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PROBLEM STATEMENT

• Recent studies have indicated that requirements ambiguity seems to be resolved through multiple inspections and discussions.

• Inspections may not catch ambiguity types that are likely to result in subconscious disambiguation.

• People are likely unaware of and incapable of recognizing these ambiguity types; therefore these types are likely to remain after multiple inspections.

• This kind of ambiguity is defined as persistent ambiguity and may cause expensive damage.

• The prevalence and potential impact of persistent ambiguity was investigated.
INSPECTION PROCESS

• Three Projects (P1, P2, & P3) were inspected for the following ambiguity types: modifier, referential, elliptical, conditional clause, & plural

• An ambiguity exists if there is more than one possible interpretation after taking context into consideration

• The chief requirements Engineer (RE) of each project was interviewed to determine if any damage was caused by the ambiguities identified
INSTANCES OF MODIFIER CUE WORDS

- The total number of instances of:
  - **only** is 120
  - **also** is 23
  - **even** is 6
  - **just** is 1
- Totalling 150 instances
INSTANCES OF AMBIGUITY

• One instance of ambiguity identified

• Despite the prevalence of modifiers, modifier ambiguity did not prove to be an issue for each of the projects

• The REs placed modifiers before the main verb less often than elsewhere, 42 times out of 150

• When they used this placement context disambiguated successfully 65 times out of 66
INSTANCES OF REFERENTIAL CUE WORDS

- The total number of instances of:
  - **THIS** is 171
  - **THAT** is 188
  - **THOSE** is 23
  - **THOSE** is 23
  - **THESE** is 5
  - **IT** is 109
  - **ITS** is 4
  - **THEY** is 34
  - **THEM** is 13
  - **THEIR** is 29
  - **THEIRS** is 0
  - **HE, HIM & HIS** is 0
  - **SHE, HER & HERS** is 0
  - Totalling 576 instances
INSTANCES OF REFERENTIAL CUE WORDS
INSTANCES OF AMBIGUITY

• Eleven instances of ambiguity identified

• Referential ambiguity did prove to be an issue for P1

• The REs commonly used determiners & pronouns, and context does not disambiguate successfully some of the time

• Even though the REs used demonstrative determiners far more often than demonstrative pronouns, demonstrative pronouns may still lead to ambiguity
INSTANCES OF ELLIPTICAL CUE WORDS

- The total number of instances of:
  - THAN is 80
  - FROM is 1
- Totalling 81 instances
INSTANCES OF AMBIGUITY

- Zero instances of ambiguity identified
- Zero instances of elliptical cue words that indicate an actual ellipsis
- Elliptical ambiguity did not prove to be an issue in the three projects inspected
- REs in each of these projects seem to be aware of the dangers of elliptical ambiguity
INSTANCES OF CONDITIONAL CLAUSE CUE WORDS

• The total number of instances of:
  • assuming so is 0
  • assuming not is 0
  • suppose so is 0
  • suppose not is 0
  • if so is 2
  • if not is 2
• Totalling 4 instances
INSTANCES OF AMBIGUITY

- Zero instances of ambiguity identified
- Conditional clause ambiguity did not prove to be an issue in the three projects inspected
- REs in each of these projects seem to be aware of the dangers of conditional clause ambiguity
INSTANCES OF PLURAL CUE WORDS

- The total number of instances of:
  - **Each** is 39
  - **Every** is 5
  - **All** is 133
  - **Any** is 96
  - **Many** is 3
  - **Few** is 0
  - **Both** is 30
  - **Several** is 0
  - Numbers is 10
  - Plural nouns is 1146
- Totalling 1462 instances
INSTANCES OF AMBIGUITY

- Six instances of ambiguity identified
- Plural ambiguity did not prove to be an issue in the three projects inspected
- The usage distribution of plurals is an indication that the REs were not paying attention to the usage of plurals and seem to be unaware of the dangers of the usage of plurals
- When the REs used plurals as a subject and or an object, context disambiguated successfully most of the times
INTERVIEW RESULTS

• The chief RE for each project was not aware of the ambiguities

• The entire team of REs had subconsciously disambiguated in the same way

• The chief REs do not believe that these ambiguities caused any problems in the subsequent downstream developments