HIGH-PERFORMANCE CONCURRENCY Control Mechanisms for Main-Memory Databases

7/6/22

Boren Zang, David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science



Overview

- Background of MVCC
- Optimistic MVCC
- Pessimistic MVCC
- Evaluation
- Personal reflection



Main Memory Database

- Data reside in memory.
- Support high transaction rates.
- Current concurrency control methods (exp. Single-version locking) do not always scale.



Multiversion Concurrency Control (MVCC)

- Serialization of transactions
 - Read stability
 - The readability should not change when a transaction tries to read a version of the record.
 - Phantom avoidance
 - Scans do not return new transactions



Lower isolation level than serialization

- Repeatable read: No phantom avoidance.
- Read committed: Only guarantee reads are committed. No validation is required.
- Snapshot isolation: Read as beginning of versions. No validation is required.



Example

Record format

Head	eader Payload			
Begin	End	Name	Amount	Hash ptr



Figure 1: Example account table with one hash index. Transaction 75 has transferred \$20 from Larry's account to John's account but has not yet committed.



TRANSACTION PHASES

Normal processing phase

Preparation phase

Postprocessing phase.



OPTIMISTIC TRANSACTIONS Validation-based

Normal Processing Phase

- Start scan
 - Record information about indexes and predicates
- Check predicate
- Check visibility
 - May need commit dependency check
- Read version
 - Store versions into a ReadSet for further validation
- Check updatability
 - Updatable: End field equals infinity or it contains a transaction ID and the referenced transaction has aborted

Normal Processing Phase (cont.)

- Update version
 - The transaction creates a new version
 - Set Transaction ID to End Field
- Delete version
 - Update without creating new version

FACULTY OF

MATHEMATICS

Preparing Phase

- Read validation
 - Read visibility check
 - Check for phantoms



UNIVERSITY OF

FACULTY OF

MATHEMATICS

PESSIMISTIC TRANSACTIONS

Lock-based

Lock Types

- Record Locks
 - Locks on versions
 - Ensure version readability
- Bucket Locks
 - Locks on Buckets
 - Check for phantoms

UNIVERSITY OF

FACULTY OF

MATHEMATICS

Wait-for dependencies

- Eagerly update
- Incoming dependency: Wait on other transactions
- Outcoming dependency: Waited by other transactions
- Wait-for graph: Directed graph for deadlock detection



Normal Processing Phase

- Start Scan: A bucketlock is taken out to prevent phantom
- Check predicate
- Check Visibility: Record lock checking
- Read Version: Acquire locks
- Check updatability
- Update Version: Take out wait-for dependencies if the current version is locked
- Delete Version: Same as updating version
- Release locks.



Experiment Setup

 two-socket Intel Xeon X5650 @ 2.67 GHz (Nehalem) that has six cores per socket. Hyper- Threading was enabled. The system has 48 GB of memory, 12 MB L3 cache per socket, 256 KB L2 cache per core, and two separate 32 KB L1-I and L1-D caches per core.



Experimental Results

R=10 and W=2 in each transaction Table with 10 million rows

R=10 and W=2 in each transaction Table with 1000 rows



Figure 4: Scalability under low contention



Different isolation level

	Read Committed	Repeatable Read		Serializable	
	tx/sec	tx/sec	% drop vs RC	tx/sec	% drop vs RC
1V	2,080,492	2,042,540	1.8%	2,042,571	1.8%
MV/L	974,512	963,042	1.2%	877,338	10.0%
MV/O	1,387,140	1,272,289	8.3%	1,120,722	19.2%



Impact of Short Read Transactions

Fixed threads #: 24



Figure 6: Impact of read-only transactions (low contention)



Figure 7: Impact of read-only transactions (high contention)



Impact of Long Read Transactions



Figure 8: Update throughput with long read transactions



Figure 9: Read throughput with long read transactions



TATP Results

	1V	MV/L	MV/O
Transactions per second	4,220,119	3,129,816	3,121,494



Personal reflection

- No real-world evaluation of serialized level.
- Garbage collection can be a future direction



UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO



FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS