

On Scheduling Perfect Competitions

D.R. Stinson

The following problem was posed by M.S. Brandy in [2; p. 759]. Let $v \geq 4$ be an integer. A *perfect competition* is the set of all possible games, where in each game two of v players play against two other players. Clearly, there are $\frac{1}{2} \binom{v}{2} \binom{v-2}{2} = 3 \binom{v}{4}$ games. It is desired to schedule these games into rounds, so that any player plays in at most one game in each round. Denote by $R(v)$ the minimum number of rounds necessary to schedule a perfect competition, subject to the above constraint. The problem posed by Brandy is to determine $R(v)$.

In this paper we accomplish this: for any integer $v \geq 4$, $R(v) = 3 \binom{v}{4} \lfloor \frac{v}{4} \rfloor$. (Note that this quantity is always an integer.) First, we observe that at most $\lfloor \frac{v}{4} \rfloor$ games can be played in a given round, so clearly $R(v) \geq 3 \binom{v}{4} \lfloor \frac{v}{4} \rfloor$. The remainder of this paper describes the construction of schedules with the desired number of rounds.

Our proof follows easily from a result of Baranyai. It is necessary first to give some terminology. Let X be a finite set, and denote $v = |X|$. If $1 \leq k \leq v$, then $\binom{X}{k}$ denotes the set of all k -subsets (called *edges*) of X . If k_1, \dots, k_m is a list of integers (not necessarily distinct), with $1 \leq k_i \leq v$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $K(v; k_1, \dots, k_m)$ (based on X) denotes the multiset union of the $\binom{X}{k_i}$, ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Thus, edges will be repeated if $k_i = k_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

A *scheme* based on $K(v; k_1, \dots, k_m)$ is an $m \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ of non-negative integers, which satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = \binom{v}{k_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m \quad (i)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m k_i a_{ij} = v, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n. \quad (\text{ii})$$

A resolution of $K(v; k_1, \dots, k_m)$ according to A is a partition $\mathbf{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ of $K(v; k_1, \dots, k_m)$, where each $P_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^m X_{ij}$, which satisfies

$$|X_{ij}| = a_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \quad (\text{i})$$

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^n X_{ij} = \binom{X}{k_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m \quad (\text{ii})$$

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^m \bigcup_{s \in X_{ij}} s = X, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n. \quad (\text{iii})$$

We remark that each X_{ij} consists of disjoint k_i -subsets of $\binom{X}{k_i}$.

Baranyai proved the following Theorem in [1].

Theorem 1. *If A is any scheme based on $K(v; k_1, \dots, k_m)$, then there exists a resolution of $K(v; k_1, \dots, k_m)$ according to A .*

Baranyai's theorem is related to scheduling perfect competitions as follows.

Lemma 2. *Let $v \geq 4$ be a positive integer. Suppose $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 4$, and $1 \leq k_i \leq 3$ for $4 \leq i \leq m$. Suppose $\bigcup_{j=1}^n P_j$ is a resolution of $K(v; k_1, \dots, k_m)$ according to some scheme A . Then a perfect competition for v players can be scheduled, using n rounds.*

Proof. For each P_j , delete any edges of size less than 4. Then replace an edge $abcd$ (where $a < b < c < d$) by:

$$\begin{cases} ab \text{ vs. } cd, & \text{if } abcd \in \binom{X}{k_1} \\ ac \text{ vs. } bd, & \text{if } abcd \in \binom{X}{k_2} \\ ad \text{ vs. } bc, & \text{if } abcd \in \binom{X}{k_3}. \quad \square \end{cases}$$

Thus it is necessary only to construct suitable schemes.

For $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, this is easy. We take $m = 3$, and our scheme is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v}{4} \cdots \frac{v}{4} & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \frac{v}{4} \cdots \frac{v}{4} & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \frac{v}{4} \cdots \frac{v}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\binom{v-1}{3}} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\binom{v-1}{3}} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\binom{v-1}{3}}$

Here $n = 3\binom{v-1}{3} = 3\binom{v}{4}\frac{v}{4}$.

The case $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is almost as simple. Here we set $m = 6$, $k_4 = k_5 = k_6 = 3$, and let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v-3}{4} \cdots \frac{v-3}{4} & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \frac{v-3}{4} \cdots \frac{v-3}{4} & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \frac{v-3}{4} \cdots \frac{v-3}{4} \\ 1 \cdots 1 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 1 \cdots 1 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 1 \cdots 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\binom{v}{3}} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\binom{v}{3}} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\binom{v}{3}}$

Here, $n = 3\binom{v}{3} = 3\binom{v}{4}\frac{v-3}{4}$.

For $v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we desire $n = \frac{v(v-2)(v-3)}{2} = 3\binom{v}{4}\frac{v-1}{4}$. We set $m = 3 + \frac{(v-2)(v-3)}{2}$, and $k_i = 1$ for $4 \leq i \leq m$. We have two subcases: $v \equiv 5$ or $9 \pmod{12}$; and $v \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$.

If $v \equiv 5$ or $9 \pmod{12}$, then $m, n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. For $1 \leq i_1 \leq 3$, $4 \leq i_2 \leq m$, and $i_1 \equiv i_2 \pmod{3}$, construct v columns of A , with $a_{i_1, j} = \frac{v-1}{4}$, $a_{i_2, j} = 1$, and $a_{i, j} = 0$ if $i \neq i_1, i_2$.

If $v \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, then $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. First, we describe the first three rows of A . Construct $\frac{n-1}{3}$ columns each of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} (v-1)/4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (v-1)/4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ (v-1)/4 \end{pmatrix}; \text{ and one column } \begin{pmatrix} (v-1)/12 \\ (v-1)/12 \\ (v-1)/12 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now add $m - 3$ rows, where each row contains v 1's and $n - v$ 0's, and each column contains one 1. This forms the desired matrix A .

Lastly, we consider $v \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Here, we desire $n = \frac{v(v-1)(v-3)}{2} = 3 \binom{v}{4} \frac{v-2}{4}$. We set $m = 3 + (v-1)(v-3)$, and $k_i = 1$ for $4 \leq i \leq m$. We have two subcases: $v \equiv 6$ or $10 \pmod{12}$; and $v \equiv 2 \pmod{12}$.

If $v \equiv 6$ or $10 \pmod{12}$, then $m, n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. First, construct the first three rows of A : $\frac{n}{3}$ columns each of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} (v-2)/4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (v-2)/4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ (v-2)/4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Call this matrix A_1 . Now, let A_2 be any $m - 3$ by $n - 1$ matrix, with all column sums 2 and all row sums v . Then $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Finally, we consider $v \equiv 2 \pmod{12}$. Note that $m, n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Construct A_1 , the first 3 rows of A : $\frac{n-2}{3}$ columns each of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} (v-2)/4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (v-2)/4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ (v-2)/4 \end{pmatrix}; \text{ and two columns } \begin{pmatrix} (v-2)/12 \\ (v-2)/12 \\ (v-2)/12 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let A_2 be any $m - 3$ by $n - 1$ matrix, with all row sums v and all column sums 2. Then $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1, Lemma 2, and the matrices A we have constructed, we have

Theorem 3. For any $v \geq 4$, the minimum number of rounds required to schedule a perfect competition with v players is $3 \binom{v}{4} \lfloor \frac{v}{4} \rfloor$.

References.

- [1] Z. Baranyai, *On the factorization of complete uniform hypergraphs*, Proc. Colloq. on Infinite and Finite Sets (ed. Hajnal et al) (1973), 91-108.
- [2] M.S. Brandy, *Query 203*, Notices of Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 30, No. 7 (1983), 759.

Department of Computer Science
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3T 2N2