

# The Popov Forms of Ore Matrices

**Mark Giesbrecht   George Labahn   Yang Zhang**

Symbolic Computation Group  
University of Waterloo

May 18, 2002

**Definition.** Let  $D[x; \sigma, \delta]$  be an Ore domain. An  $m \times m$  non-singular Ore matrix  $T(x) \in D[x; \sigma, \delta]^{m \times m}$  is in *Popov form* (with column degree  $\vec{\alpha}$ ) if there exists a multi-index  $\vec{\alpha}$  such that  $T(x)$  satisfies the degree constraints

$$T(x) \cdot x^{-\vec{\alpha}} = T' + o(x^{-1})_{x \rightarrow \infty}, \quad T' \in D^{m \times m} \text{ is upper triangular.} \quad (1)$$

$$x^{-\vec{\alpha}} \cdot T(x) = I_m + o(x^{-1})_{x \rightarrow \infty}. \quad (2)$$

- Popov forms of polynomial matrices can be found in Kailath [3] with many applications to linear system theory.
- Advantages: The degrees of entries don't increase. Hermite forms and Smith forms don't have this property.

• **Example:** Let  $M(x) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[x; \sigma, \delta]^{m \times m}$ , and

$$M(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x^2 + 1 & tx^2 + x + 1 & x - 1 \\ x + 1 & x^3 + 2x - 1 & x + 1 \\ x + 1 & x - 2 & x^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we can write

$$M(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x^4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & tx^2 + x + 1 & x - 1 \\ x + 1 & 2x - 1 & x + 1 \\ x + 1 & x - 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$M(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x^4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x + 1 & x - 1 \\ x + 1 & 2x - 1 & x + 1 \\ x + 1 & x - 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Popov forms of matrices over valuation rings

Popov forms of polynomial matrices and Ore matrices can be obtained as special cases of valuation Popov forms.

**Definition.** An *ordered group*  $\Gamma$  is a group with a total ordering  $\geq$ , which is preserved by the group operation:

$$\alpha \geq \beta, \alpha' \geq \beta' \Rightarrow \alpha + \alpha' \geq \beta + \beta' \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in \Gamma.$$

Usually,  $\Gamma$  will be augmented by a symbol  $\infty$  to form a monoid with the operation

$$\alpha + \infty = \infty + \alpha = \infty + \infty = \infty \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Gamma,$$

and the ordering  $\infty > \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be a ring. By a *valuation* on  $R$  with values in an ordered group  $\Gamma$ , the *value group*, we mean a function  $v$  on  $R$  with values in  $\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  subject to the conditions:

(V.1)  $v(a) \in \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $v$  assumes at least two values,

(V.2)  $v(ab) = v(a) + v(b)$ , and

(V.3)  $v(a + b) \geq \min\{v(a), v(b)\}$ , for every pair of elements  $a, b \in R$ .

**Example:** Let  $D$  be a skew field with valuation  $v$  and an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $D$  such that  $v(a^\sigma) = v(a)$  for all  $a \in D$ . Now select an element  $\mu$  in the value group  $\Gamma$  (or in an ordered extensions of  $\Gamma$ ) and define a valuation on the skew polynomial  $D[x; \sigma]$  by the rule

$$w\left(\sum a_i x^i\right) = \min_i \{i\mu + v(a_i)\}.$$

and then  $w$  can be uniquely extended to the functional field  $D(x; \sigma)$ . If the residue-class skew field of  $D$  under  $v$  is  $k$  and the automorphism induced on  $k$  by  $\sigma$  is  $\bar{\sigma}$ , then the residue-class field of  $D(x; \sigma)$  is  $k(x; \bar{\sigma}^{-i})$  if  $i\mu$  is the least multiple of  $\mu$  which

lies in  $\Gamma$ , and  $k$  if no multiple of  $\mu$  lies in  $\Gamma$ .

**Definition.** Given an Ore domain  $D$  with quotient ring  $Q$  and valuation  $v$ . For  $a, b \in D$ , we say that  $v(b)$  divides  $v(a)$  with respect to  $v$ , denoted  $v(b)|_v v(a)$ , if there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $v(a) = v(db)$ .  $d$  is called an *valuation quotient* of  $a$  by  $b$  with respect to  $v$  if  $a = db$ , or if  $a \neq db$  and  $v(a - db) < v(a)$ .

**Lemma.**  $v(b)|_v v(a)$  if and only if there exists an valuation quotient  $c$  of  $a$  by  $b$ .

**Definition.** Let  $A \in D^{n \times m}$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the  *$i$ th pivot index*  $piv(i)$  of  $A$  is defined as:

1.  $piv(i) = 0$ , if  $a_{i,j} = 0$ , for any  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .
2.  $v(a_{i,j}) \leq v(a_{i,piv(i)})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq piv(i)$ .
3.  $v(a_{i,j}) \leq v(a_{i,piv(i)})$  for  $piv(i) \leq j \leq m$ .

That is, a pivot element is the rightmost element with maximum value in value group  $\Gamma$  in its row.

**Definition.** The *pivot support set* of  $A$  is defined as  $PivS(A) = \{1 \leq i \leq n \mid piv(i) \neq 0\}$ .

$A \in D^{n \times m}$  is said to be in *weak popov form* if its pivot support set are all different.

• Define the row transformation:

Let  $i \in PivS(A)$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , if  $v(a_{j,piv(i)}) \mid v(a_{i,piv(i)})$ , then there exists  $s \in D$  such that  $a_{i,piv(i)} = sa_{j,piv(i)}$  or  $v(a_{i,piv(i)} - sa_{j,piv(i)}) < v(a_{j,piv(i)})$ . Then we reduce  $(j, piv(i))$ -entry to zero or to small values by subtracting  $s$  times row  $i$  from row  $j$  the *simple transformation* of row  $i$  on row  $j$ . If  $piv(i) = piv(j)$ , the transformation is called of the *first kind*, otherwise it is called of the *second kind*.

**Algorithm:** WeakPopovForm(Valuation form)

Input:  $\triangleright A \in D^{n \times m}$ ;

Output:  $\triangleright P \in D^{n \times m}$  in weak Popov form with respect to a valuation  $v$ , obtained by applying first kind simple transformation on  $A$ ;

While  $A$  is not in weak Popov form do

    Apply a first kind simple transformation on  $A$ ;

End do

• If  $v(D)$  is well-ordered, the algorithm will terminate.

**Definition.**  $A$  is said to be in *ascending order* if for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , we have  $v(a_{i,piv(i)}) < v(a_{j,piv(j)})$  or  $v(a_{i,piv(i)}) = v(a_{j,piv(j)})$  but  $piv(i) < piv(j)$ , for any nonzero entries.

**Definition.**  $A \in D^{n \times m}$  is said to be in *Popov form* with respect to the valuation  $v$  if

1.  $A$  is in weak popov form;
2.  $A$  is in ascending order with respect values;
3.  $v(a_{i,piv(j)}) < v(a_{j,piv(j)})$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $j \in \text{PivS}(A)$ .

**Algorithm:** PopovForm for the matrix over valuation domains

Input:  $\triangleright A \in D^{n \times m}$ ;  $D$ : valuation domain wrt  $v$ .

Output:  $\triangleright P$  in Popov form, left equivalent to  $A$ .

$W := \text{WeakPopovForm}(A)$ ;

Permute rows of  $W$  s.t.  $W$  is in ascending order wrt  $v$ ;

For  $k$  to  $n$  do

  if  $k$ th row is not the zero row then

    Let  $\delta := \max_{i < k, i \in \text{PicS}(W)} \{v(a_{k, \text{piv}(i, W)}) - v(w_{i, \text{piv}(i, W)})\}$ ;

    if  $\delta < \infty$  then break;

    Let  $l < k, l \in \text{PivS}(W)$  such that

$$v(a_{k, \text{piv}(l, W)}) - v(w_{l, \text{piv}(l, W)}) = \delta;$$

    Apply simple transformation of row  $l$  on row  $k$ ;

  End if;

End do;

return  $P := \text{copy}(W)$

- Corollary: (weak) Popov forms of polynomial matrices (Mullers and Storjohann [4]) and Ore matrices can be obtained by using degree valuations.
- Notice that different valuations induce different Popov forms.

## References

- [1] B. Beckermann, G. Labahn and G. Villard, Shifted Normal Forms of Polynomial Matrices, *Proceedings of ISSAC'99*, Vancouver, ACM Press, (1999) 189-196.
- [2] B. Beckermann and G. Labahn, Fraction-free Computation of Matrix Rational Interpolants and Matrix GCD's. To appear in *SIAM J. Matrix Analysis and Applications*.
- [3] T. Kailath, *Linear Systems*, Prentice Hall, 1980.
- [4] T. Mulders and A. Storjohann, *On lattice reduction for polynomial matrices*, to appear in *J. Sym. Computation*.
- [5] J. von zur Gathen, *Hensel and Newton methods in valuation rings*, *Mathematics of Computation*, vol.42(1984), 637-661.