

Lecture 4+5

Lecturer: Elena Grigorescu

Scribe: Zhiang Wu

In this note, we use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the inner product. Let $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

1 Hadamard Code

Last time, we ended with the Plotkin bound as follows.

Claim 1 (Plotkin bound) *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ denote a binary code of code length n and distance d . If $d \geq \frac{n}{2}$, then $|C| \leq 2n$.*

When $d = \frac{n}{2}$, we will see that the *Hadamard code* achieves the tight size bound. Below, we first define the Hadamard code and introduce the notion of *dual code*. Next, we demonstrate that the Hadamard code is the dual of the Hamming code. Finally, we show the distance and size of the Hadamard code.

Definition 2 (Hadamard Code) *Recall that the Hamming code Ham is*

$$\text{Ham} = \left\{ c \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2^t-1} \mid Hc = 0 \pmod{2} \right\},$$

where $H \in \mathbb{F}_2^{t \times (2^t-1)}$ and the i -th column of H is the binary representation of i .

The Hadamard code Had is defined with respect to H as

$$\text{Had} = \left\{ c \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2^t-1} \mid a^\top H = c \text{ for some } a \in \mathbb{F}_2^t \right\}.$$

We observe the following property of the Hadamard code.

Claim 3 (Property of Had) $\forall c \in \text{Had}, \forall y \in \text{Ham}, \langle c, y \rangle = 0$.

Proof By the definition of Had, since $c \in \text{Had}$, there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^t$ such that $a^\top H = c$. Then $\langle c, y \rangle = \langle a^\top H, y \rangle = \langle a, Hy \rangle$. Since $y \in \text{Ham}$, then $Hy = 0$. Hence $\langle c, y \rangle = 0$. ■

Definition 4 (Dual Code) *For a linear code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$, its dual code, denoted as $C^\perp$, is*

$$C^\perp = \left\{ c' \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \langle c', c \rangle = 0 \forall c \in C \right\}.$$

Remark Had is the dual code of Ham.

Claim 5 (Size of Had) Let $n = 2^t - 1$. Then $|\text{Had}| = n + 1$.

Proof From lecture 2, we have $\dim(\text{Ham}) = \dim(\ker(H)) = n - t$. Note that Had is the orthogonal complement of Ham. Then $\dim(\text{Had}) = t$ by the fundamental theorem of linear algebra. Hence, $|\text{Had}| = 2^t = n + 1$. ■

Before proving the distance of Had, we discuss an alternative view of the Hadamard code. Each codeword c is considered as a function parameterized by $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^t$, i.e.,

$$\text{Had} = \{c_a : \mathbb{F}_2^t \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_2^t\},$$

where $c_a(x) = \langle a, x \rangle$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^t \setminus \{0\}$. For each codeword $c \in \text{Had}$, it can be represented as $c = (\langle a, b_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle a, b_{2^t-1} \rangle)$, where $b_i \in \mathbb{F}_2^t$ corresponds to the binary representation of i for $i \in [2^t - 1]$.

Claim 6 (Distance of Had) Let $n = 2^t - 1$. Then $\Delta(\text{Had}) = \frac{n+1}{2}$.

Proof From lecture 2, it is equivalent to show that $\min_{\substack{c \in \text{Had} \\ c \neq 0}} wt(c, 0) = \frac{n+1}{2}$. We prove that for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^t \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle a, x \rangle = 0$, we can uniquely pair x with $x' \in \mathbb{F}_2^t \setminus \{0, x\}$ so that $c_a(x') = 1$. Let $x' = x + e_j$, where $a_j \neq 0$ and e_j is j -th standard basis. Then $\langle a, x + e_j \rangle = \langle a, x \rangle + \langle a, e_j \rangle = a_j = 1$. Since $c \neq 0$, at least one $\langle a, x \rangle \neq 0$. Hence, the distance of Had is $\frac{2^t-2}{2} + 1 = \frac{n+1}{2}$. ■

We have show that there exists a code Had such that $|\text{Had}| = n + 1$ and $\Delta(\text{Had}) = \frac{n+1}{2}$ for an odd integer n . It can be simply extended to an arbitrary integer by considering the following construction:

$$\text{Had}' = \{c_{a,b} : \mathbb{F}_2^t \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_2^t, b \in \mathbb{F}_2\},$$

where $c_{a,b}(x) = \langle a, x \rangle + b$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^t \setminus \{0\}$.

2 Fourier Analysis over the Boolean Hypercube

We consider the vector space of real-valued functions over the boolean hypercube, i.e., $V = \{f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$. There are two basis. The first is the standard basis $\{\mathbb{1}_w \mid w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\}$, where

$$\mathbb{1}_w(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The second is the *Fourier basis* $\{\chi_y : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\}$, where $\chi_y(x) = (-1)^{\langle x, y \rangle}$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$. We make the following two observations:

- $\forall x, z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, \chi_y(x)\chi_y(z) = \chi_y(x + z)$;
- $\forall x, z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, \chi_x(y)\chi_z(y) = \chi_{x+z}(y)$.

Recall that the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of two functions $f, g : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}[fg] = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \frac{1}{2^n} f(x)g(x).$$

Claim 7 *The Fourier basis is an orthonormal basis.*

Proof Norm of χ_y . For each χ_y , we have $\langle \chi_y, \chi_y \rangle = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_x \chi_y(x+x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_x (-1)^0 = 1$.
Orthogonal. For $\chi_y \neq \chi_z$, we have $\langle \chi_y, \chi_z \rangle = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_x \chi_{y+z}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_x (-1)^{\langle y+z, x \rangle}$. Note that $y+z \neq 0$. We apply the same trick used in Claim 6 to pair each x where $\langle y+z, x \rangle = 0$ to a unique x' such that $\langle y+z, x' \rangle = 1$. We have $(-1)^{\langle y+z, x \rangle} + (-1)^{\langle y+z, x' \rangle} = 0$. Hence, $\langle \chi_y, \chi_z \rangle = 0$. ■

Definition 8 (Fourier Transform) *For a function $f \in V$, it can be uniquely expressed as*

$$f = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \hat{f}(y) \chi_y,$$

where $\hat{f} : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Claim 9 $\forall y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, \hat{f}(y) = \langle f, \chi_y \rangle$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \chi_y \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_x \hat{f}(x) \chi_x, \chi_y \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_x \hat{f}(x) \langle \chi_x, \chi_y \rangle \\ &= \hat{f}(y) \langle \chi_y, \chi_y \rangle + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n : x \neq y} \hat{f}(x) \langle \chi_x, \chi_y \rangle \\ &= \hat{f}(y) + 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Definition 8, and the forth inequality follows from Claim 7. ■

Claim 10 (Parseval's Identity) $\forall f, g \in V, \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_y \hat{f}(y) \hat{g}(y)$.

Proof

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \left\langle f, \sum_y \hat{g}(y) \chi_y \right\rangle = \sum_y \hat{g}(y) \langle f, \chi_y \rangle = \sum_y \hat{g}(y) \hat{f}(y),$$

where the first equality follows from Definition 8, and the second equality follows from Claim 9. ■

Corollary 11 $\forall f \in V, \hat{f}(0) = \mathbb{E}[f]$.

Proof

$$\hat{f}(0) = \langle f, \chi_0 \rangle = \mathbb{E}[f],$$

where the first equality follows from Claim 9, and the second equality follows from $\chi_0 = 1$. ■

Definition 12 (Convolution) $\forall f, g \in V$, the convolution of f and g is

$$(f * g)(x) = \mathbb{E}_y[f(y)g(x + y)].$$

Claim 13 The convolution operator $*$ is commutative and associative.

Claim 14 $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, \widehat{f * g}(x) = \hat{f}(x)\hat{g}(x)$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(x)\hat{g}(x) &= \langle f, \chi_x \rangle \langle g, \chi_x \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \left(\sum_y f(y) \chi_x(y) \right) \left(\sum_z g(z) \chi_x(z) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sum_{y,z} f(y)g(z) \chi_x(y+z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sum_{y,t} f(y)g(t+y) \chi_x(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_t \chi_x(t) \left(\sum_y \frac{1}{2^n} f(y)g(t+y) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_t \chi_x(t) (f * g)(t) \\ &= \langle \chi_x, f * g \rangle \\ &= \widehat{f * g}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the first and last equalities follow from Claim 9. ■

For a code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$, define its characteristic functions as

$$\mathbb{1}_C(c) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c \in C \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

3 Application of Fourier Analysis

Claim 15 *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a linear code. Then $\widehat{\mathbf{1}_C} = \frac{|C|}{2^n} \mathbf{1}_{C^\perp}$.*

Proof $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{\mathbf{1}_C}(x) &= \langle \widehat{\mathbf{1}_C}, \chi_x \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_y \widehat{\mathbf{1}_C}(y) \chi_x(y) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^n} \left(\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n: y \in C} \widehat{\mathbf{1}_C}(y) \chi_x(y) + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n: y \notin C} \widehat{\mathbf{1}_C}(y) \chi_x(y) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n: y \in C} \chi_x(y) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n: y \in C} (-1)^{\langle x, y \rangle},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the forth equality follows from the definition of $\widehat{\mathbf{1}_C}$. Note that if $x \in C^\perp$, $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ and $\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n: y \in C} (-1)^{\langle x, y \rangle} = |C|$. Otherwise, there exists $y^* \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $\langle x, y^* \rangle = 1$. By the same trick used in Claim 6, if $x \notin C^\perp$, we have $\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n: y \in C} (-1)^{\langle x, y \rangle} = 0$. ■

Claim 16 *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$. Then $\mathbf{1}_C * \mathbf{1}_C = \frac{|C|}{2^n} \mathbf{1}_C$.*

Proof $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbf{1}_C * \mathbf{1}_C)(x) &= \sum_y \left(\widehat{\mathbf{1}_C * \mathbf{1}_C}(y) \right) \chi_y(x) \\
 &= \left(\frac{|C|}{2^n} \right)^2 \sum_y (\mathbf{1}_{C^\perp}(y)) \chi_y(x) \\
 &= \left(\frac{|C|}{2^n} \right)^2 \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n: y \in C^\perp} \chi_y(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since C is linear, we have $|C^\perp| \cdot |C| = 2^n$. By the same tricks used in Claim 6 and Claim 14, we have

$$\left(\frac{|C|}{2^n} \right)^2 \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n: y \in C^\perp} \chi_y(x) = \left(\frac{|C|}{2^n} \right)^2 |C^\perp| \mathbf{1}_C = \frac{|C|}{2^n} \mathbf{1}_C.$$

■

4 LP Bound

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 17 (LP Bound) *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a linear code of distance $d \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $\delta = \frac{d}{n}$. Then*

$$|C| \leq 2^{(H(\tau_{\text{LP}}) + o(1))n},$$

where $\tau_{\text{LP}} = \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\delta(1-\delta)}$.

Below, we first state the covering lemma and directly apply it to derive the LP bound. Then, we prove the covering lemma via a helper lemma on the existence of a function of special properties. Finally, we introduce the special function to end the proof.

We define $r = \tau n$ as a function of τ and $\theta_r = 2\sqrt{r(1-r)} - o(n)$ as a function of r .

Lemma 18 (Covering Lemma) *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a linear code of distance d and $C^\perp$ be the dual of C . Let r be a radius such that $\theta_r \geq n - 2d + 1$ and B_r be the hamming ball of radius r , where $r = \tau n$ and $\theta_r = 2\sqrt{r(n-r)} - o(n) = 2n(\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)} - o(1))$. Then*

$$\left| \bigcup_{z \in C^\perp} (z + B_r) \right| \geq \frac{2^n}{n}.$$

4.1 Proof of the LP Bound

Proof Now, we leverage the covering lemma to prove LP bound. Take $r = \tau_{\text{LP}} n$. We neglect the $o(1)$ terms and verify that $\theta_r \geq n - 2d + 1$ below.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_r &= 2n\sqrt{\tau_{\text{LP}}(1-\tau_{\text{LP}})} \\ &= 2n\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\delta(1-\delta)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\delta(1-\delta)}\right)} \\ &= 2n\sqrt{\delta^2 - \delta + \frac{1}{4}} \\ &\geq n - 2d + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left| \bigcup_{z \in C^\perp} (z + B_r) \right| \leq \sum_{z \in C^\perp} |z + B_r| = |C^\perp| \cdot |B_r| = \frac{2^n}{|C|} 2^{(H(\tau_{\text{LP}}) + o(1))n},$$

since $|C^\perp| \cdot |C| = 2^n$ and $|B_r| = 2^{(H(\tau_{\text{LP}}) + o(1))n}$. Meanwhile, the covering lemma (Lemma 18) gives a lower bound of $\left| \bigcup_{z \in C^\perp} (z + B_r) \right|$. Then

$$\frac{2^n}{n} \leq \left| \bigcup_{z \in C^\perp} (z + B_r) \right| \leq \frac{2^n}{|C|} 2^{(H(\tau_{\text{LP}}) + o(1))n}.$$

We have $|C| \leq n 2^{(H(\tau_{\text{LP}}) + o(1))n} = 2^{(H(\tau_{\text{LP}}) + o(1))n}$ as n is absorbed by the $o(1)$ term. ■

4.2 Proving the Covering Lemma

Below, we first set up useful notations and observations. Then, we state a helper lemma and apply it to derive the covering lemma. Finally, we prove the helper lemma.

For two functions f and g , we denote $f \geq g$ if and only if $f(x) \geq g(x)$ for any x .

We consider a layered graph based on the boolean hypercube $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. There is an edge between $v_i, v_j \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ if and only if $\Delta(v_i, v_j) = 1$, i.e., $v_i = v_j + e_k$ for some $k \in [n]$, where $e_k \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is the k -th standard basis of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. Let A denote the adjacency matrix of the layer graph. Then $A_{y, y+e_k} = 1$ for each $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $y \neq 1$, and each $k \in [n]$.

Consider the function $L : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows.

$$L(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if } x = e_k \text{ for some } k \in [n] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Claim 19 $\forall f \in V, Af = L * f$.

Proof $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, (L * f)(x) = \sum_y \frac{1}{2^n} L(y) f(y + x)$. Note that $L(y) = 2^n$ if and only if $y = e_k$ for some $k \in [n]$. Then $(L * f)(x) = \sum_{i \in [n]} f(x + e_i)$, which is exactly the definition of $(Af)(x)$ since $A_{x, x'} = 1$ if $x' = x + e_k$ for some $k \in [n]$. ■

Claim 20 $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, \hat{L}(x) = n - 2\text{wt}(x)$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}(x) &= \langle L, \chi_x \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_z (-1)^{\langle z, x \rangle} L(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i \in [n]} (-1)^{\langle e_i, x \rangle} L(e_i) \\ &= \sum_{i \in [n]} (-1)^{x_i} \\ &= |\{i \in [n] \mid x_i = 0\}| - |\{i \in [n] \mid x_i = 1\}| = n - 2\text{wt}(x). \end{aligned}$$

■

Claim 21 Let $B = B(0, \tau n)$. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a code. $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, (\mathbb{1}_C * \mathbb{1}_B)(x) = \frac{|C \cap B(x, \tau n)|}{2^n}$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{1}_C * \mathbb{1}_B)(x) &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_y \mathbb{1}_C(y) \mathbb{1}_B(x + y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in C} \mathbb{1}_B(x + y). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbb{1}_B(x + y) = 1$ if $x + y \in B$, i.e., $y \in B(x, \tau n)$. Hence, $(\mathbb{1}_C * \mathbb{1}_B)(x) = \frac{|C \cap B(x, \tau n)|}{2^n}$.

■

Lemma 22 (Helper Lemma) *Let $r = \tau n$. Let $B = B(0, r)$ denote the Hamming ball of radius r . There exists a function $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

- (1) $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq B$;
- (2) $f \geq 0$;
- (3) $Af \geq \theta_r f$, where $\theta_r = 2\sqrt{r(n-r)} - o(n)$.

Remark The helper lemma implies that the largest eigenvalue of A is at least θ_r .

$$\lambda_B = \max \left\{ \frac{\langle Af, f \rangle}{\langle f, f \rangle} \mid f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{supp}(f) \subseteq B \right\} \geq \theta_r.$$

Now, we are ready to prove the covering lemma.

Proof of the Covering Lemma Let f denote a function given by the helper lemma. Consider a function $F : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows:

$$F(z) = (\mathbb{1}_{C^\perp} * f)(z) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_x \mathbb{1}_{C^\perp}(x) f(x+z). \quad (1)$$

Note that $F(z) \neq 0$ only if there exists some $x \in C^\perp$ such that $f(x+z) \neq 0$. Then $x+z \in B$, i.e., $x \in z+B$, since $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq B$. Hence, $\text{supp}(F) \subseteq S$, where $S = \bigcup_{z \in C^\perp} (z+B)$.

We next consider the expectation of F to relate $|S|$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{E}[F])^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in S} F(x) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{2n}} |S| \left(\sum_{x \in S} F^2(x) \right) \\ &= \frac{|S|}{2^n} \langle F, F \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{|S|}{2^n} n (\mathbb{E}[F])^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, the second equality follows from the definition of inner product, and the second inequality follows from Claim 23.

Hence, $|S| \geq \frac{2^n}{n}$. ■

Claim 23 For the function $F \in V$ defined as Equation (1), $\langle F, F \rangle \leq n(\mathbb{E}[F])^2$

Proof We consider the upper and lower bounds of $\langle AF, F \rangle$.

Lower Bound. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
AF &= F * L \\
&= (\mathbb{1}_{C^\perp} * \mathbf{f}) * L \\
&= \mathbb{1}_{C^\perp} * (\mathbf{f} * L) \\
&= \mathbb{1}_{C^\perp} * A\mathbf{f} \\
&\geq \mathbb{1}_{C^\perp} * \theta_r \mathbf{f} \\
&= \theta_r (\mathbb{1}_{C^\perp} * \mathbf{f}) \\
&= \theta_r F,
\end{aligned}$$

where the equalities follows from the definitions of F and convolution, and the inequality follows from the helper lemma. Then $\langle AF, F \rangle \geq \theta_r \langle F, F \rangle \geq (n - 2d + 1) \langle F, F \rangle$.

Upper Bound. We have

$$\langle AF, F \rangle = \sum_x \widehat{AF}(x) \hat{F}(x) = \sum_x \widehat{L * F}(x) \hat{F}(x) = \sum_x \hat{L}(x) \hat{F}(x) \hat{F}(x).$$

Recall that $F(x) = (\mathbb{1}_{C^\perp} * \mathbf{f})(x)$ and $\hat{F}(x) = \widehat{\mathbb{1}_{C^\perp} * \mathbf{f}}(x) = \widehat{\mathbb{1}_{C^\perp}}(x) \hat{\mathbf{f}}(x) = \frac{|C|}{2^n} \mathbb{1}_C(x) \hat{\mathbf{f}}(x)$. Then $\hat{F}(x) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $1 \leq \text{wt}(x) \leq d - 1$, since C is of distance d .

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_x \hat{L}(x) \hat{F}(x) \hat{F}(x) &= \hat{L}(0) \hat{F}^2(0) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n : \text{wt}(x) \geq d} \hat{L}(x) \hat{F}^2(x) \\
&= \mathbb{E}[L] (\mathbb{E}[F])^2 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n : \text{wt}(x) \geq d} (n - 2\text{wt}(x)) \hat{F}^2(x) \\
&\leq n(\mathbb{E}[F])^2 + (n - 2d) \langle F, F \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Combing the upper and lower bounds, we have

$$(n - 2d + 1) \langle F, F \rangle \leq n(\mathbb{E}[F])^2 + (n - 2d) \langle F, F \rangle.$$

Hence, $\langle F, F \rangle \leq n(\mathbb{E}[F])^2$. ■

Finally, we construct a special function for the helper lemma.

Proof of the Helper Lemma We consider the function constructed as follows. First, we let $\mathbf{f}(x) = \mathbf{f}(y)$ if $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $\text{wt}(x) = \text{wt}(y)$. We abuse the notation and denote $\mathbf{f}(i)$ as the values of weight i vectors in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. Recall that $r = \tau n$.

$$\mathbf{f}(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\binom{n}{i}}} & \text{if } i \in [r - \sqrt{n}, r] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to see that $\text{supp}(\mathbf{f}) \subseteq B$ and $\mathbf{f} \geq 0$. Below, we verify that $A\mathbf{f} \geq \theta_r \mathbf{f}$.

Recall that $Af(x) = \sum_{i \in [n]} f(x + e_i)$. Then

$$Af(x) = \text{wt}(x)f(\text{wt}(x) - 1) + (n - \text{wt}(x))f(\text{wt}(x) + 1),$$

since there are $\text{wt}(x)$ vectors of weight $\text{wt}(x) - 1$ from x . Thus, for $i \in [r - \sqrt{n} + 1, r - 1]$,

$$Af(i) = \left(\sqrt{i(n-i)} + \sqrt{(n-i)(i+1)} \right) f(i) \geq \left(2\sqrt{r(n-r)} - o(n) \right) f(i),$$

where the equality follows from the construction of f and $\frac{f(i)}{f(i+1)} = \sqrt{\frac{\binom{n}{i+1}}{\binom{n}{i}}} = \sqrt{\frac{n-i}{i+1}}$. ■