

Optimal orthogonal arrays with repeated rows

Douglas R. Stinson

David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science
University of Waterloo

**Canadian Discrete and Algorithmic Mathematics
Conference (CanaDAM 2019)**

Vancouver, May 28–31, 2019

This is joint work with Charlie Colbourn and Shannon Veitch

Definitions and a Bound

- Let $k \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ be integers.
- An **orthogonal array** $\text{OA}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$ is a λn^2 by k array, A , with entries from a set X of cardinality n such that, within any two columns of A , every ordered pair of symbols from X occurs in **exactly λ rows of A** .
- In this talk, we are interested in $\text{OA}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$ that contain a row that is repeated m times, where m is as large as possible.

Theorem 1

Let $k \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ be integers. If there is an $\text{OA}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$ containing a row that is repeated m times, then

$$m \leq \frac{\lambda n^2}{k(n-1) + 1}.$$

Comments

- Theorem 1 is a straightforward extension of the classical *Plackett-Burman bound* for OAs of strength two. It improves the *Plackett-Burman bound* by a factor of m .
- Note the resemblance to *Mann's inequality*, which states that $b \geq mv$ for a BIBD that contains a block of multiplicity m . This improves *Fisher's inequality* by a factor of m .
- A short proof of Theorem 1, based on the original proof of the *Plackett-Burman bound*, is given in Stinson [4].
- The proof uses a standard “variance” technique.
- However this theorem is also an immediate corollary of a much more general theorem of Mukerjee, Qian and Wu [3].

Definitions and Background

- An $\mathbf{OA}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$ containing a row that is repeated

$$m = \frac{\lambda n^2}{k(n-1) + 1} \quad (1)$$

times will be termed **optimal**.

- Another way to view the optimality property is to observe that **the ratio m/λ is as large as possible** in an optimal OA.
- We note that, in a recent paper, Culus and Toulouse [2] discuss an application where it is beneficial to construct optimal orthogonal arrays.
- They also construct several small examples of optimal OAs using linear programs.

Structural Properties

- Without loss of generality we can assume that the m -times repeated row has the form $x x \cdots x$ for any specified symbol x .

Theorem 2

Let $k \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ be integers. Suppose there is an optimal $\mathbf{OA}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$, say A , containing a row $x x \cdots x$ that is repeated m times. Then every other row of A contains exactly $(k - 1)/n$ occurrences of the symbol x and thus $k \equiv 1 \pmod n$.

- Therefore, the following are necessary conditions for the existence of an optimal $\mathbf{OA}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$ with an m -times repeated row:
 - $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$,
 - $m = \frac{\lambda n^2}{k(n-1)+1}$, and
 - $\bar{a} = \frac{k-1}{n}$ is a positive integer.

Basic OAs

- An optimal $\mathbf{OA}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$ is **basic** if $\gcd(m, \lambda) = 1$.
- A basic OA cannot consist of multiple copies of OAs with smaller λ .
- As an example, we construct a basic $\mathbf{OA}_3(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{2})$ from the following two starting rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$$

- We cyclically rotate these starting rows five times, and then adjoin $m = 2$ rows of 0's.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

Another Example of a Basic OA

- We construct a basic $\mathbf{OA}_5(7, 3)$ with $m = 3$.
- We have three starting rows, consisting of symbols from the set $\{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \infty & \infty & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \infty & 1 & \infty & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \infty & 1 & 1 & \infty & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$$

- First, cyclically rotate each starting row seven times.
- Then develop each row modulo 2 (the point ∞ is fixed).
- Finally, adjoin three rows of ∞ 's.
- The resulting $3 \times 7 \times 2 + 3 = 45$ rows form a basic $\mathbf{OA}_5(7, 3)$.

Basic OAs with $n = 2$

Lemma 3

A basic $\mathbf{OA}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{2})$ with $m > 1$ has $m = 2$, $\lambda = 2t + 1$ and $k = 4t + 1$ for some positive integer t .

Proof.

- $(k - 1)/n = (k - 1)/2$ is an integer, so $k = 2s + 1$.
- We have

$$m = \frac{\lambda n^2}{k(n - 1) + 1} = \frac{4\lambda}{k + 1} = \frac{2\lambda}{s + 1},$$

so $2\lambda = m(s + 1)$.

- $\gcd(m, \lambda) = 1$ so $m = 1$ or 2 . But $m > 1$, so $m = 2$.
- Then $\lambda = s + 1$.
- Since $\gcd(m, \lambda) = 1$, s is even, so $s = 2t$.
- Then $\lambda = 2t + 1$ and $k = 4t + 1$.

Basic OAs with $n = 2$ (cont.)

Theorem 4

There exists a basic $\mathbf{OA}_{2t+1}(4t+1, 2)$ if and only if there is a $(4t+1, 2t+1, 2t+1)$ -BIBD.

Proof.

(\Leftarrow) Let M be the b by v incidence matrix of the given BIBD. Construct the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & & M \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then A is a basic $\mathbf{OA}_{2t+1}(4t+1, 2)$.

The other direction of the proof is similar. □

Constructing the Basic OAs with $n = 2$

Theorem 5

If there exists a Hadamard matrix of order $8t + 4$, then there exists a $(4t + 1, 2t + 1, 2t + 1)$ -BIBD (and hence there exists a basic $\text{OA}_{2t+1}(4t + 1, 2)$).

Proof.

It is well-known that a Hadamard matrix of order $8t + 4$ is equivalent to a symmetric $(8t + 3, 4t + 1, 2t)$ -BIBD. The derived BIBD is a $(4t + 1, 2t, 2t - 1)$ -BIBD. If we then complement every block in this BIBD, we obtain a $(4t + 1, 2t + 1, 2t + 1)$ -BIBD. \square

A General Construction for Optimal OAs

- Suppose we are given values for k and n , where $k \geq n + 1$.
- Take **all possible k -tuples** that contain precisely $\bar{a} = (k - 1)/n$ occurrences of 0, where 0 is one of the symbols.
- Then adjoin

$$m = \frac{\lambda n^2}{k(n - 1) + 1}$$

rows of 0s, where

$$\lambda = \binom{k - 2}{\bar{a} - 1} (n - 1)^{k - \bar{a} - 1}.$$

- We obtain an optimal **OA $_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$** .
- For example, suppose $k = 7$ and $n = 3$. Then $\bar{a} = 2$, $\lambda = 80$ and $m = 48$.
- The above-described process yields an optimal **OA $_{80}(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3})$** .

An Improvement

- It turns out that the optimal $\mathbf{OA}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$ described above can be partitioned into $n - 1$ optimal $\mathbf{OA}_{\lambda/(n-1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$.
- Relabel the points so that the m repeated rows each consist of $\infty \infty \cdots \infty$.
- Take the $n - 1$ remaining symbols to be the elements of \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} .
- For any row \mathbf{r} in this OA, say A , let $s(\mathbf{r})$ denote the sum modulo $n - 1$ of the non-infinite elements in this row.
- For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$, let A_i consist of all the rows \mathbf{r} of A such that $s(\mathbf{r}) = i$.
- Then each A_i is an optimal $\mathbf{OA}_{\lambda/(n-1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$.

A Further Improvement

Theorem 6

Suppose $k \geq n + 1$ and suppose $\bar{a} = (k - 1)/n$ is an integer.

Suppose

$$\gamma = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{\bar{a} + 3} \right\rfloor \geq 1.$$

Then there is an optimal $\mathbf{OA}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$, where

$$\lambda = \binom{k-2}{\bar{a}-1} (n-1)^{k-\bar{a}-1},$$

that can be partitioned into $(n-1)^\gamma$ optimal $\mathbf{OA}_{\lambda/(n-1)^\gamma}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})$.

- Suppose we take $k = 16$ and $n = 3$.
- Then $\bar{a} = 5$ and $\gamma = 2$.
- We obtain an optimal $\mathbf{OA}_\lambda(\mathbf{16}, \mathbf{3})$, where

$$\lambda = \binom{14}{4} 2^8.$$

Further Results

- Theorem 1 states that

$$m \leq \frac{\lambda n^2}{k(n-1) + 1}.$$

- Optimal OAs are OAs where we have equality, which can only occur if the expression the right side is an integer.
- In general, we have

$$m \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\lambda n^2}{k(n-1) + 1} \right\rfloor.$$

- An OA in which we have equality is termed ***m*-optimal**.
- We show that, if a “small” number of columns is deleted from an optimal OA, the result is an *m*-optimal OA.

Open Problems

- Find infinite classes of basic OAs with $n \geq 3$.
- Find improved “general” constructions for optimal OAs (i.e., find constructions with smaller λ values than the known constructions).
- Are there recursive constructions for optimal or basic OAs?

References

- [1] C.J. Colbourn, D.R. Stinson and S. Veitch. **Constructions of optimal orthogonal arrays with repeated rows.** *Discrete Mathematics*, to appear, 2019.
- [2] J.-F. Culus and S. Toulouse. **How far from a worst solution a random solution of a k CSP instance can be?** *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* **10979** (2018), 374–386 (IWOCA 2018).
- [3] R. Mukerjee, P. Qian and J. Wu. **On the existence of nested orthogonal arrays.** *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), 4635–4642.
- [4] D.R. Stinson. **Bounds for orthogonal arrays with repeated rows.** *Bulletin of the ICA* **85** (2019), 60–73.

Thank You For Your Attention!

