## CS489/698

# Privacy, Cryptography, Network and Data Security 

Multi-Party Computation, PSI, PIR
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## What is Multi-Party Computation?

I have input y


## 1) At least two parties

I have input $x$

## 2) Both Alice and Bob know a function $f$

Goal: learn $f(x, y)$ but not reveal anything else about $x$ or $y$
Critical: Secret inputs, public outputs (to at least one party)

## Toy Example, "The Millionaire's Problem"
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Q: how can Bob and Alice determine who is richer?

## Toy Example, "The Millionaire's Problem"



I don't want to tell you how much wealth I have...

Q: how can Bob and Alice determine who is richer?

A: A multi-party computation to compute f: $x<y$

## Fun Facts:

- Andrew C. Yao, Protocols for Secure Computations Proceedings of the 21st Annual IEEE Symposium on the Foundations of Computer Science, 1982
- "Yao's millionaires' problem" (Andrew C. Yao, Turing Award 2000)


## Solution

1. Bob picks a random $N$-bit integer $\boldsymbol{x}$, and computes $\boldsymbol{k}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{x})$
2. Bob sends Alice the number $\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{-} \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{1}$
3. Alice computes $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{j}+\boldsymbol{u})$ for $\boldsymbol{u}=[1,2, \ldots, 10]$.
4. Alice generates random prime $p$ of $N / 2$-bits, and computes $z_{u}=y_{u}(\bmod p)$

- if all $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{u}}$ differ by at least $2 \bmod p$, stop;
- otherwise, generate another $\boldsymbol{p}$ and repeat until all $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{u}}$ differ by at least $2 \bmod p$

5. Alice sends the prime $\boldsymbol{p}$ and the following 10 numbers to Bob:
$-z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{i}$ followed by $z_{i+1}+1, z_{i+2}+1, \ldots, z_{10}+1$
6. Bob looks at $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{j}}$, and decides that $\boldsymbol{i} \geq \boldsymbol{j}$ if $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{j}}=\boldsymbol{x} \bmod p$, and $\boldsymbol{i}<\boldsymbol{j}$ otherwise. Tells Alice.

## Solution Rundown

\$ j millions

Let's use RSA as our crypto scheme!

Alice holds:
PubA $=(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{N})=(79,3337)$
PrivA $=(\mathrm{d})=1019$

RSA operations:
Encryption: $y=x^{e} \bmod N$
Decryption: $x=y^{d} \bmod n$

## Solution Rundown

For this example, assume Alice has 5 millions $(i=5)$ and Bob has 6 millions $(j=6)$

Step 1:

- Bob picks a random N -bit integer $\boldsymbol{x}=1234$
- Bob computes $\boldsymbol{k}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{x})=1234^{79} \bmod 3337=901$


## Step 2:

- Bob sends Alice $\underline{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{j}+1=901-6+1=896}$


## Solution Rundown

j millions
Assume: $1<i, j<10$

## Step 3:

- Alice generates $Y_{1} \ldots Y_{10}$, obtained by decrypting $\underline{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{j}+1}$ to $\underline{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{j}+10}$

This is because of our bound that tells us Alice and Bob have a number of millions between 1 and 10
i.e., $\boldsymbol{u}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & . . \\ 10\end{array}\right]$

- Alice can do this even without knowing $\boldsymbol{k}$ or $\boldsymbol{j}$
- So, what does she get?


## Solution Rundown

© i millions
\$ j millions Assume:
$E_{a}=$ Enc w/PubA $D_{a}=$ Dec w/ PrivA
u $\quad k-j+u$

1896
2897
3898
4899
5900
6901
7902
8903
9904
10905

RSA decryption
$896^{\wedge} 1019 \bmod 3337$
$897 \wedge 1019 \bmod 3337$
898^1019 mod 3337
. 2918
. 385
1234 (as it should be) $\longrightarrow \begin{aligned} & \text { Bob's } \\ & \text { random number }\end{aligned}$ 296

1596
2804
905^1019 mod 3337
$y_{u}$
$1059 \longrightarrow$ The original value Bob sent

2502

1311

## Solution Rundown

## Step 4:

- Next, Alice generates prime number $\boldsymbol{p}$ of $\mathrm{N} / 2$ bits
- In this example, let's pick $\boldsymbol{p}=107$
- Then, Alice generates $Z_{1} \ldots Z_{10}$, obtained by computing $Y_{1} \ldots Y_{10} \bmod p$
- Keep in mind that $\boldsymbol{p}$ must be such that all $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{u}}$ differ by at least 2 units
- This will later allow Bob to reliably determine whether i < j
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## Step 4:

- Next, Alice generates prime number $\boldsymbol{p}$ of $\mathrm{N} / 2$ bits
- In this example, let's pick $\boldsymbol{p}=107$
- Then, Alice generates $Z_{1} \ldots Z_{10}$, obtained by computing $Y_{1} \ldots Y_{10} \bmod p$
- Keep in mind that $\boldsymbol{p}$ must be such that all $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{u}}$ differ by at least 2 units
- This will later allow Bob to reliably determine whether i < j
- So, what does she get?


## Solution Rundown

© i millions
Assume:

| $\mathbf{u}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{j}+\mathbf{u}$ | $R S A$ decryption | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ | $Z_{u}=\left(Y_{u} \bmod 107\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 896 | $896^{\wedge} 1019 \bmod 3337$ | 1059 | 96 |
| 2 | 897 | $897^{\wedge} 1019 \bmod 3337$ | 1156 | 86 |
| 3 | 898 | $898^{\wedge} 1019 \bmod 3337$ | 2502 | 41 |
| 4 | 899 | $\cdot$ | 2918 | 29 |
| 5 | 900 | $\cdot$ | 385 | 64 |
| 6 | 901 | $\cdot$ | 1234 | 57 |
| 7 | 902 | $\cdot$ | 296 | 82 |
| 8 | 903 | $\cdot$ | 1596 | 98 |
| 9 | 904 | $\cdot$ | 2804 | 22 |
| 10 | 905 | $905^{\wedge} 1019 \bmod 3337$ | 1311 | 27 |

\$jmillions Assume: $1<i, j<10$

| u | $\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{j}+\boldsymbol{u}$ | RSA decryption | $y_{u}$ | $Z_{u}=\left(Y_{u} \bmod 107\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 896 | 896^1019 mod 3337 | 1059 | 96 |
| 2 | 897 | $897 \wedge 1019 \bmod 3337$ | 1156 | 86 |
| 3 | 898 | 898^1019 $\bmod 3337$ | 2502 | 41 |
| 4 | 899 | . | 2918 | 29 |
| 5 | 900 | . | 385 | 64 |
| 6 | 901 | . | 1234 | 57 |
| 7 | 902 | . | 296 | 82 |
| 8 | 903 | . | 1596 | 98 |
| 9 | 904 | . | 2804 | 22 |
| 10 | 905 | $905 \wedge 1019$ mod 3337 | 1311 | 27 |

## Step 5:

- Now, Alice sends $\boldsymbol{p}$ and 10 numbers to Bob
- The first few numbers are $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3} \ldots$ up to the value of $\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}$, where $\boldsymbol{i}$ is Alice's wealth in millions

| p | Z 1 | Z 2 | $\mathrm{Z3}$ | $\mathrm{Z4}$ | Z 5 | $\mathrm{Z} 6+1$ | $\mathrm{Z} 7+1$ | $\mathrm{Z}+1$ | $\mathrm{Z}+1$ | $\mathrm{Z} 10+1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 107 | 96 | 86 | 41 | 29 | 64 | 58 | 83 | 99 | 23 | 28 |

## Solution Rundown

## Step 6:

- Bob now looks at the $\boldsymbol{j}^{\text {th }}$ number, where $\boldsymbol{j}$ is his wealth in millions

- He then computes $\boldsymbol{x} \bmod p=1234 \bmod 107=57$
- Lastly, if the $j^{\text {th }}$ number is equal to 57 , then Alice is equally wealthy (or more) than Bob ( $\boldsymbol{i}>=\boldsymbol{j}$ ). Else, Bob is wealthier than Alice ( $\boldsymbol{i}<\boldsymbol{j}$ ).


## Solution Rundown

## Step 6:

- Bob now looks at the $\boldsymbol{j}^{\text {th }}$ number, where $\boldsymbol{j}$ is his wealth in millions

| p | Z1 | Z2 | Z3 | Z4 | Z5 | Z6+1 | Z7+1 | Z8+1 | Z9+1 | Z10+1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107 | 96 | 86 | 41 | 29 | 64 | 58 | 83 | 99 | 23 | 28 |

- He then computes $\boldsymbol{x} \bmod p=1234 \bmod 107=57$
- Lastly, if the $j^{\text {th }}$ number is equal to 57 , then Alice is equally wealthy (or more) than Bob ( $\boldsymbol{i}>=\boldsymbol{j}$ ). Else, Bob is wealthier than Alice ( $\boldsymbol{i}<\boldsymbol{j}$ ).
- Step 7: Bob tells Alice the result


## Why does the Solution Work?

## The intuition:

- Alice adds 1 to numbers in the series greater than her wealth ( $\boldsymbol{i}=5$ );
- Bob checks to see if the one in his position in the series $(j=6)$ has had one added to it: if it has, then he knows he must be wealthier than Alice.


## Why does the Solution Work?

## The intuition:

- Alice adds 1 to numbers in the series greater than her wealth $(i=5)$;
- Bob checks to see if the one in his position in the series $(j=6)$ has had one added to it: if it has, then he knows he must be wealthier than Alice.
- All this has been done without either of them transmitting their wealth


## Any issues?

## Q: Can anyone identify a reason it would fail?
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Short A: Other than lies...no.

## Any issues?

## Q: Can anyone identify a reason it would fail?

## Short A: Other than lies...no.

Long A: This technique is not cheat-proof (Bob could lie in step 7). Yao shows that such techniques can be constructed so that cheating can be limited, usually by employing extra steps.

## How Scalable is this Solution?

## In the real-world:

- You would need (lots of) processing power!
- If you wanted to cover the range 1 to $100,000,000$ at a unit resolution, then Alice will be sending Bob a table of 100,000,000 numbers!
- This table would be on the order of a GB. You could handle it, but processing and storage implications are non-trivial.
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## In the real-world:

- You would need (lots of) processing power!
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## How Scalable is this Solution?

## In the real-world:

- You would need (lots of) processing power!
- If you wanted to cover the range 1 to $100,000,000$ at a unit resolution, then Alice will be sending Bob a table of 100,000,000 numbers!
- This table would be on the order of a GB. You could handle it, but processing and storage implications are non-trivial.

New advances on MPC attempt to tackle these issues in clever ways...

## A Potential "Real-World" Example

I want to analyse sentence x (NLP)

## A Potential "Real-World" Example



## A Potential "Real-World" Example



Require: A function fover public parameters, but secret architecture
Goal: A MPC for $f(x, y)$ such that only Alice learns the analysis of her sentence and Alice does not learn the NN

## "Types" of MPC: Participant Set



Two-party


Multi-Party

## MPC Server Model

- Assume $n \gg 3$ clients with an input
- E.g., collect statistics about emoji usage in texting
- Dedicate 2 (or 3) parties as computation nodes (servers)
- The clients send "encrypted" versions of their inputs
- The servers perform multi-party computation
- Decrypt input
- Compute $f$


## "Types" of MPC: Functionality



## Generic

Generic functions:
A multi-party computation protocol that
can be used for "any" function f

## "Types" of MPC: Functionality



Generic

## Specific

Generic functions:
A multi-party computation protocol that can be used for "any" function f

Specific functions:
A multi-party computation protocol that can only be used for a specific function f

## "Types" of MPC: Security



Passive

Passive security (security against semi-honest adversaries) Each party follows the protocol but keeps a record of all messages and after the protocol is over, tries to infer additional information about the other parties' inputs

## "Types" of MPC: Security



I'm just curious
Passive security (security against semi-honest adversaries)
Each party follows the protocol but keeps a record of all messages and after the protocol is over, tries to infer additional information about the other parties' inputs

## Passive



Active security (security against malicious adversaries) Each party may arbitrarily deviate from the protocol. Either the protocol computes $f$ or the protocol is aborted.

## Active

## Relationship between Passive and Active Security

- Passive security is a prerequisite for active security
- A protocol can be secure against passive adversaries but not active ones
- A protocol secure against active adversaries is also secure against passive ones
- Any protocol secure against passive adversaries can be turned into a protocol secure actives adversaries
- E.g., by adding protocol steps proving the correct computation of each message:
- Cryptographic commitments: can we detect a partipant deviates from the proto?
- Validations: Are parameters within expected bounds?

An MPC Application for a specific function: Private Set Intersection (PSI)

## Private Set Intersection (PSI)

- Alice has set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$
- Bob has set $\mathrm{Y}=\left\{\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}$
- They want to compute $\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{X} \cap \mathbf{Y}$ (but reveal nothing else)
- Good real-world use case: private contact discovery
- i.e., how many and which contacts do we have in common?


## Private Set Intersections



2-Party, One-Way PSI

$$
A \rightarrow B
$$



2-Party, Two-Way PSI
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n-Party PSI
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2-Party, One-Way PSI
$A \rightarrow B$


2-Party, Two-Way PSI

$$
A \leftrightarrow B
$$


n-Party PSI

Varying Guarantees

## Strawman Protocol for PSI

- Alice permutes her set $\boldsymbol{X}$, Bob permutes his set $\boldsymbol{Y}$. Then:
- For each $\boldsymbol{x} \in X$
- For each $\boldsymbol{y} \in Y$
- Compute $x=$ ? $y$
- Protocol for comparison ( $x=$ ? $y$ )
- Alice $\rightarrow$ Bob: $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}(\boldsymbol{x})$
- Bob: Choose random $r$ and compute $c=\left(E_{A}(x) \text { * } E_{A}(-y)\right)^{r}$
- Add encrypted value of $x$ with encrypted value of $-y$ (the negative of $y$ ) and raise the result to the power of $r$.
- Bob $\rightarrow$ Alice: c
- Alice: Output $\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{y}$, if $D_{A}(c)=0$, else $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{y}$
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$E_{A}$ and $D_{A}$ are part of a homomorphic encryption scheme that supports operations on ciphertexts.
We will see more later!
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## Private Information Retrieval (PIR)

## Can we privately query a database?



## Ideally...



Even I might not know plaintext of the DB

Server provider S's cloud

## Motivating Example (1)

- A server stores a list of "broken" passwords that appeared on the Internet
- The client wants to check whether the password they just created for an Internet site is in that database
- If it is, they should not use it
- If it is not but revealed to the database, it should not be used either


## Motivating Example (1)

- A server stores a list of "broken" passwords that appeared on the Internet
- The client wants to check whether the password they just created for an Internet site is in that database
- If it is, they should not use it
- If it is not but revealed to the database, it should not be used either
- The client should query without revealing the password!


## Motivating Example (2)

- Netflix stores movies in a database
- 1. The Shawshank Redemption
- 2. The Godfather
- 3. The Dark Knight
- 4. 12 Angry Men
- You request movies by index, say 1, 4, 2, ...
- Netflix caches your selection and gradually builds a profile on your movie preferences


## Motivating Example (2)

- Netflix stores movies in a database
- 1. The Shawshank Redemption
- 2. The Godfather
- 3. The Dark Knight
- 4. 12 Angry Men
- You request movies by index, say $1,4,2, \ldots$
- Netflix caches your selection and gradually builds a profile on your movie preferences
- The server should be queried without learning the item of interest!


## PIR

## Carol has index i
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## PIR



Server has DB $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$
Carol has index i

## Goal 1: Correctness - Client learns $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$

## Goal 2: Security - Server does not learn index i

## Blatantly non-private protocol

## Formal model:

- Server: holds an n-bit string $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$
o User: wishes to retrieve $X_{i}$ AND keep i private


## Protocol:

- User: show me i
- Server: here is $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}$


## Analysis:

- No privacy!
- \# of bits: 1 - very efficient


## Trivially-private protocol

## Formal model:

o Server: holds an n-bit string $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$
o User: wishes to retrieve $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ AND keep i private

## Protocol:

- User: show me ALL indexes
$\circ$ Server: here is $\left\{\mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathbf{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_{n}\right\}$


## Analysis:

- Complete privacy!
- \# of bits: n - very impractical


## More solutions?

## User asks for additional random indices

- Drawback: balance information leak vs communication cost


## Anonymous communication:

- Note: this is in fact a different concern: it hides the identity of a user, not the fact that $X_{i}$ is retrieved


## Formal model:

## Information-Theoretic PIR

O Server: holds an n-bit string $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$
O User: wishes to retrieve $X_{i}$ AND keep i private

## An example 2-server IT-PIR protocol:

○ User $\rightarrow$ Server 1: $\mathbf{Q}_{1} \subset R\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, $\quad / / \in \mathrm{Q}_{1}$
○ Server $1 \rightarrow$ User: $\mathbf{R}_{1}=\oplus_{k \in Q 1} X_{k}$
o User $\rightarrow$ Server 2: $\mathbf{Q}_{2}=\mathrm{Q}_{1} \cup\{i\}$
o Server $2 \rightarrow$ User: $\mathbf{R}_{2}=\oplus_{k \in Q 2} X_{k}$
$\circ$ User derives $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathrm{R}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{R}_{2}$

## Analysis:

- Probabilistic-based privacy $\left(1 /\left|\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right|\right)$
- \# of bits: 1 (× 2 servers) + inexpensive computation


## Formal model:

## Information-Theoretic PIR (Example)

O Server: holds an n-bit string $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$
O User: wishes to retrieve $X_{i}$ AND keep i private
Assumption: multiple ( $\geq 2$ ) non-cooperating servers
Database: $\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{3}, \mathrm{X}_{4}\right]=[0,1,0,1]$

○ User $\rightarrow$ Server 1: $Q_{1} \subset\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, i $/ \in Q_{1}$

- Server $1 \rightarrow$ User: $\mathbf{R}_{1}=\oplus_{k \in Q 1} X_{k}$
- User $\rightarrow$ Server 2: $\mathbf{Q}_{2}=\mathbf{Q}_{1} \cup\{i\}$
- Server $2 \rightarrow$ User: $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=\oplus_{\mathrm{k} \in \mathrm{Q} 2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$
$\bigcirc$ User derives $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathrm{R}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{R}_{2}$

O User $\rightarrow$ Server 1: $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{4}$
○ Server $1 \rightarrow$ User: $\mathbf{R}_{1}=1$
O User $\rightarrow$ Server 2: $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{3}, \mathrm{X}_{4}$

- Server $2 \rightarrow$ User: $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=1$
- User derives $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}=0$

Formal model:
Information-Theoretic PIR (Example)

O Server: holds an n-bit string $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$
O User: wishes to retrieve $X_{i}$ AND keep i private
Assumption: multiple ( $\geq 2$ ) non-cooperating servers
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O Server: holds an n-bit string $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$
O User: wishes to retrieve $X_{i}$ AND keep i private
Assumption: multiple ( $\geq 2$ ) non-cooperating servers


## Formal model:

## Information-Theoretic PIR (Example)

O Server: holds an n-bit string $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$
O User: wishes to retrieve $X_{i}$ AND keep i private
Assumption: multiple ( $\geq 2$ ) non-cooperating servers


## Formal model:

## Computational PIR

O Server: holds an n-bit string $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$
O User: wishes to retrieve $X_{i}$ AND keep i private

## An example CPIR protocol:

O User chooses a large random number $\boldsymbol{m}$
○ User generates $\boldsymbol{n} \mathbf{- 1}$ random quadratic residues (QR) mod $\boldsymbol{m}: \mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}+1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$
O User generates a quadratic non-residue (QNR) mod $m$ : $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}$
O User $\rightarrow$ Server: $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, b_{i}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$
(The server cannot distinguish between QRs and QNRs mod m, i.e., the request is just a series
of random numbers: $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}$ )
O Server $\rightarrow$ User: $\quad \mathbf{R}=u_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{X} 1} * \mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2} * \ldots * \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Xn}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (The product of QRs is still a QR)
O User check: if $R$ is a QR mod $m, X_{i}=0$, else ( $R$ is a QNR mod $m$ ) $X_{i}=1$

## Quadratic Residues: A recap

Definition: A number $\boldsymbol{a}$ is a quadratic residue modulo $\boldsymbol{n}$ if there is an integer $\boldsymbol{x}$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}^{2}=\mathbf{a} \bmod \boldsymbol{n}$
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Definition: A number $\boldsymbol{a}$ is a quadratic residue modulo $\boldsymbol{n}$ if there is an integer $\boldsymbol{x}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{2}=\mathbf{a} \bmod \mathbf{n}$
e.g., let $\boldsymbol{n}=7$
$0^{2}=0 \bmod 7$
$1^{2}=0 \bmod 7$
$2^{2}=4 \bmod 7$
$3^{2}=2 \bmod 7$
$4^{2}=2 \bmod 7$
$5^{2}=4 \bmod 7$
$6^{2}=1 \bmod 7$
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Definition: A number $\boldsymbol{a}$ is a quadratic residue modulo $\boldsymbol{n}$ if there is an integer $\boldsymbol{x}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{2}=\mathbf{a} \bmod \mathbf{n}$
e.g., let $\boldsymbol{n}=7$
$0^{2}=0 \bmod 7$
$1^{2}=0 \bmod 7$
$2^{2}=4 \bmod 7$
$3^{2}=2 \bmod 7$
$4^{2}=2 \bmod 7$
$5^{2}=4 \bmod 7$
$6^{2}=1 \bmod 7$
(and so on)


0, 1, 2, 4 are Quadratic Residues mod 7

## Quadratic Residues: A recap

Definition: A number $\boldsymbol{a}$ is a quadratic residue modulo $\boldsymbol{n}$ if there is an integer $\boldsymbol{x}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{2}=\mathbf{a} \bmod \mathbf{n}$
e.g., let $\boldsymbol{n}=7$
$0^{2}=0 \bmod 7$
$1^{2}=0 \bmod 7$
$2^{2}=4 \bmod 7$
$3^{2}=2 \bmod 7$
$4^{2}=2 \bmod 7$
$5^{2}=4 \bmod 7$
$6^{2}=1 \bmod 7$
(and so on)


0, 1, 2, 4 are Quadratic Residues mod 7

3, 5, 6 are Quadratic Non-Residues mod 7

## Formal model:

## Computational PIR

O Server: holds an n-bit string $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$
O User: wishes to retrieve $X_{i}$ AND keep i private

## An example CPIR protocol:

O User chooses a large random number $\boldsymbol{m}$
○ User generates $\boldsymbol{n} \mathbf{- 1}$ random quadratic residues (QR) mod $\boldsymbol{m}: \mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}+1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$
O User generates a quadratic non-residue (QNR) mod $m$ : $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}$
O User $\rightarrow$ Server: $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, b_{i}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$
(The server cannot distinguish between QRs and QNRs mod m, i.e., the request is just a series
of random numbers: $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}$ )
O Server $\rightarrow$ User: $\quad \mathbf{R}=u_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{X} 1} * \mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2} * \ldots * \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Xn}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (The product of QRs is still a QR)
O User check: if $R$ is a QR mod $m, X_{i}=0$, else ( $R$ is a QNR mod $m$ ) $X_{i}=1$

## Formal model:

## Computational PIR (Example)

O Server: holds an n-bit string $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$
O User: wishes to retrieve $X_{i}$ AND keep i private

Database: $\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right]=[0,1,0,1]$
○ User chooses random number 7
O User generates $\boldsymbol{n} \mathbf{- 1}$ random quadratic residues (QR) mod 7: $\mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{a}_{4}=0,2,4$
O User generates a quadratic non-residue (QNR) mod $m$ : $b_{3}=3$
$O$ User $\rightarrow$ Server: $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{3}, a_{4} \quad \mathbf{0 , 2 , 3 , 4}$
(The server cannot distinguish between QRs and QNRs mod m)
$\bigcirc$ Server $\rightarrow$ User: $\quad R=\underline{0 \times 1 * 2 \times 2 * 3 \times 3 * 4 \times 4}=\underline{00 * 21 * 30 * 41}=\underline{1 * 2 * 1 * 4}=8$ (The product of $Q R$ Rs is still a $Q$ R)
 Hence, $X_{3}=0$

## Comparison of CPIR and IT-PIR

## CPIR

- Possible with a single server
- Server needs to perform intensive computations
- To break it, the server needs to solve a hard problem


## IT-PIR

- Only possible with >1 server
- Server may need lightweight computations only
- To break it, the server needs to collude with other servers

