n-m) \times n}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix}$ is unimodular .

Unimodular Completion for $m \times n$ problem.

Given $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m \times n}$ ($m < n$), full rank.

Goal: $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{(n-m) \times n}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix}$ is unimodular .

(1) Existence : only if there is a unimodular $\mathbf{U}$ with $\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{0}]$

Unimodular Completion for $m \times n$ problem.

Given $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m \times n}$ ($m < n$), full rank.

Goal: $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{(n-m) \times n}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix}$ is unimodular .

(1) Existence : only if there is a unimodular $\mathbf{U}$ with $\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{0}]$

(2) This talk : algorithm with complexity $O^\sim(n^\omega s)$ ops from $\mathbb{K}$.

- s is average of the m largest column degrees of $\mathbf{F}$
- deterministic

Multivariate Polynomials

- In case of multivariate polynomial matrices solves [Serre's conjecture](#) : (Quillen-Suslin theorem)

[Serre's conjecture](#) : Every finitely generated projective module over a polynomial ring is free.

Reduces to being able to solve

$$\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{0}] \quad \text{with } \mathbf{U} \text{ unimodular}$$

- Logar, A. and Sturmfels, B., Algorithms for the Quillen-Suslin theorem, *Journal of Algebra*, (1992)

Also

- H. Park and C. Woodburn J of A (1995)
- Lombardi H. and Yengui I. JSC (2005)

Multivariate differential polynomial matrices

- Fabianska, A., PhD Thesis (Aachen)
- Fabianska, A. and Quadrat, A. Applications of Quillen-Suslin thm to multidimensional systems theory (2007)
 - Including software : QuillenSuslin
- Cluzeau, T. and Quadrat, A, Isomorphisms and Serre's reduction of linear systems (2013),

Simple Example : Look at 1×2 case

Consider

$$\begin{bmatrix} a(x) & b(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \quad \quad \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Simple Example : Look at 1×2 case

Consider

$$\begin{bmatrix} a(x) & b(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u(x) \\ v(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$a(x) \cdot u(x) + b(x) \cdot v(x) = 1$$

That is: $\gcd(a(x), b(x)) = 1$.

Simple Example : Look at 1×2 case

Consider

$$\begin{bmatrix} a(x) & b(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u(x) & w(x) \\ v(x) & z(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$a(x) \cdot u(x) + b(x) \cdot v(x) = 1$$

That is: $\gcd(a(x), b(x)) = 1$.

$$a(x) \cdot w(x) + b(x) \cdot z(x) = 0$$

That is: $\text{lcm}(a(x), b(x)) = a(x) \cdot b(x)$.

Simple Example : Look at 1×2 case

Consider

$$\begin{bmatrix} a(x) & b(x) \\ c(x) & d(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u(x) & w(x) \\ v(x) & z(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$a(x) \cdot u(x) + b(x) \cdot v(x) = 1$$

That is: $\gcd(a(x), b(x)) = 1$.

$$a(x) \cdot w(x) + b(x) \cdot z(x) = 0$$

That is: $\text{lcm}(a(x), b(x)) = a(x) \cdot b(x)$. So $\gcd(u(x), v(x)) = 1$

$$c(x) \cdot u(x) + d(x) \cdot v(x) = 1$$

General Case : Tools Used : I

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 4x + 3x^2 & 4 + x + 3x^2 & x^3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2x^3 & 0 & x^4 \\ 4x & 4 + x & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Column degree : $\text{cdeg}(\mathbf{P})$ Example : $\text{cdeg}(\mathbf{P}) = (2, 3, 3, 4)$.
- Shifted column degree : $\text{cdeg}_{\vec{i}}(\mathbf{P}) = \text{cdeg}(x^{\vec{i}} \cdot \mathbf{P})$. Example : $\text{cdeg}_{(-2, -3, -1, -1)}(\mathbf{P}) = (0, 0, 1, 1)$.
- Leading coefficient matrix

$$\text{lcoeff}(\mathbf{P}) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Shifted leading coefficient matrix

$$\text{lcoeff}_{(-2, -3, -1, -1)}(\mathbf{P}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

General Case : Tools Used : I

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 4x + 3x^2 & 4 + x + 3x^2 & x^3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2x^3 & 0 & x^4 \\ 4x & 4 + x & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Column degree : $\text{cdeg}(\mathbf{P})$ Example : $\text{cdeg}(\mathbf{P}) = (2, 3, 3, 4)$.
- Shifted column degree : $\text{cdeg}_{\vec{i}}(\mathbf{P}) = \text{cdeg}(x^{\vec{i}} \cdot \mathbf{P})$. Example : $\text{cdeg}_{(-2,-3,-1,-1)}(\mathbf{P}) = (0, 0, 1, 1)$.
- Leading coefficient matrix

$$\text{lcoeff}(\mathbf{P}) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Shifted leading coefficient matrix

$$\text{lcoeff}_{(-2,-3,-1,-1)}(\mathbf{P}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\mathbf{P}$ is $(-2, -3, -1, -1)$ -column reduced of shifted degree $(0, 0, 1, 1)$

Tools Used : II

- Kernel bases for $\mathbf{A}$. Basis for module :

$$\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^n \mid \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p} = 0\}$$

- Kernel basis represented by matrix (put into columns) $\mathbf{M}$:

Tools Used : II

- **Kernel bases** for $\mathbf{A}$. Basis for module :

$$\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^n \mid \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p} = 0\}$$

- Kernel basis represented by matrix (put into columns) $\mathbf{M}$:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 + 2x & 3 + 4x \\ 0 & x^2 & 1 + 3x^4 & 1 + 4x + 3x^4 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]^{2 \times 4}$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x^2 \\ 2x + 2 & 3x^4 + 1 \\ 4x + 3 & 3x^4 + 4x + 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **Minimal kernel basis** if matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is column reduced
- **Shifted minimal kernel basis** if matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is shifted column reduced
- Example : $\mathbf{M}$ is $(2, 3, 1, 1)$ -minimal kernel basis for $\mathbf{A}$.

Tools Used : II

- **Kernel bases** for $\mathbf{A}$. Basis for module :

$$\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^n \mid \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p} = 0\}$$

- Kernel basis represented by matrix (put into columns) $\mathbf{M}$:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 + 2x & 3 + 4x \\ 0 & x^2 & 1 + 3x^4 & 1 + 4x + 3x^4 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]^{2 \times 4}$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x^2 \\ 2x + 2 & 3x^4 + 1 \\ 4x + 3 & 3x^4 + 4x + 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **Minimal kernel basis** if matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is column reduced
- **Shifted minimal kernel basis** if matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is shifted column reduced
- Example : $\mathbf{M}$ is $(2, 3, 1, 1)$ -minimal kernel basis for $\mathbf{A}$.
- Cost : $O^\sim(n^\omega s)$ Z, L, Storjohann (ISSAC 2012)

Tools Used : III

- **Order bases** for $\mathbf{A}$ of order $\vec{\sigma}$. Basis for module :

$$\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^n \mid \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p} = O(x^{\vec{\sigma}})\}$$

- **Order basis** represented by matrix (put into columns)

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2+2x & 3+4x \\ 0 & x^2 & 1+3x^4 & 1+4x+3x^4 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]^{2 \times 4}$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 4x+3x^2 & 4+x+3x^2 & x^3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2x^3 & 0 & x^4 \\ 4x & 4+x & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **Reduced order basis** if matrix $\mathbf{P}$ is column reduced
- **Shifted reduced order basis** if matrix $\mathbf{P}$ is shifted column reduced
- Example : $\mathbf{P}$ is $(-2, -3, -1, -1)$ -reduced order basis for $\mathbf{A}$ of cdeg $(0, 0, 1, 1)$.

Tools Used : III

- **Order bases** for $\mathbf{A}$ of order $\vec{\sigma}$. Basis for module :

$$\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^n \mid \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p} = O(x^{\vec{\sigma}})\}$$

- **Order basis** represented by matrix (put into columns)

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2+2x & 3+4x \\ 0 & x^2 & 1+3x^4 & 1+4x+3x^4 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]^{2 \times 4}$$
$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 4x+3x^2 & 4+x+3x^2 & x^3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2x^3 & 0 & x^4 \\ 4x & 4+x & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **Reduced order basis** if matrix $\mathbf{P}$ is column reduced
- **Shifted reduced order basis** if matrix $\mathbf{P}$ is shifted column reduced
- Example : $\mathbf{P}$ is $(-2, -3, -1, -1)$ -reduced order basis for $\mathbf{A}$ of cdeg $(0, 0, 1, 1)$.
- Cost : $O^\sim(n^{\omega_s})$ Z, L (ISSAC 2009, JSC 2012)

Tools Used : IV

Reversing Polynomials : $p(x^{-1})x^k$:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= p_0 + p_1x + \cdots + p_kx^k \\ p^*(x) &= p_k + \cdots + p_1x^{k-1} + p_0x^k \end{aligned}$$

Tools Used : IV

Reversing Polynomials : $p(x^{-1})x^k$:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= p_0 + p_1x + \cdots + p_kx^k \\ p^*(x) &= p_k + \cdots + p_1x^{k-1} + p_0x^k = p(x^{-1}) \cdot x^k \end{aligned}$$

Tools Used : IV

Reversing Polynomials : $p(x^{-1})x^k$:

$$\begin{aligned}p(x) &= p_0 + p_1x + \cdots + p_kx^k \\p^*(x) &= p_k + \cdots + p_1x^{k-1} + p_0x^k = p(x^{-1}) \cdot x^k\end{aligned}$$

Reversing Matrix Polynomials (e.g. $\cdot x^{-\vec{u}} \cdot \mathbf{P}(x^{-1}) \cdot x^{\vec{v}}$):

$$\mathbf{P}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 4x + 3x^2 & 4 + x + 3x^2 & x^3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2x^3 & 0 & x^4 \\ 4x & 4 + x & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Tools Used : IV

Reversing Polynomials : $p(x^{-1})x^k$:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= p_0 + p_1x + \cdots + p_kx^k \\ p^*(x) &= p_k + \cdots + p_1x^{k-1} + p_0x^k = p(x^{-1}) \cdot x^k \end{aligned}$$

Reversing Matrix Polynomials (e.g. $\cdot x^{-\vec{d}} \cdot \mathbf{P}(x^{-1}) \cdot x^{\vec{v}}$):

$$\mathbf{P}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 4x + 3x^2 & 4 + x + 3x^2 & x^3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2x^3 & 0 & x^4 \\ 4x & 4 + x & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{P}^c(x) = x^{(2,3,1,1)} \cdot \mathbf{P}(x^{-1}) \cdot x^{(0,0,1,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 4x + 3 & 4x^2 + x + 3 & 1 & 0 \\ x^3 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 4x + 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & x & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note : $\text{cdeg}_{(-2,-3,-1,-1)}(\mathbf{P}(x)) = (0, 0, 1, 1)$.

Why is reversing convenient?

P $\vec{u}$ -order basis with $\text{cdeg}_{\vec{u}} = \vec{v}$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2+2x & 3+4x \\ 0 & x^2 & 1+3x^4 & 1+4x+3x^4 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]^{2 \times 4}$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 4x+3x^2 & 4+x+3x^2 & x^3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2x^3 & 0 & x^4 \\ 4x & 4+x & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{A}(x) \cdot \mathbf{P}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & x^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x^6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why is reversing convenient?

$\mathbf{P}^c = x^{-i\bar{j}} \mathbf{P}(x^{-1}) x^{j\bar{i}}$ gives unimodular matrix

$$\mathbf{A}^c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2x+2 & 3x+4 \\ 0 & 1 & x^4+3 & x^4+4x^3+3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]^{2 \times 4}$$

$$\mathbf{P}^c = \begin{bmatrix} 4x+3 & 4x^2+x+3 & 1 & 0 \\ x^3 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 4x+1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & x & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\mathbf{A}^c(x) \cdot \mathbf{P}^c(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Procedure : Step 1

Reversing (carefully)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}(x) \\ \mathbf{G}(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} ?? & \mathbf{M}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}(x^{-1}) \\ \mathbf{G}(x^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} \cdot x^{\vec{s}} \cdot x^{-\vec{s}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} ?? & \mathbf{M}(x^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^c(x) \\ \mathbf{G}^c(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} ?? & x^{-\vec{s}} \cdot \mathbf{M}(x^{-1}) \cdot x^{\vec{b}+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & x^{\vec{b}+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^c(x) \\ \mathbf{G}^c(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} ?? & \mathbf{M}^c(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & x^{\vec{b}+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The Procedure : Step 2

From $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^c(x) \\ \mathbf{G}^c(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} ?? & \mathbf{M}^c(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & x^{\vec{b}+1} \end{bmatrix}$ we get:

$$(a) \quad \mathbf{F}^c(x) \cdot \mathbf{M}^c(x) = 0$$

- Use a $\vec{s}$ -minimal kernel basis for $\mathbf{M}^c(x)$.

The Procedure : Step 2

From $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^c(x) \\ \mathbf{G}^c(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} ?? & \mathbf{M}^c(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & x^{\vec{b}+1} \end{bmatrix}$ we get:

$$(a) \quad \mathbf{F}^c(x) \cdot \mathbf{M}^c(x) = 0$$

$$(b) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}(x) \\ \mathbf{G}^c(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{M}^c(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x^{\vec{b}+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Use a $\vec{s}$ -minimal kernel basis for $\mathbf{M}^c(x)$.
- Use order basis on the left to get $\mathbf{G}^c(x)$.

- Turns out : $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}(x) \\ \mathbf{G}(x) \end{bmatrix}$ will be unimodular .

The Procedure : Step 2

From $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^c(x) \\ \mathbf{G}^c(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} ?? & \mathbf{M}^c(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & x^{\vec{b}+1} \end{bmatrix}$ we get:

$$(a) \quad \mathbf{F}^c(x) \cdot \mathbf{M}^c(x) = 0$$

$$(b) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}(x) \\ \mathbf{G}^c(x) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{M}^c(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x^{\vec{b}+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Use a $\vec{s}$ -minimal kernel basis for $\mathbf{M}^c(x)$.
- Use order basis on the left to get $\mathbf{G}^c(x)$.

- Turns out : $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}(x) \\ \mathbf{G}(x) \end{bmatrix}$ will be unimodular .

- Efficiency : Need to do order bases incrementally

Steps + Complexity

Overall cost : $O^\sim(n^\omega s)$

(1) kernel bases computation $\mathbf{M}$

- Cost : $O^\sim(n^\omega s)$
- Partition : $\mathbf{M} = [\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2, \dots, \mathbf{M}_{\lceil \log(n-m) \rceil}]$
(increasing $\vec{s}$ -column degrees)

(2) order bases computation $\mathbf{N}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{M}_i$:

- Incremental orders
- Cost : $O^\sim(n^\omega s)$

(3) polynomial matrix multiplication

- Multiply $\mathbf{N}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{M}_i$
- Cost : $O^\sim(n^\omega s)$

Future work

- Implement in appropriate fast environment

Added bonus : likely allows for moving soft O to big O

- Fast unimodular completion for :
 - differential and Ore matrix polynomials
 - multivariate matrix polynomials
 - multivariate differential and Ore matrix polynomials