Topics in Database Systems: Modern DBMS CS848 Spring 2022

David Toman

BASIC DESIGNS

(AND AN OVERVIEW OF STANDARD TECHNIQUES)

A B K A B K

Definability and Rewriting

Queries range-restricted FOL (a.k.a. SQL)

Schema range-restricted FOL $\Sigma := \Sigma^L \cup \Sigma^{LP} \cup \Sigma^P$

Data CWA (complete information)



Logical Schema and User Queries



Definability and Rewriting

Queries	range-restricted FOL over S_L definable w.r.t. Σ and S_A
Schema	range-restricted FOL $\Sigma := \Sigma^{L} \cup \Sigma^{LP} \cup \Sigma^{P}$
Data	CWA (complete information for S _A symbols)



[Borgida, de Bruijn, Franconi, Seylan, Straccia, Toman, Weddell: On Finding Query Rewritings under Expressive Constraints. SEBD 2010: 426-437]



A B M A B M A
 B M A
 B M A
 B M A
 B M A
 B M A
 B M A
 B M
 A
 B M
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A

Definability and Rewriting

Queries	range-restricted FOL over S_L definable w.r.t. Σ and S_A
Schema	range-restricted FOL $\Sigma := \Sigma^{L} \cup \Sigma^{LP} \cup \Sigma^{P}$
Data	CWA (complete information for S _A symbols)

- to users it looks like a *single model* (of the logical schema)
- implementation can pick from many models

but definable queries answer the same in each of them







QUERY COMPILATION

PART I: PLANS AS FORMULAE AND STANDARD DESIGN



Queries over a Physical Design

Issues to resolve (today)

- What "formulas" do qualify as plans?
 - ⇒ how do we interpret *logical connectives* as programs?
- Why do the plans implement the user queries?
- Are all (desired) plans captured by appropriate formulas?



Outline

- 1 Iterator Protocols to communicate Sets
- 2 Atomic Plan Operations: Access Paths
- 3 Logical Connectives/Quantifiers as Plan Operators
- 4 Beyond Logical Operators: Dealing with Duplicates (not today)



Creating Table(s) and Base File(s)

Specification:

```
[
% constraints
table(x,y,z) <-> ex(r,basetable(r,x,y,z)),
% query
q(x,y,z) <-> table(x,y,z)
].
Notes:
access path: basetable/4/0;
additional r attribute: address in physical storage
```

q(v0,v1,v2) <-> ex(v3,basetable(v3,v0,v1,v2)



Creating Table(s) and Base File(s)

Specification:

```
% constraints
      table(x,y,z) \ll ex(r,basetable(r,x,y,z)),
      % query
      q(x, y, z) \ll table(x, y, z)
      1.
Notes:
        access path: basetable/4/0;
        additional r attribute: address in physical storage
```

Query Plan:

q(v0,v1,v2) <-> ex(v3,basetable(v3,v0,v1,v2))



Access Path Code Templates

Array of records (C-structs)

Pseudo-code templates realizing a first/next protocol:

```
function basetable-first()
i := 0
return basetable-next
X := btarray[i].xname
Y := btarray[i].yname
Z := btarray[i].zname
f := i++;
return true
```

⇒ assuming struct { int xname, yname, zname } btarray[N]⇒ variable *i* renamed for each occurrence of basetable in a plan.

r, **y and z to communicate the contents o**f btarray

i (and *N*) record scanning status (and size) of btarray



Access Path Code Templates

Array of records (C-structs)

Pseudo-code templates realizing a first/next protocol:

```
function basetable-first()
i := 0
return basetable-next
X := btarray[i].xname
y := btarray[i].yname
z := btarray[i].zname
r := i++;
return true
```

⇒ assuming struct { int xname, yname, zname } btarray[N]
⇒ variable *i* renamed for each occurrence of basetable in a plan.

Global state records bindings of (possible copies of) variables.

- 1 *x*, *y* and *z* to communicate the contents of btarray.
- **2** *i* (and *N*) record scanning status (and size) of btarray.

Waterloo

Access Path Code Templates

Array of records (C-structs)

Pseudo-code templates realizing a first/next protocol:

```
function basetable-first()
i := 0
return basetable-next
X := btarray[i].xname
y := btarray[i].yname
z := btarray[i].zname
r := i++;
return true
```

⇒ assuming struct { int xname, yname, zname } btarray[N]

 \Rightarrow variable *i* renamed for each occurrence of basetable in a plan.

Global state records bindings of (possible copies of) variables.

- 1 *x*, *y* and *z* to communicate the contents of btarray.
- **2** *i* (and *N*) record scanning status (and size) of btarray.

Note: AP code (templates) for access paths must be provided.

Waterloo

(More Esoteric) Access Paths

Built-in "operations":

- arithmetic (plus/3/2, times/3/2, etc.)
- string manipulation (concat/3/2, substr/4/3, etc.)
- ...
- 2 data type tests (is-integer/1/1)
- 3 pointer dereference and field extraction from records
- 4 (page) reads from external storage





Conjunctive Query Plans: Semantics

function $(Q_1 \land Q_2)$ -first if not Q_1 -first return false while not Q_2 -first do if not Q_1 -next return false return true function $(Q_1 \land Q_2)$ -next if Q_2 -next return true while Q_1 -next do if Q_2 -first return true return false

function $(\exists x.Q_1)$ -first return Q_1 -first

```
function {Q<sub>1</sub>}-first

if not exists store }

oreals S

if Q<sub>1</sub>-first

empty S

add (x<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>0</sub>) to S

return true

return false
```

function $(\exists x.Q_1)$ -next return Q_1 -next

```
unction (Q)-next
while Q-next do
if not (۲۵٫۰۰۰٫۵۵) (S
add (۲٫۰۰۰٫۵۵) to S
return true
return true
```

・ロト ・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・



CS848 Spring 2022

Conjunctive Query Plans: Semantics

function $(Q_1 \land Q_2)$ -first if not Q_1 -first return false while not Q_2 -first do if not Q_1 -next return false return true function $(Q_1 \land Q_2)$ -next if Q_2 -next return true while Q_1 -next do if Q_2 -first return true return false

function $(\exists x.Q_1)$ -first return Q_1 -first

function $\{Q_1\}$ -first if not exists store Screate Sif Q_1 -first empty Sadd $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ to Sreturn true return false function $(\exists x.Q_1)$ -next return Q_1 -next

function $\{Q_1\}$ -next while Q_1 -next do if not $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \in S$ add $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ to Sreturn true return false

・ロン ・四 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と



CS848 Spring 2022

General Query Plans: Syntax

function $(Q_1 \lor Q_2)$ -first $(Q_1 \lor Q_2)$ -flag := true if Q_1 -first return true $(Q_1 \lor Q_2)$ -flag := false return Q_2 -first function $(Q_1 \lor Q_2)$ -next if $(Q_1 \lor Q_2)$ -flag if Q_1 -next return true $(Q_1 \lor Q_2)$ -flag := false return Q_2 -next

function $(\neg Q_1)$ -first if Q_1 -first return false return true function $(\neg Q_1)$ -next return false



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

What's Missing?

- binding patterns (a.k.a. usage restrictions on access paths)
- 2 dealing with extra-logical phenomena: duplicates/ordering
- 3 cost model

we touch on many of these in subsequent lectures



What's Missing?

- binding patterns (a.k.a. usage restrictions on access paths)
- 2 dealing with extra-logical phenomena: duplicates/ordering
- 3 cost model

... we touch on many of these in subsequent lectures



Adding an (search) index

What about create index indexx (indexyy) on x(y) in table?

Specification:

Notes:

access paths: baselookup/4/1, indexx, indexy/2/1;

Waterloo	4	< 5)	 → Ξ 	× ₹≣	•	Ð.	৩৫৫
David Toman (et al.)	CS848 Spring 2022		1	Plans as	Form	ulae	12/32

```
Possible plans:
Table Scans:
```

```
q(vl1,vl2) <-> ex([vl3,vl4,vl5,vl6,vl7],
basetable(vl3,vl1,vl4,vl5) and
basetable(vl6,vl5,vl7,vl2))
```

Index lookup:



• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶ •

Possible plans:

Table Scans:

index lookup:

(vl1,vl2) <-> ex([vl3,vl4,vl5,vl6,vl7], basetable(vl3,vl1,vl4,vl5) and indexx(vl5,vl6) and baselookup(vl6,vl7,vl8,vl2))



Possible plans:

Table Scans:

Index lookup:



 $q(x,y) \ll table(x,x,y)$

(with a parameter x)

Possible plans: index lookup: q (vl1, vl2) <-> ex([vl3, vl4], indexx(vl1, vl3) and baselookup(vl3, vl4, vl4, vl2)) index intersection: q (vl1, vl2) <-> ex([vl3, vl4, vl5], indexx(vl1, vl3) and indexy(vl1, vl3) and indexy(vl1, vl3) and



```
q(x,y) \ll table(x,x,y)
```

(with a parameter x)

Possible plans:

Index lookup:

Index intersection

q(vl1,vl2) <-> ex([vl3,vl4,vl5], indexx(vl1,vl3) and indexy(vl1,vl3) and baselookup(vl3,vl4,vl5,vl2)



• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • • □ ▶ •

```
q(x, y) \ll table(x, x, y)
```

(with a parameter x)

Possible plans:

Index lookup:

Index intersection:



Index-only Plans

 $q(x) \iff ex(y, table(x, x, y))$

(with a parameter x)

Possible plans: Index lookup:

g(vll) <-> ex([vl2,vl3,vl4], indexx(vl1,vl3) and baselookup(vl3,vl4,vl4,vl2))

Index intersection:

q(vll) <-> ex([vl2,vl3,vl4,vl5], indexx(vl1,vl3) and indexy(vl1,vl3))



Index-only Plans

```
q(x) <-> ex(y, table(x, x, y))
```

(with a parameter x)

Possible plans:

Index lookup:

intersection:

q(vl1) <-> ex([vl2,vl3,vl4,vl5], indexx(vl1,vl3) and indexy(vl1,vl3))



Index-only Plans

```
q(x) <-> ex(y, table(x, x, y))
```

(with a parameter x)

Possible plans:

Index lookup:

Index intersection:



Column Store

Specification:

```
table(x,y,z) <-> ex(r,basetable(r,x,y,z)),
% indices
columnx(r,x) <-> ex([y,z],basetable(r,x,y,z)),
columny(r,v) <-> ex([x,z],basetable(r,x,v,z)),
columnz(r,z) <-> ex([x,y],basetable(r,x,y,z)),
% kevs
basetable(r,x1,y1,z1) and basetable(r,x2,y2,z2)
                   \rightarrow (x1=x2 and y1=y2 and z1=z2),
% querv
q(x,y,z) \ll table(x,y,z)
1.
```

Notes:

APs: columnx/2/0, columny/2/0, and columnz/2/0;
the key constraint is necessary (why?)

Waterloo

Horizontal Partition (sharding)

```
Specification: [...
% horizontal partitions
hpp1(r,x,y,z) -> basetable(r,x,y,z),
hpp2(r,x,y,z) -> basetable(r,x,y,z),
hpp3(r,x,y,z) -> basetable(r,x,y,z),
basetable(r,x,y,z) -> (hpp1(r,x,y,z) or
hpp2(r,x,y,z) or hpp3(r,x,y,z)),
...].
```

Notes:

APs: hpp1/4/0, hpp2/4/0, and hpp3/4/0;
do we need "disjointness" of the partitions?



Subclass/Complement

...].

Notes:

do we need "disjointness"? "keys"?



QUERY COMPILATION

PART II: WHAT CAN IT DO?



CS848 Spring 2022

What can this do?

GOAL

Generate query plans that compete with hand-written programs in C

1 linked data structures, pointers, ...

- 2 access to search structures (index access and selection),
- 3 hash-based access to data (including hash-joins),
- 4 multi-level storage (aka disk/remote/distributed files), ...
- 5 materialized views (FO-definable),
- 6 updates through logical schema (needs *id invention*!), ...

... all without having to code (too much) in C/C++ !



Lists and Pointers (example)

Logical Schema



⇒ we merge works into employee as a dept attribute (to simplify)

Physical Design: a linked list of emp records pointing to dept records.

 \Rightarrow main difference: pointers rather than prinary key-based foreign keys



Lists and Pointers (example)

Logical Schema



⇒ we merge works into employee as a dept attribute (to simplify)

2 Physical Design: a linked list of emp records pointing to dept records.

record	emp of		record	dept of	
	integer	num		integer	num
	string	name		string	name
	reference	dept		reference	manager

 \Rightarrow main difference: pointers rather than prinary key-based foreign keys



Lists and Pointers (example)

Logical Schema



⇒ we merge works into employee as a dept attribute (to simplify)

2 Physical Design: a linked list of emp records pointing to dept records.

record	emp of		record	dept of	
	integer	num		integer	num
	string	name		string	name
	reference	dept		reference	manager

 \Rightarrow main difference: pointers rather than prinary key-based foreign keys



Lists and Pointers (record declarations)

```
record layout of emp and dept records and fields for:
8
8
8
    struct emp { int num, char[20] name, struct dept* dept };
8
    struct dept { int num, char[20] name, struct mgr* emp };
8
8
     ea/da addresses of emp/dept records
8
     access paths: ea/1/0 (linked list of employee records),
8
                    ea_num, ea_name, ea_dept, da_num, da_name,
8
                    da_mqr/2/1 (field extractors "->" in C)
8
     all attributes functional, "num" is a key;
8
                     "dept" and "mgr" are pointers;
8
ea(e) -> ex(y,ea_num(e,y)), ea_num(e,y) and ea_num(e,z)-> y=z,
                               ea_num(y, x) and ea_num(z, x) \rightarrow y=z,
ea(e) -> ex(y,ea_name(e,y)), ea_name(e,y) and ea_name(e,z)-> y=z,
ea(e) \rightarrow ex(y, ea_dept(e, y)), ea_dept(e, y) and ea_dept(e, z) \rightarrow y=z,
                               ea_dept(e,d) \rightarrow da(d),
```

 \dots and the same for da et al.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



Lists and Pointers (logical tables)

... and the same for department (we merged works into employee).

```
%
% business logic: managers work for their own departments
%
% employee(x,y,z) and department(u,v,x)-> z=u
da_mgr(x,e) and ea_dept(e,y) -> x=y % pointer-based version
```

Waterloo

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

1 List all employee numbers and names $(\exists z.employee(x, y, z))$:

 $\exists a.ea(a) \land ea-num(a, x) \land ea-name(a, y)$

List all department numbers with their manager names (∃z, u, v.department(x, z, u) ∧ employee(u, y, v)):



I List all employee numbers and names (∃z.employee(x, y, z)):

 $\exists a.ea(a) \land ea-num(a, x) \land ea-name(a, y)$

or, in C-like syntax: for *a* in ea do

x := *a*->num;

y := a->name;

List all department numbers with their manager names

 $(\exists z, u, v. department(x, z, u) \land employee(u, y, v)):$



1 List all employee numbers and names $(\exists z.employee(x, y, z))$:

 $\exists a. ea(a) \land ea-num(a, x) \land ea-name(a, y)$

2 List all department numbers with their manager names (∃z, u, v.department(x, z, u) ∧ employee(u, y, v)):

 $\begin{array}{l} (e, d) \\ \land da - dept(e, d) \\ \land da - num(d, x) \land da - mgr(d, l) \land ea - name(l, y) \\ \Rightarrow needs "departments have at least one employee". \\ \ldots needs duplicate elimination during projection. \end{array}$



1 List all employee numbers and names $(\exists z.employee(x, y, z))$:

 $\exists a.ea(a) \land ea-num(a, x) \land ea-name(a, y)$

2 List all department numbers with their manager names $(\exists z, u, v. department(x, z, u) \land employee(u, y, v)):$

 $\exists e, d, f. ea(e) \land ea-dept(e, d) \\ \land da-num(d, x) \land da-mgr(d, f) \land ea-name(f, y) \\ \Rightarrow needs "departments have at least one employee".$

.ea(e) ∧ ea-dept(e, d) ∧ ea-name(e, y) ∧ da-num(d, x) ∧ da-mgr(d, f) ∧ compare(e, f) ⇒ needs "managers work in their own departments".NO duplicate elimination during projection.



1 List all employee numbers and names $(\exists z.employee(x, y, z))$:

 $\exists a.ea(a) \land ea-num(a, x) \land ea-name(a, y)$

2 List all department numbers with their manager names $(\exists z, u, v. department(x, z, u) \land employee(u, y, v)):$

 $\exists e, d, f. ea(e) \land ea-dept(e, d) \\ \land da-num(d, x) \land da-mgr(d, f) \land ea-name(f, y) \\ \Rightarrow needs "departments have at least one employee".$

 $\exists e, d, f. ea(e) \land ea-dept(e, d) \land ea-name(e, y) \\ \land da-num(d, x) \land da-mgr(d, f) \land compare(e, f) \\ \Rightarrow needs "managers work in their own departments".$

NO *duplicate elimination* during projection.



1 List all employee numbers and names $(\exists z.employee(x, y, z))$:

 $\exists a.ea(a) \land ea-num(a, x) \land ea-name(a, y)$

2 List all department numbers with their manager names $(\exists z, u, v. department(x, z, u) \land employee(u, y, v)):$

 $\exists e, d, f. ea(e) \land ea-dept(e, d) \\ \land da-num(d, x) \land da-mgr(d, f) \land ea-name(f, y)$

 \Rightarrow needs "departments have at least one employee".

... needs *duplicate elimination* during projection.

 $\exists e, d, f. ea(e) \land ea-dept(e, d) \land ea-name(e, y) \\ \land da-num(d, x) \land da-mgr(d, f) \land compare(e, f) \end{cases}$

 \Rightarrow needs "managers work in their own departments".

... NO duplicate elimination during projection.



What can it do: Hashing, Lists, et al.

Hash Index with (list-based) Separate Chaining



Waterloo David Toman (et al.)

CS848 Spring 2022

What can it do? 25/32

What can it do: Hashing, Lists, et al.

Hash Index on department's name:

Access paths:

 $S_A \supseteq \{ \texttt{hash}/2/1, \texttt{hasharraylookup}/2/1, \texttt{listscan}/2/1 \}.$

Physical Constraints:

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{\mathsf{LP}} \supseteq \{ \forall x, y. ((\texttt{deptfile}(x) \land \texttt{dept-name}(x, y)) \to \exists z, w. (\texttt{hash}(y, z) \\ \land \texttt{hasharraylookup}(z, w) \land \texttt{listscan}(w, x))), \\ \forall x, y. (\texttt{hash}(x, y) \to \exists z. \texttt{hasharraylookup}(y, z)), \\ \forall x, y. (\texttt{listscan}(x, y) \to \texttt{deptfile}(y)) \end{split}$$

Query:

 $\exists y, z.(\texttt{department}(x_1, \rho, y) \land \texttt{employee}(y, x_2, z))\{\rho\}.$

ex(x6,Hash(p,x6) and ex(x5,(hasharraylookup(x6,x5) and ex(x4.listscan(x5,x4) and da-name(x4,p) and da-num(x4,x1) and ex(x3.(da-mgr(x4,x3) and ea-name(x3,x2)))))



What can it do: Hashing, Lists, et al.

Hash Index on department's name:

Access paths:

 $S_A \supseteq \{ \texttt{hash}/2/1, \texttt{hasharraylookup}/2/1, \texttt{listscan}/2/1 \}.$

Physical Constraints:

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{\mathsf{LP}} \supseteq \{ \forall x, y. ((\texttt{deptfile}(x) \land \texttt{dept-name}(x, y)) \to \exists z, w. (\texttt{hash}(y, z) \\ \land \texttt{hasharraylookup}(z, w) \land \texttt{listscan}(w, x))), \\ \forall x, y. (\texttt{hash}(x, y) \to \exists z. \texttt{hasharraylookup}(y, z)), \\ \forall x, y. (\texttt{listscan}(x, y) \to \texttt{deptfile}(y)) \end{split}$$

Query:

```
\exists y, z.(\texttt{department}(x_1, p, y) \land \texttt{employee}(y, x_2, z)) \{p\}.
```

```
ex(x6.Hash(p,x6) and ex(x5.(hasharraylookup(x6,x5)
and ex(x4.listscan(x5,x4) and da-name(x4,p)
and da-num(x4,x1) and ex(x3.(da-mgr(x4,x3)
and ea-name(x3,x2)))))))
```



QUERY COMPILATION

PART III: CASE STUDY (TO THINK ABOUT ...)



The LINUX-INFO System: A Case Study

GOAL:

to develop the LINUX-INFO system to monitor the operating systems deployed in their organization.

david@david-ryzen:/mnt/david/itb/itb2\$ ps -efaux head										
USER	PID	%CPU	%MEM	VSZ	RSS	TTY	STAT	START	TIME	COMMAND
root	2	0.0	0.0	0	0	?	S	May07	0:00	[kthreadd]
root	3	0.0	0.0	0	0	?	I<	May07	0:00	_ [rcu_gp]
root	4	0.0	0.0	0	0	?	I<	May07	0:00	_ [rcu_par_gp]
root	6	0.0	0.0	0	0	?	I<	May07	0:00	_ [kworker/0:0H-
root	9	0.0	0.0	0	0	?	I<	May07	0:00	_ [mm_percpu_wq]
root	10	0.0	0.0	0	0	?	S	May07	0:07	_ [ksoftirqd/0]
root	11	0.0	0.0	0	0	?	I	May07	5:31	$\ [rcu_sched]$
root	12	0.0	0.0	0	0	?	S	May07	0:01	<pre>_ [migration/0]</pre>

• • •



LINUX-INFO System: Data and Metadata

Example of LINUX-INFO data important to APS.

- 1 process gcc is running
- 2 gcc's process number is 1234.
- **3** the user running gcc is 145.
- 4 gcc uses file "foo.c"

Example of LINUX-INFO metadata specified by APS.

- There entities called process and file.
- There are attributes called pno, pname, uname, and fname.
- Each process entity has attributes pno, pname and uname.
- Each file entity has attribute fname.
- Processes are identified by their pno.
- Files are identified by their fname.

Waterloo

LINUX-INFO System: Data and Metadata

Example of LINUX-INFO data important to APS.

- 1 process gee is running
- **2** gcc's process number is 1234.
- **3** the user running gcc is 145.
- 4 gcc uses file "foo.c"

Example of LINUX-INFO metadata specified by APS.

- 4 There entities called process and file.
- **5** There are attributes called pno, pname, uname, and fname.
- **6** Each process entity has attributes pno, pname and uname.
- 7 Each file entity has attribute fname.
- 8 Processes are identified by their pno.
- **9** Files are identified by their fname.

water There is a relationship uses between processes and files.

The LINUX System: Physical Design

A *physical design* for LINUX (selected by Linus Torvalds).

- 8 There are process records called task-struct.
- 9 Each task-struct record has record fields pid, uid, comm, and file-struct.
- 10 All task-structs is organized as a tree data structure.
- **11** The task-struct records correspond one-to-one to process entities.
- Record fields in task-struct encode the corresponding attribute values for process entities, for example, pid encodes an pno, etc.
- **13** Similarly, fss correspond appropriately to (open) file entities.
- file-struct field of task-struct is an array of fds; an entry in this array indicates that the process corresponding to this task-struct is using the file represented by the fd record in the array.



・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨ

LINUX-INFO System: Queries and Query Plans

A LINUX-INFO user query specified by APS.

14 Find the files used by process invoked by user 145.

A query plan selected by a query compiler.

Scan tree of task-structs, for each check if its uid attribute is 145 and, if so scan the file-struct array in the task-struct and print out the names of files described by non-NULL file descriptors (fd).

Question

Does the *physical design* allow APS to list all files known to the Linux system?



LINUX-INFO System: Queries and Query Plans

A LINUX-INFO user query specified by APS.

14 Find the files used by process invoked by user 145.

A query plan selected by a query compiler.

15 Scan tree of task-structs, for each check if its uid attribute is 145 and, if so scan the file-struct array in the task-struct and print out the names of files described by non-NULL file descriptors (fd).

Ouestion

Does the physical design allow APS to list all files known to the Linux system?



LINUX-INFO System: Queries and Query Plans

A LINUX-INFO user query specified by APS.

14 Find the files used by process invoked by user 145.

A query plan selected by a query compiler.

15 Scan tree of task-structs, for each check if its uid attribute is 145 and, if so scan the file-struct array in the task-struct and print out the names of files described by non-NULL file descriptors (fd).

Question:

Does the physical design allow APS to list all files known to the Linux system?



Take Home

Lots of open issues:

- 1 DB engine vs. Compilation aproaches
- 2 Main memory data organization
 - ⇒ pointers and records accommodated natively
 - \Rightarrow coded as combination of AP and physical tables
- Data structures can be (commonly) decomposed to primitives (hash)
 ...

more query examples against employee-department schema
 description of *LINUX-info* using constraints/APs

code generation from templates



Take Home

Lots of open issues:

- 1 DB engine vs. Compilation aproaches
- 2 Main memory data organization
 - ⇒ pointers and records accommodated natively
 - \Rightarrow coded as combination of AP and physical tables
- Data structures can be (commonly) decomposed to primitives (hash)
 ...

To try at Home

- more query examples against employee-department schema
- 2 description of LINUX-info using constraints/APs

code generation from templates

Take Home

Lots of open issues:

- 1 DB engine vs. Compilation aproaches
- 2 Main memory data organization
 - ⇒ pointers and records accommodated natively
 - \Rightarrow coded as combination of AP and physical tables
- Data structures can be (commonly) decomposed to primitives (hash)
 ...

To try at Home

- more query examples against employee-department schema
- 2 description of LINUX-info using constraints/APs

Project Idea(s)

code generation from templates Waterioo (e.g., ...as array generates code similar to the code on s.7)