

Some results on the existence of  $t$ -all-or-nothing  
transforms over arbitrary alphabets, or

**All or nothing at all**

Douglas R. Stinson

David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science  
University of Waterloo

CanaDAM, Toronto, June 12–15, 2017

**In honour of the work of Alex Rosa**

This talk is based on joint work with Navid Nasr Esfahani and Ian  
Goldberg.

## All-or-nothing Transforms

- $X$  is a finite set.
- $s$  is a positive integer, and  $\phi : X^s \rightarrow X^s$ .
- $\phi$  is an unconditionally secure **all-or-nothing transform** provided that the following properties are satisfied:
  1.  $\phi$  is a **bijection**.
  2. If any  $s - 1$  of the  $s$  output values  $y_1, \dots, y_s$  are fixed, then the value of any **one** input value  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) is completely undetermined.
- We will denote such a function as an  $(s, v)$ -**AONT**, where  $v = |X|$ .
- **AONT** were originally defined by Rivest (1997), motivated by an application to cryptography.

# Linear AONT

- Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of order  $q$ .
- An  $(s, q)$ -AONT defined on  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is **linear** if each  $y_i$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear function of  $x_1, \dots, x_s$ .

## Theorem 1 (Stinson, 2000)

*Suppose that  $q$  is a prime power and  $M$  is an invertible  $s$  by  $s$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , such that **no entry of  $M$  is equal to 0**. Then the function  $\phi : (\mathbb{F}_q)^s \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_q)^s$  defined by*

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}M^{-1}$$

*is a linear  $(s, q)$ -AONT.*

## Example: Hadamard Matrices

- Suppose  $p > 2$  is prime,  $s \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , and  $H$  is a **Hadamard matrix** of order  $s$ .
- $HH^T = sI_s$ .
- Construct  $M$  by reducing the entries of  $H$  modulo  $p$ .
- $M$  is invertible modulo  $p$ , and therefore  $M$  yields a linear  $(s, p)$ -AONT.

A linear  $(4, 5)$ -AONT:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Example: Cauchy Matrices

- An  $s$  by  $s$  **Cauchy matrix** can be defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if  $q \geq 2s$ .
- Let  $a_1, \dots, a_s, b_1, \dots, b_s$  be distinct elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- Let

$$c_{ij} = \frac{1}{a_i - b_j},$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq s$  and  $1 \leq j \leq s$ .

- Then  $C = (c_{ij})$  is the Cauchy matrix defined by the sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_s, b_1, \dots, b_s$ .
- A Cauchy matrix  $C$  is invertible, and all of its entries are non-zero, so  $C$  yields an  $(s, q)$ -**AONT**.

# Generalized AONT

- Let  $|X| = v$  and let  $1 \leq t \leq s$ .
- $\phi : X^s \rightarrow X^s$  is a  **$t$ -all-or-nothing transform** provided that the following properties are satisfied:
  1.  $\phi$  is a bijection.
  2. If any  $s - t$  of the  $s$  output values  $y_1, \dots, y_s$  are fixed, then any  $t$  of the input values  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) are completely undetermined.
- We will denote such a function  $\phi$  as a  **$(t, s, v)$ -AONT**.
- The original definition corresponds to a **1-AONT**.

## Linear AONT

For an  $s$  by  $s$  matrix  $M$  with entries from  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and for  $I, J \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$ , define  $M(I, J)$  to be the  $|I|$  by  $|J|$  submatrix of  $M$  induced by the **columns** in  $I$  and the **rows** in  $J$ .

Theorem 2 (D'Arco, Esfahani and Stinson, 2016)

*Suppose that  $q$  is a prime power and  $M$  is an invertible  $s$  by  $s$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , such that every  $t$  by  $t$  submatrix of  $M$  is invertible. Then the function  $\phi : (\mathbb{F}_q)^s \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_q)^s$  defined by*

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}M^{-1}$$

*is a linear  $(t, s, q)$ -AONT.*

## Examples

A linear (2, 5, 5)-AONT:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A linear (2, 7, 7)-AONT:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 5 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$



## Cauchy Matrices, Again

Any square submatrix of a Cauchy matrix is again a Cauchy matrix, and therefore it (the submatrix) is invertible. So we have the following result.

### Theorem 3 (D'Arco, Esfahani and Stinson, 2016)

Suppose  $q$  is a prime power and  $q \geq 2s$ . Then there is a linear transform that is *simultaneously* a  $(t, s, q)$ -AONT for all  $t$  such that  $1 \leq t \leq s$ .

So the open cases are for  $q < 2s$ . One particularly interesting question is “how large can  $s$  be as a function of  $q$ ?”

## Reducing the Size $s$

### Theorem 4

*If there exists a linear  $(t, s, q)$ -AONT with  $t < s$ , then there exists a linear  $(t, s - 1, q)$ -AONT.*

### Proof.

Let  $M$  be a matrix for a linear  $(t, s, q)$ -AONT. Consider all the  $s - 1$  by  $s - 1$  submatrices formed by deleting the first column and a row of  $m$ . We claim that at least one of these  $s$  matrices is invertible. For, if they were all noninvertible, then  $M$  would be noninvertible, by considering the cofactor expansion with respect the first column of  $M$ . □

## A linear $(2, q + 1, q)$ -AONT Does Not Exist

From now on, we will focus mainly on the case  $t = 2$ .

### Theorem 5

*There is no linear  $(2, q + 1, q)$ -AONT for any prime power  $q$ .*

**Main question:** for which prime powers  $q$  does there exist a linear  $(2, q, q)$ -AONT?

## Computer Searches

We performed an exhaustive search for linear  $(2, q, q)$ -AONT for prime powers  $q \leq 11$ .

Table: Number of reduced and inequivalent linear  $(2, q, q)$ -AONT

$q$	reduced $(2, q, q)$ -AONT	inequivalent $(2, q, q)$ -AONT
3	2	1
4	3	2
5	38	5
7	13	1
8	0	0
9	0	0
11	21	1

## Computer Searches (cont.)

For all odd primes  $q \leq 23$ , there exists a cyclic  $(q - 1)$ -skew symmetric  $(2, q, q)$ -AONT. These were also found by computer.

Here is an example for  $q = 11$ :

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|cccccccccc} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 8 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 2 & 6 & 9 \\ 1 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 8 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 6 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 8 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 6 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 8 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 7 & 2 & 6 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 8 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 5 & 7 & 2 & 6 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 8 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 2 & 6 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 8 \\ 1 & 8 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 2 & 6 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 8 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 2 & 6 & 9 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & 8 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 2 & 6 & 9 & 0 \end{array} \right) .$$



# Theoretical Results

## Theorem 6

Suppose  $q = 2^n$  and  $q - 1$  is a (Mersenne) prime. Then there exists a linear  $(2, q - 1, q)$ -AONT over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

## Proof.

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$  be a primitive element and let  $M = (m_{r,c})$  be the  $q - 1$  by  $q - 1$  Vandermonde matrix in which  $m_{r,c} = \alpha^{rc}$ , for all  $r, c$ ,  $0 \leq r, c \leq q - 1$ . □

## Theoretical Results (cont.)

### Theorem 7

For any prime power  $q$ , there is a  $q$  by  $q$  matrix defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that *any 2 by 2 submatrix is invertible*.

### Proof.

$M = (m_{r,c})$  be the  $q$  by  $q$  matrix of entries from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by the rule  $m_{r,c} = r + c$ , where the sum is computed in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .  $\square$

The above-defined matrix is not invertible if  $q > 2$ , so this construction does **not** yield an AONT.



## General (Nonlinear or Linear) AONT

### Theorem 8

Suppose there is a  $(t, s, v)$ -AONT. Then there is an  $OA(t, s, v)$ .

### Proof.

Suppose we represent a  $(t, s, v)$ -AONT by a  $v^s$  by  $2s$  array denoted by  $A$ . Let  $R$  denote the rows of  $A$  that contain a fixed  $(s - t)$ -tuple in the last  $s - t$  columns of  $A$ . Then  $|R| = v^t$ . Delete all the rows of  $A$  not in  $R$  and delete the last  $s$  columns of  $A$ . The resulting array,  $A'$ , is an  $OA(t, s, v)$ .  $\square$

### Corollary 9

Suppose there is a  $(2, s, v)$ -AONT. Then  $s \leq v + 1$ .

This is slightly weaker than the bound  $s \leq v$  that holds in the linear case.

## References

- [1] P. D'Arco, N. Nasr Esfahani and D. R. Stinson. **All or nothing at all**. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* **23(4)** (2016), paper #P4.10, 24 pp.
- [2] N. Nasr Esfahani, Ian Goldberg and D. R. Stinson. **Some results on the existence of  $t$ -all-or-nothing transforms over arbitrary alphabets**. ArXiv report 1702.06612, Feb. 21, 2017.
- [3] R. L. Rivest. **All-or-nothing encryption and the package transform**. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* **1267** (1997), 210–218 (Fast Software Encryption 1997).
- [4] D. R. Stinson. **Something about all or nothing (transforms)**. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **22** (2001), 133–138.

Thank You For Your Attention!

